

**COUNCIL  
24 March 2015****MEMBER QUESTION TIME**

Questions submitted in accordance with Standing Order 12

---

**1. Councillor Mohammed**

The portfolio holder for Children's and Young Peoples' services will be aware that many children have not succeeded in getting places at their preferred schools. Can he explain what efforts the Council has made to try to ensure that as many children as possible have been offered a place at one of their preferred schools and summarise the underlying reasons for the pressure on school places?

**Answer**

The written guidance (in letter and booklet form) sent to parents in September highlights the importance of listing five preferences and for parents to be realistic when applying for schools. The information published in the annual 'Guide for Parents' also gives details of how allocations were made at each school the previous year, for example how many applicants and whether allocations were made beyond the priority area and the distance of the last child allocated.

Officers from the School Admissions Team attend all secondary school open evenings during the autumn term each year to offer advice and guidance to individual families on their chances of gaining a place at their preferred school(s).

However, many of the Free Schools that have opened in recent years (the four Dixons academies, Kings Science and Bradford Girls Grammar School) use 'fair banding' and random allocation in their oversubscription criteria. This means that applicants are required to sit an assessment in order to determine which ability band they are in and the schools take a proportion of applicants from each ability band. Further, after siblings, applicants are chosen by random selection within each band. These schools are all very popular and oversubscribed and the effect of the criteria used is that parents do not have any idea of their chances of gaining a place as allocations are not made using distance. Therefore, many of the parents who list five preferences using a combination of these schools are unsuccessful with their application.

Another issue is in the BD18 and BD10 areas where many parents apply for Beckfoot School and Immanuel College. These schools have become increasingly popular and the level of oversubscription is such that scores of parents living in the schools' priority areas are no longer gaining a place. For Beckfoot School, it is the families living in the Wrose/Windhill area that are unsuccessful as these areas are the furthest in distance. For Immanuel, it is the Eccleshill/Ravenscliffe/Thorpe Edge areas, as again, these are the furthest distance from the school. Siblings living outside the schools' priority areas are also not allocated. In all these areas, although parents may not gain a place at their preferred school, alternative schools are of a reasonable distance, i.e. Hanson School and Samuel Lister Academy.

There are plans to review the priority areas for those schools still using them with a view to consult on any amendments in the Autumn Term 2015 with any subsequent changes taking effect from 2017.

Underpinning the pressure on school places are the rapidly increasing numbers of children and young people requiring places combined with the Government's failure to provide anything like the resources to meet that need preferring instead to fund place in parts of the country where there are surplus places while badly letting down families in all parts of Bradford District.

## **2. Councillor Miller**

Can the Leader of the Council set out the dates of all briefings, meetings or other communications regarding the "Public Sector Hub" development proposals, in which he was involved and can he also detail all meetings or exchanges of communications between council officers and the developers, prior to the Leader first being briefed on the opportunity?

### **Answer**

Officers have been in contact with Dragongate over a long period of time (since approximately 2003) discussing possible Civil Service relocations. This developed into a proposal for a Public Service Hub during 2013 when Dragongate entered into a partnership with Maclaren and Chiltington Land.

The following meetings have taken place:-

- 21 November 2013: Meeting between Council Officers and Chiltington land to discuss site options for a Public Service Hub.
- 3 March 2014: Meeting between Council Officers, Cllrs Green, Hinchcliffe and Thornton to discuss the possible establishment of a Public Service Hub in Bradford. Jacobs Well was the preferred option of a small number of sites.
- 3 September 2014: Meeting between Council Officers, Dragongate and Chiltington Land.
- 2 October 2014: Meeting between council officers, public sector representatives, Dragongate, Chiltington land and Maclaren to discuss key principles for a Public Service Hub.
- 8 December 2014: meeting between Council Officers and Dragongate to discuss progress.
- 14 January 2015: Cllr Green briefed on development of a proposal to build a Public Sector Hub on the Jacobs Well site.
- 16 February 2015: Cllr Green briefed on the launch of the Public Sector Hub project on the Jacobs Well site.

During the period 21 November 2013 and 3 March 2014 officers had various telephone conversations with the developers and some exchange of emails to ensure the presentation provided accurate information. At this time the discussion was about a non site specific development.

### **Supplementary Question**

Thank you Leader for this very clear and concise answer. Could I ask you at what point do you believe it is appropriate for the Leader of the Opposition to be briefed as the only main briefing I have had is via the Telegraph & Argus so far?

### **Councillor Green**

The Leaders of all the parties received a briefing in writing by email 48 hours before the press announcement to give them the opportunity to ask for further information if they requested it. The situation as I think has been explained is that there was commercial confidentiality requested by the developers, it is their money and their scheme and the normal practice, and I know from having been in the situation where I have been an Opposition spokesperson or Deputy Leader, is that notification is normally given out in roughly 48 hours beforehand and that is what we did this time.

### **3. Councillor Fear**

To the Portfolio Holder for Planning & Transportation - Has Notice been served on the owner(s) of the land and wall at Thackley Corner?

#### **Answer**

The current position is that we have taken further legal advice on the land ownership issues and responsibilities for repairing the wall. The serving of notices will be pursued by Legal Services. In order to do so we need to determine the cause of the collapse, the remedy to it and any timescale involved. This involves some site investigation work and subsequent preliminary design. This work is currently in process.

#### **Supplementary Question**

Thank you very much for the response. I understand that this matter has been going on for ten years so how much more legal advice and investigation do we need?

### **Councillor Val Slater**

Not having a crystal ball I am unable to say. Once legal process is involved it is obviously difficult. If it is the particular one I am thinking about we have got Network Rail involved and your guess is as good as mine.

### **4. Councillor Ahmed**

What does the leader see as the deep rooted institutional issues resulting in the systemic failure for the absence of minority ethnic people at senior levels in the council and does he see any correlation between this and failure on key issues such as education?

#### **Answer**

I see no link between the numbers of ethnic minority senior managers and educational achievement within the District. I would also point out that over 13% of 570 senior managers are of Black or Minority Ethnic origin. I do however recognise the importance of developing talent and leadership from within the Council's own ranks and of making the profile of our senior management more representative of the District. This is why I asked for a review of the Council's approach to be undertaken in Summer 2014 the outcomes of which were reported back in February this year. Based on the findings of that review the new Director for Human Resources is due to recommend a revised approach to Talent Management to Executive in June this year. The recommendations will detail how we will attract, support and develop the skills, competence and confidence of the workforce and better reflect all the communities of the Bradford District at senior levels.

### **Supplementary Question**

Thank you for your answer Councillor Green. Just on a point of clarity can you confirm in your answer you have stated that 13% of 570 senior managers are from BME backgrounds. Can you confirm whether this is the top tier levels of management or middle tiers? Perhaps you can also tell us why there have been such delays in publishing the Hayes Report as well. Thank you.

### **Councillor Green**

It is not 13% of senior managers. If you are talking about Assistant Directors and above, it is in those senior management teams. A previous answer to a similar question I think that you asked a few months ago gave that breakdown and so we did not repeat it in that detail. You have got that information. In terms of implementing the talent management scheme some of it was about making sure we had money in the budget to actually take the scheme forward which we have done and as you will know we agreed some money in the last budget last month to implement the scheme. The second is we only got the final report late last year and we got the new Director of Human Resources in January this year and I can assure you that one of her main priorities has been to start the implementation of that scheme. A report will be coming to Executive in June and hopefully you will then see the implementation of that scheme.

