

Report of the Strategic Director, Regeneration to the meeting of Bradford West Area Committee to be held on 28 January 2016

P

Subject:

OBJECTIONS RECEIVED TO THE TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER FOR WAITING RESTRICTIONS ON VARIOUS ROADS IN THE BRADFORD WEST CONSTITUENCY

Summary statement:

This report considers objections and suggested modifications to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order on various roads in the Bradford West Constituency.

Ward 7 City

12 Heaton

19 Manninhgam

Mike Cowlam Portfolio:

Strategic Director Regeneration

Regeneration, Economy & Sustainability

Phone: (01274) 437645

E-mail: chris.bedford@bradford.gov.uk

•

Environment & Waste Management





1.0 SUMMARY

1.1. This report considers objections and suggested modifications to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order on various roads in the Bradford West Constituency.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1. At its meeting on the 23 April 2014 this committee approved as part of its Safer Roads Programme, a scheme to introduce a Traffic Regulation Order on various roads throughout the Bradford West Constituency.
- 2.2. The order has been promoted to resolve a number of requests for small areas of existing waiting restrictions to be amended or new restrictions to be introduced. The requests have been raised by local residents or businesses that have problems with on street parking, gaining access to premises or parking for customers.
- 2.3. The Traffic Regulation Order was formally advertised between the 23 October and 13 November 2015. At the same time consultation letters and plans were delivered to residents and business affected by the proposals. This resulted in objections to Ash Mount, Denby Street, Grantham Road, Haworth Road, Heaton Road, Retford Place, Spencer Road and Wilmer Road. Letters of support were also received for Hazelhurst Road and Hazelhurst Brow and Spencer Road. There have also been requests to modify the proposals on Lower Kipping Lane and Hazelhurst Road. Plans of the proposals that have received objections are attached as Appendix 1.
- 2.4. A summary of the points of objection and corresponding officer comments is

tabulated below: -	
Objectors concerns	Officer comments
Ash Mount	Ash Mount

(Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-1B)

Objectors 16

Residents of Great Horton Road who live near to Ash Mount use Ash Mount for additional parking. Using Ash Mount has no impact on the flow of traffic on Great Horton Road and has removed parked vehicles from Great Horton Road thus easing congestion.

The increase in the number of restaurants and takeaways, and the Sunday market at Cannon Mills has meant on street parking is becoming more difficult for the objectors of the waiting and the introduction restrictions on Ash Mount will put more pressure on surrounding streets. Ash Mount is also used for parking by gardeners at the local allotments and attendees of local businesses. The introduction of parking restrictions will impact on Great Horton

The officer appreciates the concerns of the objectors regarding the lack of convenient on street parking in the area and the problems that parking on Great Horton Road may cause. However complaints have been made about vehicles parking on Ash Mount close to its junction with Great Horton Road. This makes it difficult for vehicles to turn in to Ash Mount. It is therefore proposed to introduce a no waiting at any time restriction (double yellow lines) on just one side of Ash Mount for a distance of 10 metres (approximately 2 car spaces) from Great Horton Road. This short section of double yellow lines will help drivers access Ash Mount by prohibiting vehicles parking close to the junction.

Road, could possibly lead to confrontations explaining the proposals in more detail and between neighbours and increase the risk 6 of the objectors have withdrawn their objection. of accidents. It is suggested that something is put in place to give priority to local residents. Denby Street Denby Street (Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-20A) Objectors 11 The objectors have not given any valid Vehicles parked on White Abbey Road at objection to the proposals however they the location suggested will obstruct sight have highlighted a new area for parking on lines for drivers leaving Denby Street and White Abbey Road. potentially make turning manoeuvres more dangerous at the junction. It is therefore considered that their suggestion is not a safe proposal. Grantham Road Grantham Road (Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-6A) Objectors 1 The objector condemns any introduction of The proposal to remove one parking space vellow lines and states that the Grantham and replace it with a no waiting at any time community people are against any double restriction on one side of Grantham Road at or single yellow lines in Grantham Road and its junction with Laisteridge Lane is being surroundings. promoted in response to complaints that the current parking situation reduces Grantham Road to one lane. Conflict arises when a vehicle turns into this road as one is trying to exit. The proposal should help to stop these conflicts from occurring and provide a safe area for drivers to wait while others pass. Haworth Road Haworth Road (Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-32A) Objections 1 The objector has never come across any The introduce waiting proposal to difficulties whilst driving onto Haworth Road restrictions at the junction of Haworth Road from Heather Grove. The objector strongly and Heather Grove has been promoted believes that he and his neighbours will be following a petition from residents of inconvenienced if double yellow lines are Heather Grove. This Committee considered introduced. It would make more sense to the petition and resolved that the option to enforce time regulations of when you can prohibit waiting at the junction of Haworth and cannot park vehicles. Road and Heather Grove be fast-tracked for implementation. Heaton Road **Heaton Road** (Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-21A) Objectors 1 The objector is concerned that the removal The officer sympathises with the objector. It of the double yellow line from outside his is therefore recommended that the waiting shop will affect his business. Parked cars restrictions adjacent to his property remain

