

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

(mins.dot)

Record of a Hearing of the Keighley & Shipley Licensing Panel held on Monday 8 September 2008 in Committee Room 1, City Hall, Bradford

Procedural Items

DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

No disclosures of interest in matters under consideration were received.

INSPECTION OF REPORTS AND BACKGROUND PAPERS

There were no appeals submitted by the public to review decisions to restrict documents.

Hearing

1. **Application for variation of a Premises Licence for the Hide Bar & Grill, Unit 14 & 15 The Moors Centre, South Hawksworth Street, Ilkley (Document "D")**



2006-2007
Improving Rural Services
Empowering Communities



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Suzan Hemingway, Assistant Director Corporate Services (City Solicitor)



RECORD OF A HEARING FOR AN APPLICATION FOR VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE FOR THE HIDE BAR & GRILL, UNIT 14 & 15 THE MOORS CENTRE, SOUTH HAWKSWORTH STREET, ILKLEY (DOCUMENT "D").

Commenced: 1405
Adjourned: 1425
Re-convened: 1430
Concluded: 1435

Present:

Members of the Panel:

Keighley & Shipley Licensing Panel: Councillors Hill (Chair), L'Amie and Flowers.

Parties to the Hearing:

Representing the Applicant:

Ms Smith, Sintons Solicitors representing the Applicant
Ms Morgan, Managing Director, Hide Bars Limited
Mr Campbell, Operations Director and Designated Premises Supervisor, Hide Bars Limited
Mr Broadley, Manager, Hide Bar & Grill

Representations:

The licensing officer in attendance summarised the background to the application which included details of the current Premises Licence, the proposed variation and valid representations received as set out in the report.

The applicant's representative informed the Panel that 'Hide Bar & Grill' was a chain name and that there were six premises across the north of England. Their style was that of superior café bar, with a large emphasis on food and the customers tended to be local residents. The premises in Ilkley had been purchased in December 2007, re-branded and re-opened during May 2008. The applicant's representative reported that the variation submitted proposed a one hour extension to the opening time and the provision of regulated entertainment; and requested that children under the age of 18 be able to remain in the restaurant after 21.00 hours. It was confirmed that employees were trained in relation to the safety of children and proof of age policies. The impact of the proposal had been carefully considered and it complied with all the licensing objectives. The premises had already carried out a minor change to the layout by adding another set of doors to the entrance in order to buffer the noise emanating from the building. These had been fitted in consultation with the Council's Environmental Health officer.

With regard to the objections raised by local residents, the applicant's representative reported that she believed that they were based upon a misunderstanding of the licence. The aim of the premises was to provide food in a family friendly environment. The noise issues had improved due to the installation of the additional doors and the previous manager, who had not understood the ethos of the premises, had been replaced.

In response to questions from the Panel, the applicant's representative confirmed:

- That the windows in the premises were not double glazed.

ound system had a noise limiter.

level of noise audible outside the premises was checked of the licence.

were windows in the restaurant area of the premises, a fire exit.

licence had been granted in 2004 and that the Hide Bar re-existing licence when it opened in May 2008.

had been noise problems when the premises had first at that point had overridden the music noise limiter. That employed by the company and an apology had been given. The current Manager was to invite local residents to the and issues.

company policy was that no one under the age of 18 was after 19.00 hours unaccompanied.

applicant's representative confirmed that the aim of the was to open at 9.00 hours and allow it to expand its food by anti-social behaviour or noise problems, checks would residents would be consulted in the future.

considered all valid representations made by the parties presentations received during the statutory period licensing policy and relevant statutory guidance; the ed for.