### **5. Councillor Morris**

I do understand it is essential that some Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicles need to be able to accept wheelchairs with the occupant not having to decamp, but why is the Council insisting all Hackney Carriage Vehicles in the District must be of a design to carry a wheelchair in this manner. The cost to drivers could be as much as £10,000 for a conversion depending on the vehicle, there is no justification for such a ruling as statistics provided to me show less than 1% of users need this facility. The Hackney Association accepts there is a need for some and is perfectly willing to provide without question or ruling by the Council 40% of vehicles be DDA compliant that is well above the actual requirements. I ask that the Council reduce the 100% to the more workable and acceptable 40% as offered and remember these people are paying the Council just to work and do provide a valued service throughout the District?

### **Answer**

I refer to the minutes of the Full Council on 9 December 14 where a similar question was asked by a member of the public. The Council's response on that occasion was as follows:

In August 2005 a report to the Regulatory and Appeals Committee was produced in response to an Office of Fair Trading report to the Government. The report suggested that Licensing Authorities which maintained a policy of restricting the number of licensed taxis should, in the public interest, reconsider their position regarding the number of Wheelchair Accessible Vehicles (WAVs) licensed as taxis and should de-limit the taxi numbers where possible. At the same meeting the Unmet Demand Survey carried out in 2003 was referred to as it highlighted a lack of WAVs, with Bradford having only 4.9% (compared to 34% in Leeds).

At the 2005 meeting, the Hackney Carriage Owners Association agreed to convert 10 saloon vehicles to WAVs per annum in years 2005 to 2009. From January 2010 no further saloon vehicles would be licensed. Later that year, in November 2005, a fresh resolution stated that for every plate not exchanged for a WAV, an additional plate would be issued.

A report was presented and a resolution was made by the Regulatory and Appeals Committee dated 29 October 2008: - "That the Assistant Director Waste and Street Scene be authorised to bring forward the date by which all newly registered hackney carriages will be required to be wheelchair accessible to 1 January 2009, and that this Committee welcomes the commitment of the Bradford Hackney Carriage Association in giving support to this action." The reasons for this resolution were based on:

- Insufficient vehicles had been voluntarily changed to WAV
- A report and petition to the Regulatory and Appeals Committee carried out in 2006 "What's it really like" from Bradford Action Forum, Disabled Peoples Forum asked the Committee to take serious steps to address the issue of there being "a significant lack of Wheelchair Assessable Vehicles"
- The Unmet Demand Survey in November 2007 found there was a marked lack of WAVs.

Following the resolution of October 2008, the taxi trade exchanged saloon vehicles to WAVs at the end their licensing life. There was a challenge to this process via a petition received December 2010 objecting to the process and stated the current poor financial climate as a reason. The points were considered and views were sought from the existing majority hackney carriage association. The association did not support the petition and wished to continue with the current arrangement.

Currently there are 222 licensed hackney carriage vehicles, 218 of these are WAV and four are not. There is no evidence that members of the public are discriminated against by WAVs as there are many vehicle variants offering a choice of vehicle and ensure equality, any move to reduce the number of WAV Taxis would be contrary to this.

In relation to the current question posed regarding the cost of a replacement WAV accessible Taxi, these can range from circa £4k to £17k+ dependant on the age, condition and quality of vehicle chosen and such factors are for the proprietor to consider. The cost of a saloon vehicle ranges across the same spectrum. The difference in cost between a saloon (non WAV) and WAV vehicle does not have to be significant and can be offset against the extra two years of operating life provided to WAV vehicle proprietors; saloon vehicles are limited to a maximum licensed life of 10 years and WAVs to 12 years.

The Hackney Carriage trade has a vital role to play in offering accessible vehicles to all customers irrespective of their physical abilities.

#### **6. Councillor Robinson**

Can the Leader inform me what plan is in situ to reclaim the loan made by this council to Bradford Bulls, that had a personal guarantee from Omar Khan attached, and how much of the loan has been repaid?

#### **Answer**

The matter is in the hands of the Council's solicitors and proceedings will be issued to recover the outstanding money if a satisfactory agreement about continued payments of instalments cannot be reached. The details of the outstanding payments are subject to a confidentiality agreement at this time.

#### **Supplementary Question**

Thank you for the answer I have received. Can I be kept informed as and when a satisfactory agreement is reached and a timescale for the full repayment of the loan?

**Councillor Green**

I can assure you that all group leaders and local members will be informed subject to the usual rules about commercial confidentiality but I hope that they will not be restrictive.

**7. Councillor Lynne Smith**

Can the Leader confirm the funding arrangements for the proposed Public Services Hub?

**Answer**

The public sector hub is an initiative that will be fully funded by private sector partners

**Supplementary Question**

Can I just confirm, because I am slightly bewildered at some of the reports I have heard about this, this initiative - would the Leader confirm my understanding that this is something we should welcome as being extremely positive for the city?

**Councillor Green**

It is certainly something that has been talked about for a long time. It has been under discussion with the developers since the early noughties and it has hopefully taken a serious step forward to come to fruition. It is a massive piece of private sector investment with support by the Council and I know that the author of 'A View from Cullingworth' will welcome it because he was calling for this in his blog in only 2009 and I suspect that to be referred to in any speech he may make later on in this debate.

**8. Councillor Akthar**

Does the Leader agree that Keighley needs its own Police Station, can he comment on any discussions he has had with the West Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner about the future of Keighley Police Station and does he believe that any proposals for re-location should be subject to public consultation at the earliest opportunity?

**Answer**

It is absolutely essential for a town of Keighley's size to host a permanent Police presence and station, to lose it on top of losing its Magistrates and County Courts would be a very bitter blow indeed and we are in communication with the Police and Crime Commissioner about future plans. I agree entirely that any proposals should be made open to public scrutiny at the earliest opportunity.

**9. Councillor Pennington**

Can the Portfolio Holder for Environment & Sport provide details and costs, for all maintenance and improvement works carried out on the swimming pools, over the last five years, broken down into individual months?

**Answer**

Attached as Appendix 1 is costs for the last five years, for the planned cyclical, reactive and maintenance work and energy improvement measures, the authorising officer is the Building and Technical Services Manager. A list of the specific items of expenditure can be provided in an electronic format if required.

**Supplementary Question**

No thank you. My supplementary was going to be to have a breakdown of the actual pools but credit where credit is due a thorough job done. Thank you for the answer.

**10. Councillor Warburton**

Can the Portfolio Holder for Employment, Skills and Culture update us on the position regarding the future of Ilkley Manor House?

**Answer**

The Council remain committed to finding a new community use for Manor House. With this in mind the Council dedicated funding to support a group of local people conduct a feasibility study to assess the different options for a sustainable future for the building. This feasibility study is now complete and the four organisations who have expressed an informal interest in Manor House are now working with council officers to progress their plans. All four organisations have given their permission for the feasibility study to be released into the public domain which we have now done.