	Ι
will block the view to his shop window and have a negative affect on his business.	in place.
Retford Place	Retford Place
(Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-5A)	Tretiora Flace
Objectors 1	
The objector is concerned that the removal	The officer agrees that the removal of the
of the double yellow lines from the side of	waiting restrictions at this location will cause
their property will allow vehicles to park and	more difficulties for the objectors business.
obstruct access to their business.	It is therefore recommended that the
Obstruct access to their business.	existing waiting restrictions remain in place.
Spencer Road	Spencer Road
•	Spericer hoad
(Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-8A) Objectors 10	
The objectors oppose the plans on various	The proposed restrictions have been
	The proposed restrictions have been promoted because local businesses are
grounds which will infringe the community rather than benefit them. There are too	concerned that the parking spaces on
many to list in one brief letter. As local	Spencer Road near Horton Grange Road
residents they reject the plans and any	are used for long stay parking and there are
suggestions they are being implemented for	no spaces for their customers or
their benefit.	themselves. However parking in the area is
their benefit.	at a premium and residents of adjoining
	streets sometimes find it difficult to park
	outside their properties and so use Spencer
	Road for additional parking.
	The time period for when the limited waiting
	restriction is proposed to be in force may be
	amended to between 8am to 6pm. This
	would then allow the objectors and their
	neighbours to park during the evening and
	through the night.
Wilmer Road	Wilmer Road
(Drawing No. R/S/BW/102702/CON-17A)	
Objectors 1	
The objector is opposing the proposal to	The proposed waiting restrictions are
make Wilmer Road 'no waiting' as currently	located at the junction of Wilmer Road and
the residents of Firth Road and Milford	Park View Road over 200m from Milford
Place have no place to park their cars in the	Place and Firth Road. The restrictions are
evening. This is due to mainly visiting traffic	proposed for one corner of the junction and
to a Mosque on Heaton Road.	will extend along each road for a distance of
·	10 metres.
	Wilmer Road and Park View Road are part
	of a bus route and at times it has been
	reported that buses have problems
	negotiating the left turn From Wilmer Road
	into Park View Road. The proposed double
	yellow lines will keep this corner clear of
	parked vehicles and assist buses turning at
	the junction.

- 2.5. During the advertising period letters of support were received for Hazelhurst Road / Hazelhurst Brow (3No.) and Spencer Road (1No.).
- 2.6. There have also been two requests to modify the Traffic Regulation Order in so far as adding an additional length of no waiting at any time restriction on Lower Kipping Lane as shown on drawing R/S/BW102702/CON-25B attached as Appendix 2 and to extend the proposed waiting restrictions on Hazelhurst Road as shown on drawing R/S/BW102702/CON-28C attached as Appendix 3. Two consultation letters were sent to residents / businesses directly affected by the modification on Lower Kipping Lane which resulted in one letter supporting the proposed change. The other consultee did not reply. Two consultation letters were also sent to residents on Hazelhurst Road regarding the proposed changes. Two replies were received to support the modification although only one of the replies was from a consultee.

3.0 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

3.1. Local ward members and the emergency services were consulted on the original proposals. No objections were received.

4.0 FINANCIAL & RESOURCE APPRAISAL

4.1. The cost of the proposals will be met from the Safer Roads Budget.

5.0 RISK MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES

5.1. There are no significant risks arising from this report.

6.0 LEGAL APPRAISAL

6.1. There are no specific issues arising from this report. The course of action proposed is in general accordance with the Councils power as Highway Authority.

7.0 OTHER IMPLICATIONS

7.1. **EQUALITY & DIVERSITY**

There are no issues arising from the Council's Equality & Diversity Strategy.

7.2. SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

There are no significant Sustainability implications arising from this report.

7.3. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IMPACTS

There is no impact on the Council's own and the wider District's carbon footprint and emissions from other greenhouse gases arising from this report.

7.4. COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

The introduction of some of the waiting restrictions in the Order will be beneficial in terms of road safety.

7.5. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

None

7.6. TRADE UNION

None

7.7. WARD IMPLICATIONS

Ward members have been consulted on the advertised Traffic Regulation Order.

7.8. AREA COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN IMPLICATIONS

The implementation of the scheme supports priorities within the Bradford West Area Committee Action Plan.

8.0 NOT FOR PUBLICATION DOCUMENTS

None

9.0 OPTIONS

- 9.1. That the objections to Ash Mount, Denby Street, Grantham Road, Haworth Road, and Wilmer Road be overruled. That the proposed waiting restriction on Spencer Road be amended to 8am to 6pm waiting limited to 1 hour no return within 1 hour except permit holders and that the local residents and businesses of Spencer Road be consulted on the revised proposal. That the objections to Heaton Road and Retford Place be upheld and due to no objections being received from the consultation exercise carried out for the proposed modifications to Hazelhurst Road and Lower Kipping Lane the Order be amended, sealed and implemented.
- 9.2. Councillors may propose an alternative course of action.

10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1. That the objections to Ash Mount, Denby Street, Grantham Road, Haworth Road, and Wilmer Road be overruled.
- 10.2. That the proposed waiting restriction on Spencer Road be amended to 8am to 6pm waiting limited to 1 hour no return within 1 hour except permit holders. That any valid objections to the revised proposals be reported to this Committee for their consideration. If there are no valid objections the Order be sealed and implemented.
- 10.3. That the request for additional parking on White Abbey Road Be noted by this Committee.

- 10.4. That the objections to Heaton Road and Retford Place be upheld.
- 10.5. That the draft Traffic Regulation Order be modified as shown on drawing No.s R/S/BW/102702/CON-25B attached as Appendix 2 and R/S/BW/102702/CON-28C attached as Appendix 3.
- 10.6. That the modified Traffic Regulation Order be sealed and implemented.
- 10.7. That the objectors be informed accordingly.

11.0 APPENDICES

Appendix 1 - drawings showing the proposals that have received objections.

Appendix 2 – drawing R/S/BW/102702/CON-25B.

Appendix 3 - drawing R/S/BW/102702/CON-28C.

12.0 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

12.1. none





