The Manor House will close to the public on Sunday April 12 2015. The Museum Service will withdraw completely from the site on April 30 and Asset Management will take over both the Manor House and the cottages in Castle Yard whilst the different options are progressed by the four organisations.

**11. Councillor Imran Khan**

Can the portfolio holder for Children's Services outline the powers and responsibilities of the councils in respect of school improvement for all state funded schools?

**Answer**

The Council has a responsibility to monitor, challenge, support, and, if necessary, intervene in the operation of state funded schools.

All Bradford's maintained schools are monitored through the scrutiny of data and reports (Ofsted inspection reports and partnership developmental reviews), and are visited each academic year.

- The local authority has a system of prioritising its monitoring and challenge to the Bradford Council maintained schools so that greater time and resources are committed to those that are underperforming and facing the greatest challenges.
- Academies and Free Schools are monitored by the scrutiny of their data and reports, and most have agreed to be visited by local authority officers once a year.

Should concerns arise around the performance of a school then:

- LA maintained schools will be categorised as a 'school causing concern' (called Priority 1 in Bradford), an intensive monitoring, challenge and support programme will be agreed with the school and the head teacher and chair of governors will be required to meet with a senior LA officer every half term (or more frequently) to monitor the progress and demonstrate improvement.
- Academies and Free Schools are invited to meet with the Assistant Director for Education and School Improvement so that the Council can raise its concerns and hear about the actions the academy/free school is taking to resolve the issues, including the likely impact of those actions and the timescale for improvement.

Should the concerns persist or escalate then the Department for Education's 'Schools Causing Concern – statutory guidance for local authorities' defines the powers that the Council has. In summary,

- The LA has the power to issue a Warning Notice to Bradford Council maintained schools. Should an appropriate response not be received then the Council has the 'power of intervention'. There are four 'powers of intervention' available
  1. Power to suspend the delegated authority for the governing body to manage a school's budget
  2. Power to remove the governing body and appoint an Interim Executive Board (IEB)
  3. Power to appoint additional governors
  4. Power to require the governing body to enter into arrangements, usually with a partner education establishment.
- In the case of academies and free schools, the Council has no statutory powers of intervention and is expected to raise its concerns with the Regional Schools Commissioner who works closely with the Department for Education.

## 12. Councillor Poulsen

How many expressions of interest, bids and offers has the council received for the former Keighley College Buildings and if there is any subsequent agreement in principle for a sale, was the highest bid accepted?

### Answer

The Council undertook a marketing exercise for both buildings, North Street and Cavendish Street, through the services of Mark Brearley, Commercial Property Agents.

Requests for Best and Final offers on both buildings elicited 3 submissions for the North Street Building and 6 submissions for the Cavendish Street Building.

None of the offers for the North Street Building were acceptable to the Council.

The highest bidder for the Cavendish Street building was selected and this option is being progressed towards a sale.

## 13. Councillor Swallow

How is the Leader working with businesses and public services to drive up our wages in the local economy and improve outcomes for residents and businesses?

### Answer

According to the latest earnings data available (up to April 2014 via the Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings from the Office for National Statistics) median full-time weekly earnings for employees resident in Bradford stood at £447.10.

Over the year to April 2014 full-time median weekly earnings rose by 60p per week, or 0.1% - the same as the rate of increase seen nationally, although the median weekly earning is lower than the Yorkshire & Humber median earnings (£479.00) and national median earnings (£518.00).

We are working with partners across the district to find ways to drive up wages and ensure more of the wealth generated within the district supports wider economic growth.

So for example, through the apprenticeship hub and apprenticeship training agency we are encouraging employers to employ apprentices on higher than the apprenticeship minimum wage.



The city centre growth zone provides incentives to employers who are creating sustainable, meaningful employment for local people.

We're working with the University and wider city region partners to establish the Digital Health Enterprise Zone, which will help attract and retain higher value digital industries that bring opportunities for employment with higher salaries as well as graduate retention.

We're supporting manufacturers to create more opportunities for higher level employment in the district (Borg Warner for example) and the development of the public sector hub creates scope for a range of public sector jobs to be consolidated within the district.

We are acting as an exemplar for other employers in the district by adopting the Living Wage for staff on lower pay scales. This will provide both a benefit to the individuals concerned and to the wider economy of the district through increased local spending power.

Finally I note the proposed increase in the minimum wage and will be speaking with Finance and Adult Services Officers to ensure that the implications are dealt with in a positive way and with positive results for people working in the care sector.

#### **14. Councillor Middleton**

To the Portfolio Holder for Planning & Transportation - Why has the time taken to repair broken streetlights in Bradford MDC now extended out to five weeks, a deterioration of the position reported in January 2015?

#### **Answer**

Unfortunately due to circumstances beyond our control the number of outstanding faults has increased and therefore increased the repair times, for which I can only apologise. The action taken to reduce the backlog was to hire an additional vehicle and to reorganise the repair teams to utilise this along with the three vehicles of our own.

Since the beginning of January we have lost 27 days due to staff being stood down during the day after gritting duties through the night. Also the specialist street lighting vehicles have been off the road for 63 days due to defects and inspections required for the operator's licence.

As of Tuesday 17/03/15 there were 1050 faults outstanding, if we have all operatives and vehicles available we should clear this backlog in six weeks.

#### **Supplementary Question**

Could I please ask the Portfolio, referring to the answer she gave me in January about the street lights, have we been back or has Bradford Council been back to the supplier of the street lights actually seeking some financial compensation for the fact that so many of the street lights they have supplied to us have failed much earlier than expected?

#### **Councillor Val Slater**

I am not satisfied with what has happened on street lighting. It is not good enough and I have had a meeting today with the Assistant Director, Julian Jackson, and have instructed that a plan be put in place to deal with it. I will come to your specific in a minute but I think it is important that people know what is going to happen. There have been some operational difficulties. I am not going to bore you with those but we are seeking to resolve those as soon as possible. Over the next few weeks some more internal

resources will be available. Some evening and night repairs in geographic areas to blitz areas of particular concern will be done. The bit about the lamps: yes we have gone back to the manufacturer and what we have discovered the actual contract was so wide that what people were doing were ordering cheaper versions of the lamp. What we have done is say we must get the higher quality ones with the six year guarantee and subject to resources we are going to be taking on some extra staff to really blitz it and to get this under control because as I said it is not good enough at the moment. I don't want to talk about politics but about reality but this is one of the things that we are going to be faced with more and more as resources shrink and the Council workforce shrink and we lose flexibility to cover. This has been part of the new deal conversations we have had with people. About their priorities and their choices and one of the reasons we have not been able to tackle it is because we have been using those operatives on gritting. Now that is a question, would people rather have the streets gritted or the street lights repaired?

**15. Councillor Smithies/Councillor Farley**

What plans are in place for each of the four asset management sites in the Braithwaite & Guardhouse areas of Keighley? How far has each of the plans been progressed and how is any potential sale being promoted? How is the land being managed in the short term to minimise the impact of 4 areas of derelict land on the many residents living alongside these areas?

**Answer**

Former Braithwaite Special School – This site forms part of the Council's Affordable Housing Programme (2) and is to be developed by the Housing Service to provide a mix of units for sale and for rent.

Land at Whinfield Drive/Braithwaite Avenue – previous attempts to dispose of this site were unsuccessful. It is intended that the site will be marketed in 2015/16.

Former Guard House school and adjacent land, North Dean Road – both of these sites come under Children's Services. Estate Management is liaising with Children's Services to determine how the land will be dealt with going forward.

Land to the south of North Dean Road – this land is held for the purposes of public open space.

The most appropriate maintenance programme for Whinfield Drive/Braithwaite Avenue and land south of North Dean Road is currently being considered.

**Supplementary Question**

I am answering on behalf of both of us. Thanks for the answer. A couple of things. Could we be kept informed please because this is something we get constant requests for information on from the public? Also on the last point, the land south of North Dean Road. We are desperate to find some land for children's recreation in the area and we asked about this and we were told it was not possible. We would really like to sit down with officers if you could facilitate that to see whether this can be used or part of it could be used for that purpose please.

**Councillor A Thornton**

Yes of course we will endeavour to keep you informed and allow you to have a conversation with officers about your ambitions for a playground in that particular area.

**16. Councillor Davies**

Can the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services inform colleagues of who was on the panel responsible for appointing Elaine McHale as Chair of Bradford's Safeguarding Children Board?

**Answer**

Government guidance Working Together 2013 requires the appointment to be made by the Chief Executive. So an interview panel was chaired by the Chief Executive of the Council, Mr Tony Reeves, the Director of Children's Services, Mr Michael Jameson and other members of the panel represented the NHS Clinical Commissioning Groups and West Yorkshire Police.

A multi-agency reference group supported the recruitment process consisting of the Assistant Director for Specialist Children's Services, Ms Julie Jenkins, the Manager of Bradford Safeguarding Children Board, Mr Paul Hill, a representative of the NSPCC and Superintendent Vince Firth representing West Yorkshire Police. A young people's panel also interviewed candidates and its views were considered by the interview panel and the reference panel.

**Supplementary Question**

Were you involved in her appointment at all?

**Councillor Berry**

I can answer that. I think if you actually read the answer it is this Government's working together framework in 2013 specifically bars the Lead Member from being involved in the appointment of the independent safeguarding board. It has been made as precisely the government requirements specify. I have no involvement whatsoever and in a sense I would be tempted to say that oh but I have been able to but actually on this occasion it is a separation of responsibility as it is one person holding the other to account to a degree. So I do actually on this occasion agree with the Government's rules.

**17. Councillor Jabar**

Since the Families First programme was introduced what impact has it had and have the targets set been met?

**Answer**

Bradford has been allocated 1760 Families to work with under phase 1 of the programme. 85% (1505) of the families have been 'turned around' up to February 2015. 1452 families have made improvements in reductions in crime & anti-social behaviour and improved attendance at school. 160 adults have come off benefits and entered work and 63 adults have made progress to work. Bradford will be reporting its final results in May 2015 and is on track to make improvements in 95% of the Families.

**18. Councillor Ahmed**

How many cases of child sex exploitation have been reported to the Authority over the last 20 years either directly by individuals or concerns raised by current or former staff resulting in

- (a) no action taken
- (b) action taken below the standard required
- (c) satisfactory action being taken against the perpetrators?

**Answer**

Like all Council areas, Bradford Children's Services and the Police cannot identify specific cases or numbers going back over twenty years. This is because Bradford did not previously record Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) as a specific category of abuse. Cases were recorded as child sexual abuse and it is not possible to undertake a manual trawl of the social work system to extract this data for the period prior to April 2014 as it would take months. A new IT system has been purchased and it records separately child sexual exploitation cases. Between 7 April 2014 and 9 March 2015 there were 481 potential cases of CSE referred to the Council and its partners through the CSE Hub. Every case is kept under daily review and risk assessed. Police action is taken dependent on the specific situation, including warnings for harbouring and prosecution for sexual offences. There have been 243 harbouring warnings issued in the district since 2007. The Police, like the social work teams have only recently started identifying specific CSE offences on their database. This followed after national agreement on a definition of CSE.

The majority of CSE criminal investigations for the Bradford District are managed within the Hub. However, particularly complex and resource intensive investigations may be managed within the Homicide and Major Enquiries Team (HMET) of West Yorkshire Police. The CSE team based at the Hub is currently undertaking 48 separate investigations. These investigations include situations where there is evidence of CSE and grooming taking place using the internet and social media, as well as "street grooming". There are currently 31 suspects, some of whom are currently on police bail, linked to these investigations. The ethnic origin for these suspects is: Asian 18, white British 11, of central eastern European 2. In the course of the last 6 months 13 individuals have been charged with offences related to CSE as a result of work undertaken by staff at the Hub. Of this group, 7 are awaiting trial, 4 have been convicted, 1 has been found not guilty and 1 has received a caution.

**19. Councillor Thirkill**

Will the Leader and Portfolio holder be contacting the Leadership Team and staff at Bradford Central District Pupil Referral Unit to thank and congratulate them on a tremendous result of Outstanding in every category of their recent Ofsted report and to identify the lessons of their success?

This school deals with some of our most challenging children working to re establish education and re engage to return to main stream education.

They have worked hard to rise from a good to outstanding in every category Ofsted. A remarkable achievement for staff and Pupils.

**Answer**

The Leader and Portfolio holder will make contact with Bradford Central Pupil Referral Unit to congratulate them on their OFSTED outcome and will ask the Interim Assistant Director Access and Inclusion to identify the lessons of their success and ensure that these are shared across all of Bradford Pupil Referral Units.

**20. Councillor Mallinson**

Can the Leader of the Council provide details as to how many electric vehicles there are in the District and how much use is made of each of the charging points, along with the cost to create the charging points?

**Answer**

By June 2014 there were 35 plug-in vehicles registered in the district. The overall cost of installing three publicly available re-charging points £31,570 – 75% of this was met by a government grant. To date the Jacobs Well charge-point has been used 116 times, Baildon 0 times, Keighley 3 times.

**Supplementary Question**

Can the Leader just inform me if there are any more recharging points as planned across the district and if so where?

**Councillor Green**

No I cannot but I know somebody who most probably can and I will get them to write to you.

**21. Councillor Akthar**

In the light of the outstanding Ofsted judgements at Highfield Community Pre-School and St Edmunds Nursery School can the Portfolio Holder tell us if there are any plans to ensure that lessons from the success of these schools are identified and shared with others so that all our children can benefit from their success?

**Answer**

When schools and settings receive outstanding judgements this is both celebrated, promoted and shared within Bradford District. There is a great deal of learning to be taken from schools such as these and their work is promoted from within the Early Years and Achievement Service. In the case of St Edmunds as a teaching school their role includes supporting other schools, school – led initial training and professional development.

**22. Councillor Swallow**

Could the Portfolio Holder advise as to what steps are being taken to increase the level of recycling across the District? What information is available to householders to assist them in knowing what can and can't be put in the doorstep recycling bins and which items can be recycled at the HWRC (household waste recycling centres)? What are the current levels of recycling broken down by ward?

**Answer**

Executive approved a new Municipal Waste Minimisation and Management Strategy for the District on 13 January 2015. A key element of the Strategy is to minimise and manage waste at the highest possible stage of the waste hierarchy by reducing, reusing and recycling waste. A Waste Minimisation Plan is being prepared based around education (including work in schools, with communities and with Council staff and partners), engagement (for example with residents in their homes, through community groups, at roadshows, through a pilot 'rewards' scheme) and through service change (including a new Waste Collection Policy).

The Council provides information through its website, leaflets, Customer Services and engagement activities explaining how to reduce, reuse and recycle waste and further communication through these channels will be developed as the Waste Minimisation Plan is implemented.

Across the District, 50.8% of domestic waste was recycled (including composting) in 2013/14 of which 15.1% was kerbside recycling. Figures for kerbside waste are

available by waste collection round but not at ward level. As a generalisation, recycling levels are lower in higher density, inner city areas than in the rest of the District (see map attached at end of questions).

**23. Councillor Fear**

To the Leader of Council - When will ward Councillors in Idle & Thackley and in Eccleshill be formally consulted as part of the decision to replace the Greengates junction at New Line/ Harrogate Road?

**Answer**

The formal consultation with ward members on the proposals for the West Yorkshire+ Transport Fund Harrogate Road / New Line Project will take place before the end of March. Mutually agreeable dates/times are currently being agreed between officers and the respective members.

**Supplementary Question**

The local councillors for Eccleshill and Idle or at least the ones on this bench have now met with the highways department to discuss this but my question is why did it take a question at Council and a question from me at Scrutiny for this to happen?

**Councillor Green**

I am not sure it does take a question to Council because I am not sure when the meetings were fixed up, when officers were aware that the question had come in although I take the general point and it is something that we are continuing to work with and we have spoken to the Interim Chief Executive and others is that we need that better communication with ward councillors about things that are going on in their ward that will affect their ward. It has been endemic for almost as long as I have been on Council that consultation. We have not got it right. We still have not got it right. It is not good enough. We are elected all of us to represent our communities and we need to make sure that officers are aware of that.

**24. Councillor Sykes**

Given that Cllr Berry has been in charge of declining education standards in Bradford for the past 5 years why doesn't he welcome the Prime Minister's plans for poor performing schools to be helped by bringing in the country's best head-teachers to run them?

**Answer**

Standards have not declined over the last five years however we have been clear throughout that progress needs to go further and faster.

The opportunity of using outstanding and good head teachers to support the running of schools in challenging circumstances is being promoted within Bradford. We are part of the Talented Leaders Programme led by the National College for Teaching and Leadership who support us to bring in as required outstanding leaders from out of the District. We also utilise our good and outstanding schools to capitalise on the skills of leaders in these schools. Examples can be seen with several of our schools where executive head teacher models have developed. These include:

- Horton Grange / Copthorne
- Holybrook / Parkland
- Beckfoot / Hazelbeck

One of the key messages we are promoting is that the traditional model of one head teacher for one school is not necessarily the best approach. In all maintained schools where head teacher vacancies are arising the initial question is what is the best type of leadership for the school? It may be a new head teacher but equally it could be an executive head teacher model where one of our outstanding leaders manages the school or a talented leader. This work is undertaken working in partnership with the Governing Body of the school where the vacancy has arisen.

### **Supplementary Question**

Thank you for the answer. Fine everything apart from the first paragraph Ralph. What I would like to ask you if standards have not declined I would like to understand what your definition of success is given the headlines that we have read for the last umpteen years about education standards in Bradford?

### **Councillor Berry**

What you are referring to in terms of decline is the gap widening. It is not absolute decline. It is the gap between where we are and where we need to be. Can I say on the back of what else is in the question we actually have a cohort of Bradford up and coming headteachers, aspiring headteachers, applying for a national government programme that has been launched by the future Leaders Trust. That includes a significant number of BME applicants as well but I think it is actually not helpful to talk about absolute decline when it isn't that. It is a gap in terms of where the averages are and it is not helpful to misquote that but we are absolutely very clear about where we need to be and what we want done. I say this for anybody who is a governor in here I do not think everybody is going to enjoy some of what we are going to have to do because what we will be saying is before you can appoint your headteacher we need to have a good review as to whether that is the right thing to do about whether that is the right governance arrangement or whether you ought to be joined up because over the next two years schools are going to be taking around a 8½% cut and one form primary schools and schools that are even smaller than one form primary are probably not going to be terribly viable unless they start consorting and federating and doing some of the other things so there are a number of reasons why we need to be doing this but I agree with you that we need to be pursuing every possible way to get good leadership which was something that was in our budget.

### **25. Councillor Hassan Khan**

Can the Leader tell us which schemes and firms in the Bradford District have received support from the Leeds City Region Local Enterprise Partnership and the West Yorkshire Combined Authority?

### **Answer**

The following Bradford schemes have received support from the LCR and West Yorkshire Combined Authority:

- funding of £958,128 to establish an Apprenticeship Training Agency and Apprenticeship Hub within Bradford
- £1.038m from the Leeds City Region Headstart programme to support the Employment Opportunities Fund
- £0.1m to Shipley College for the refurbishment of the Mill Building
- £12m to the Bradford University Digital Health Enterprise Zone
- £5.2m for One City Park to develop Grade A offices on the site of the former Tyrls Police Station

- £0.9m to improve properties in Bradford under the LCR Green Deal scheme. The Strategic Heat Programme produced research and material that has aided a bid to DECC for £66k to support District Heat development in Bradford City Centre – Civic Quarter.
- In the current year Bradford is receiving over £7m as part of the West Yorkshire Transport Plan including £0.75m for the Leeds-Bradford Cycle Superhighway. In addition, the following schemes have been included in the West Yorkshire Plus Transport Plan
  - Harrogate Road/New Line Junction, £4.9m total scheme with £106,000 currently allocated
  - Bradford - Keighley A650 Hard Ings Road & town centre improvements, £10.3m total scheme with £118,000
  - Bradford Gateways, £95,000 design support, £95, 000 allocated
  - A650 Tong Street Improvements, £7.1m total scheme, with £50k allocated

In addition, the LCR/WYCA has provided funding of £50k to support two SME growth managers for Bradford one of which is shared with Craven.

In terms of funding for Bradford businesses. As at 26 January 2015 six Bradford companies had received grants of over £100k from the Business Growth Programme, totalling £2,484, 930. Four of these firms were in the manufacturing sector. A further, 81 Bradford companies had received grants up to £100k from the Programme, totalling £2,847,866. Bradford businesses received the largest sum of small grants in the city region. The vast majority of these were in the manufacturing sector.

**26. Councillor Swallow**

I understand that Universal Credit applications are to start being rolled out in the Bradford District for selected categories of claimants from September 2015 and are to be made online. Job Seekers are also now expected to be able to evidence full time job searching including having online CVs available with Universal Jobmatch. Could the Portfolio Holder confirm what support there is to assist Job Seekers / Benefit Claimants with available internet access? Or is this just another example of this current Government's short sightedness in making expectations without ensuring there are sufficient resources to meet the needs especially in light of budget constraints for local library services?

**Answer**

The Council has been advised by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) that Universal Credit for new, single job-seekers will roll-out in Bradford between September and November this year.

The DWP have recently told us, as Councillor Swallow suggests, that since April 2014, anyone making a new claim to Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) is expected to have an on-line CV and be registered on Universal Jobmatch. It has also been well publicised that applications for Universal Credit are likewise to be made on-line.

The Council has been working with local partners for some time, to understand both the demand for and availability of, public access PCs to support those that do not have immediate access themselves.

We have identified and mapped all Council owned public access PCs and are waiting to receive data regarding those available from Incommunities and the local Job Centres. Those available in the Voluntary Sector will also been included shortly. This information



will be made available on the Council's website and linked to our partners sites. We will also be publicising this widely as more becomes known about the full role out of Universal Credit.

We are also trying to understand the likely demand for these services. A recent exercise in Thornbury between Incommunities, Manningham Housing and the Council, sought to understand how 'ready' our residents were for Universal Credit. This has shown that 75% of residents had regular access to the internet and 61% said they would be confident in using on-line services. This was only a small sample and we intend to do a further exercise, possibly in Keighley, to validate these numbers.

The DWP have indicated that further funding will be available to help residents use the online services, although detail of this will not be available until nearer the roll-out date.

### **Supplementary Question**

Thank you very much for the answer. The last sentence of your answer gives me some concern. You have said that the DWP have indicated that further funding will be available. I would like to know where that funding is coming from because when I asked the DWP myself in Bradford they assumed that that was coming from the local authority? They were not very clear about it.

### **Councillor Green**

The indication that I give in my reply is that it is coming from the Government because the Government have said that that money will be available although to be fair to the Government for once I do not think they ever specified exactly where it is coming from. There has been no indication given to us that it is expected that the local authority will provide that fund therefore I would expect it to come via the DWP.

### **27. Councillor Davies**

Can the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services advise colleagues of under what circumstances are Agency Foster Carers used, how many are there and what is the cost difference between using them and the Council's own foster carers?

### **Answer**

Agency foster carers are only used when our own in house fostering service cannot provide a placement to meet the assessed needs of the young person. We currently have 358 children living with in-house foster carers at an average cost of £414 per child per week. 31 children are in purchased agency foster care at an average cost per child of £869 per week.

### **28. Councillor Engel**

Is the Portfolio Holder aware of ongoing issues around drainage at Lady Hill Park and will they undertake to ensure that appropriate investigations of the problems are carried out with a view to resolving the issue sooner rather than later?

### **Answer**

The Drainage Department have been historically involved with Lady Hill Park in relation to surface water issues affecting numbers 33, 35 and 37 Park Hill Drive. Lady Hill Park is positioned at a higher level to the properties listed and during heavy rainfall events, water seepages were sometimes recorded in the back gardens and outbuildings. The drainage department worked with the Parks Services to eliminate potential issues that would

generate surface water runoff from the park. This included the relaying of a private water main within the park and the maintenance/ reinstatement of footpath gullies that drained areas of the park. This assisted with reducing unwanted runoff in the properties.

The properties have a culverted watercourse running through the boundaries. This was also inspected and was passed as been in good condition with no operational defects.

Some water seepages were still been encountered during heavy rainfall events therefore a desk top investigation of historical maps and records was undertaken by the drainage department. This investigation revealed that a natural spring is located to the rear of the properties. Natural springs are unlegislated and runoff generated by these cannot be enforced upon. The drainage department therefore advised the residents of the source of the water and worked closely with them to help alleviate the problem in the properties in line with the legislation available.

**29. Councillor Dunbar**

Can the portfolio holder give information on the impact of recent national decisions on schools budgets and the pressures identified upon delegated budgets over the next 2 years?

**Answer**

In summary, the Authority's analysis indicates that the average pressure on expenditure in maintained schools in Bradford is 3% in the 2015/16 financial year and a further 4.5% in the 2016/17 financial year; 7.5% across 2 years. This is prior to the implementation of the living wage. The profile of this pressure is different for academies on an academic year basis; pressure in the 2015/16 academic year is greater than the 2015/16 financial year due to timing of changes in salary costs. Please be aware that the percentages above are only illustrative. The position for individual schools and academies may vary significantly up and down from an average.

Using these averages, 'real terms' expenditure in maintained schools will be required to reduce by at least £10.7m in 2015/16 and by at least £17.0m in 2016/17 in order for budgets to remain balanced.

This pressure is assuming the continuation of a 'cash flat' funding settlement, whilst at the same time the cost of salaries is increasing significantly, in particular as a result of changes to the employer's contribution to pension schemes and, from April 2016, additional costs associated with employer's National Insurance contributions. The figures quoted above are prior to consideration of the impact of funding changes, in particular reductions in Minimum Funding Guarantee Protection, Post 16 Funding Protection and in the ESG allocations received by academies. These reductions will affect individual schools and academies differently and may significantly increase their levels of budget challenge.

The Schools Forum considered this matter at its meeting on 11 March. The Decisions List from this meeting records the Forum's immediate concerns and requested actions.

**30. Councillor Poulsen**

Can the Portfolio Holder for Environment & Sport provide details and costs of all maintenance and improvement works carried out on the current Jacobs Well building and

car park etc, over the last five years, broken down into individual months and with details of the authorising officer?

**Answer**

The total spend on Jacobs Well building for the past 5 years is £3,788,876.71. A breakdown as requested is given below. A list of the specific items of expenditure can be provided in an electronic format if required.

For your information £2.4m of this spend was capital allocation approved and managed through the Property Programme on an 'invest to save' basis and the following benefits are directly attributable to the investment:

- 7 buildings were vacated (leased and freehold) generating savings.
- £6.3m backlog maintenance reduction was achieved.
- £1m capital receipts were captured
- Additional benefits
  - Reduction in utility costs
  - Improved business synergies e.g. Services/Teams in one building
  - Improved Policies & Processes to support business delivery
- Introduction of New Ways of Working:
  - Reduction in car mileage/travel costs
  - Reduction in sickness reported
  - Reduction in CO2 emissions/congestion etc.

The authorising officer for the planned cyclical and reactive building maintenance work is the Building and Technical Services Manager.

There have been a small number of maintenance issues dealt with in more area wide programmes and not specifically itemised, but the three interventions directly apportioned to the car park are the introduction of the electric charging point, the overall cost of this was £12,408 with 75% of the costs from Government grant. The Environment and Climate Change team match funded this by 25% a total of £ 3,102, the erection of the height barriers costing £2,466 (2014/2015), and the upgrading of the barrier control system £3,900 (2012).

	£
April-2010	10,870.00
May-2010	38,690.00
June-2010	161,045.00
July-2010	43,980.00
August-2010	19,178.00
September-2010	654,412.00
October-2010	27,539.00
November-2010	4,582.00
December-2010	4,293.00
January-2011	7,994.00
February-2011	10,366.00
March-2011	11,392.00
April-2011	13,597.00
May-2011	27,012.00
June-2011	65,682.00
July-2011	7,338.00
August-2011	42,685.00
September-2011	165,990.00
October-2011	4,985.00

November-2011	3,053.00
December-2011	29,735.00
January-2012	214,931.00
February-2012	15,980.00
March-2012	1,003,000.00
April-2012	68,524.00
May-2012	123,211.00
June-2012	356,577.00
July-2012	89,199.00
August-2012	32,476.00
September-2012	17,745.00
October-2012	42,629.00
November-2012	29,577.00
December-2012	2,670.00
January-2013	12,473.00
February-2013	15,174.00
March-2013	22,960.00
April-2013	39,272.11
May-2013	15,285.43
June-2013	30,005.00
July-2013	8,090.00
August-2013	11,666.00
September-2013	5,405.00
October-2013	5,220.00
November-2013	10,048.65
December-13	12,934.42
January-14	12,410.84
February-14	9,428.20
March-2014	14,910.98
April-2014	95,300.50
May-2014	13,720.56
June-2014	69,394.52
July-2014	1,920.00
August-2014	3,584.00
September-2014	6,171.50
October-2014	3,900.00
November-2014	1,818.00
December-2014	600.00
January-2015	12,962.00
February-2015	3,285.00
	£
	<b>3,788,876.71</b>

### **Supplementary Question**

Can I thank the portfolio holder and officers for the very detailed breakdown of the cost here, month by month. To me £3.788 plus million is quite a lot of money to have been spent on a building that you are planning on pulling down. Do you think that is good value for money and how much of that money are we are likely to recoup on the development?

### **Councillor A Thornton**

I think you need to recognise that when we actually took on Jacob's Well we did so in the view that it would be a mid-term kind of investment and that it would probably have a life of about three to five years. What we actually know is that the amounts that we have spent on the building through the property programme have actually been recouped. They are providing ongoing savings. They are providing good value for money for the

Council taxpayer of the district and should the Public Service Hub thing go ahead which we all hope it will then clearly we will have recouped some of our investment through that process.

**31. Councillor Swallow**

Dog fouling is, in some parts of the District, a persistent and disgusting issue. Please would the Portfolio Holder advise what actions have been taken to deal with dog fouling, how many penalty notices have been issued and how many prosecutions there have been in each of the last five years?

**Answer**

Any complaints of dog fouling where the offender can be identified and the witness is prepared to provide a supporting witness statement are sent to Environmental Enforcement who will issue a fixed penalty notice to the alleged offender.

Cases where the offender is known but the witness is not prepared to give a witness statement or where there have been repeated incidents of fouling are sent to the Council Warden Service. Wardens will write to or visit the alleged offender and will carry out patrols in order to try to identify the dog owners concerned. In addition Wardens will also carry out leaflet drops and door knocking in an effort to deter irresponsible dog ownership.

Where Council Wardens witness dog fouling incidents they will issue fixed penalty fines to offenders.

Targeted patrols are undertaken at known 'hot spots' including early morning, late night and weekend patrols. When necessary Wardens will undertake patrols in plain clothes in an attempt to identify offenders.

Tackling dog fouling is a priority for the Service but enforcing these offences is difficult because offenders have to be caught in the act. However, support from the public is helping address this longstanding problem.

The Green Dog walkers scheme is a community led initiative that encourages people to take a pledge to be a responsible dog owner and clean up after their dog. Local volunteers approach other dog walkers in a non-confrontational manner to promote responsible dog ownership. The Neighbourhood Service provides support for such things as armbands, promotional materials and bags. Its success depends on visibility, and friendly peer pressure to encourage change in attitude. Volunteers sign up to the pledge, wear the armband and commit to carrying extra waste disposal bags with them so that they can offer these to anyone that they see who has not got one.

The Council has also recently joined forces with Keep Britain Tidy and is piloting a new campaign in Shipley constituency to tackle dog fouling hotspots. Dog fouling tends to occur at night time and during the months with shorter days, as some dog owners feel that they can't be seen 'under the cover of darkness'. The 'We're watching you' series of signs are visible after dark, and use innovative cutting edge materials and are designed to deter dog fouling offences. Pilots in other parts of the country have seen up to an average reduction of 46% in the levels of dog fouling in areas where the posters were used. Following evaluation of its success a decision will be made on whether to extend the pilot as a district wide campaign.

In terms of Enforcement Action 26 Fixed Penalty Notices (FPNs) were served with 1 prosecution for non-payment of the fine in 2010/11. 45 FPNs were issued with no prosecutions in 2011/12. In 2012/13 31 FPNs were issued with no prosecutions. In 2013/14 24 FPNs were issued with 2 prosecutions and in 2014 to March 2015 26 FPNs have been issued with 2 successful prosecutions.

**32. Councillor Reid**

To the Portfolio Holder for Planning & Transportation - Where will new bus stops be located to serve the Westfield Shopping Centre when it opens?

**Answer**

As part of the Council's preparations for the opening of the Westfield Shopping Centre a review of bus stops took place. This review identified that with a few minor exceptions all the existing bus stop facilities were suitably located to serve the shopping centre and hence will be retained in their current locations. The exceptions to this are:

- The existing bus stop and shelter on Market Street will be replaced by a new shelter in its current location.
- A new bus stop and shelter have already been installed on Church Bank.
- The existing bus shelter on Hall Ings near the junction of Bank Street will be removed and replaced by a bus stop with shelter and raised kerbs close to the junction of Well Street and Hall Ings.
- A further bus stop will be installed on Hall Ings (inbound only) opposite the law courts.

**Supplementary Question**

I am grateful for the answer and the reference to Market Street. There are several bus stops and shelters on Market Street. Would I be right in thinking that the one set up for replacement is the one commonly referred to as the back to front bus shelter?

**Councillor Val Slater**

I do not know but I will find out and let you know.

**33. Councillor Faisal Khan**

How can we ensure that managed moves are not being used by some schools as a way to unofficially exclude pupils, where parents disagree how many students are stuck in isolation or out of school for more than a few weeks, and how many over two months, what is the gender ethnicity and age profile?

**Answer**

All arrangements concerning Managed Moves are the responsibility of the receiving and referring school. Before a Managed Move takes place a discussion between school, parent and student must occur. Where there is no parental support for a Managed Move, the school will present options which, depending on the age of the student, could range from referral to Central/District PRU, alternative provision for some times of the week or a block period of time. Isolation is a short term, within school, response to a breach of the behaviour policy. The home school decides a managed move is the way forward and meets with parents to get preferences of the alternative schools that would be acceptable they then have to get the acceptance from the preferred school followed by a subsequent admission meeting. The home school should continue to make full-time provision during this period. When parents complain to the Local Authority that their child is out of school

during this process the behaviour support service would act on this information and contact the school concerned to ensure a quick admission to the preferred school.

The following details the information requested in terms of Managed Moves, as notified to the Authority for the current academic year:

Pupils on Managed Moves by Ethnicity and Gender 16/03/2015			
Ethnicity	Code	Gender	
		F	M
Bangladeshi	ABAN	1	0
Indian	AIND	0	2
Mirpuri Pakistani	AMPK	3	5
Any Other Pakistani	AOPK	7	7
Any Other Asian Background	AOTH	0	3
Pakistani	APKN	0	2
Black African	BAFR	0	1
Black Caribbean	BCRB	1	0
Any Other mixed Background	MOTH	0	1
White/Asian	MWAS	1	3
Any Other Ethnic Group	OOTH	1	0
White British	WBRI	34	37
Any Other White Background	WOTH	0	1
Gypsy/Roma	WROM	2	1
Total		50	63

### Supplementary Question

Thank you for the answer to the question. Apart from the number of children that are in Managed Moves the question really was how many children were stuck in the isolation unit. It does say here for a short term and by that it would mean a few days. I really want to understand given that there are cases where children that are stuck in isolation units for sometimes up to many months within schools. I was trying to understand that and how we would safeguard those children?

### Councillor Berry

Certainly undertake to take a closer look at how quickly these moves are taking place but I am myself quite concerned to make sure that the Managed Moves process continues to work effectively and smoothly. I think there has been a bit of drag. There is no point in denying otherwise. The numbers are up and I am concerned to make sure that people are not taking any particular advantage of the situation. In the past there were situations where some schools were not so happy to take pupils with particular challenges. It has got to be based on an entirely pupil needs led process and it has to be reciprocity. It has been working well up to fairly recently but I have also received some information which leads me to indicate that there has been some degree of resistance by certain parties and I want that looked into as a matter of urgency because we do not want young people lingering in this system. I think there has been perhaps a need to reassert the founding principles of this process and that if you do not keep putting your foot down certain habits will creep back into the system.

**34. Councillor Whiteley**

Can the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services indicate how much faith we can put in the Council's support for struggling schools, when Belle Vue Boys school was judged inadequate by Ofsted last summer after 3 years of being run by Council appointed governors brought in specifically to improve standards?

**Answer**

The Council has a well developed strategy for working with its schools that are causing concern (see response to Q.11).

Belle Vue Boys' School has been a cause for concern since for some years. The school was in Priority 1 (school causing concern) and subject to intensive support and challenge from September 2009 to February 2012 when it was moved to Priority 2 following clear indications of improved outcomes.

An IEB was placed in the school in April 2011 following the issuing of a Warning Notice (December 2010) that raised serious concerns about a breakdown of school governance which was prejudicing standards of performance in the school. It was quickly agreed with the IEB that the exit strategy should involve the school converting to become a sponsored academy. However despite the Department for Education's full involvement, they were only able to provide a viable sponsor in late 2014.

A Bradford Partnership review with local authority involvement in November 2013 indicated that significant work was needed to improve the quality of teaching and raising achievement. Insufficient impact was seen at Ofsted's May 2014 inspection and the school was placed in special measures.

Belle Vue Boys' School represents one example from a small number where the Council's strategy for ensuring 'schools causing concern' make the necessary improvements in a timely manner was unsuccessful. There are many more examples where school leaders, supported and challenged by Council officers, have made strong improvements. Examples include Miriam Lord, which under the leadership of an IEB, had its leadership and management judged outstanding at its last Ofsted inspection. Lapage Primary School is another example of a school that flourished under an IEB before returning the school to community governance – the improvement has been maintained. Some schools haven't needed an IEB to see a turnaround in their fortunes, supported by Council officers, they include St Francis' Catholic, Immanuel College, Heaton St Barnabas', Farfield, Hazelbeck Special School all of which have been on the Council's list of schools causing concern in recent years and are now successful good and outstanding schools.

However the local authority's school improvement services aren't complacent and know that further improvements in their effectiveness need to be made. Hence Professor David Woods' recent review (and report) of the LA and partnerships' impact on school improvement and the developments that have come as a result of this. The new Assistant Director for Education and School Improvement is now leading that work as part of her remit.

**Supplementary Question**

Thank you for your answer. I would like to know what confidence does the portfolio holder have that the appointed governors at Laisterdyke Business and Enterprise College will succeed in resolving the difficulties in that school?



### **Councillor Berry**

I am very happy to answer a question that does not relate to the question that has been asked. I am very confident. But you are somewhat skating over the answer to the question that you have received which is that the requirement of your Government to insist on a parade of non-interested academy sponsors was the issue that caused the delay at Belle Vue. If we hadn't had to wait two and half years for academy sponsors and taken the action that we took at Wyke Manor to create Appleton Academy it would have been further. In terms of the IEB at Laisterdyke it is getting to grips with the issues. We have got the projections. It is holding the management to account. The minutes should be available. If anyone wants any further questions have a look and if you want to know anymore please ask me. It is the first time I have to say any Member of Council of any party has asked me that question. I welcome the questions.

### **35. Councillor Lynne Smith**

Will the portfolio join me in condemning the pre election announcement on the Tory commitment to significant increase in the provision of free schools without any evidence of need? Given the continued prohibition on councils opening new community schools linked to areas of high need, does he feel as I do that this policy is at its heart designed to suck the life out of LA schools thereby returning Education to charities and patronage in a Victorian model, unfit for the 21st century?

### **Answer**

I agree that the Coalition Government's policy on schools continues to be ideologically driven rather than being based on evidence and need and is quite shamelessly putting scarce resources into new Free Schools where they are not needed while starving Bradford District of the money it requires to meet local demand. The whole process of funding free schools direct through the DfE is neither open nor transparent nor is it consistent with school place planning with very limited consultation with local authorities taking place. Tying the hands of local authorities does nothing to help them meet local needs.

There is no evidence that a free school is necessarily a good school or that not requiring teachers, including heads, to have qualifications is beneficial to educational achievement. Our experience locally with Kings Science Academy indicates the risks attached to the policy and in other parts of the country several Free Schools have closed.

### **Supplementary Question**

The other concern I have on which I notice you took on in your reply is the evidence of whether these free schools are good. The Prime Minister made the statement that the majority were good or outstanding. It my understanding that this claim cannot be justified as most of them have not been open long enough to meet the criteria to be so judged. Am I correct in that as far as you are aware?

### **Councillor Berry**

The House of Commons education committee has been very clear. The successful schools in this country are not related to whether there are free schools, academy, faith schools or others. It is about leadership. In fact the situation we have around our borders and around here is that some of the free schools that have been opened up in recent times. There is a problem at Durham which looks like another one will have to go. Everybody remember Birkenshaw? There was a man that came to Birkenshaw, went to a site of a middle school that was being closed because of reorganisation. We remember middle school reorganisation. They said they were going to open a brand new spanking

free school that was going to be the answer to all that area of Kirklees problems. Come on then, let's hear about it because there is a roaring silence in that part of Kirklees about the project of that free school. The problem we have here is one we will refer to later is the chaos in planning and organising an education system. It is actually unhelpful to build good buildings in the wrong place particularly for primary schools. They need to be pram pushed distance for parents to get to and they are hubs for the community where we hope to be delivering early help, mental health services, counselling services, that is what a primary school should be. Not built at the back of a railway station.

**36. Councillor L'Amie**

At January's Full Council meeting we were told that action would be taken to ERADICATE the backlog of broken street lights – can the Portfolio Holder tell me how many lights are currently awaiting repair?

**Answer**

Unfortunately due to circumstances beyond our control the number of outstanding faults has increased and therefore increased the repair times, for which I can only apologise. The action taken to reduce the backlog was to hire an additional vehicle and to reorganise the repair teams to utilise this along with the three vehicles of our own.

Since the beginning of January we have lost 27 days due to staff being stood down during the day after gritting duties through the night. Also the specialist street lighting vehicles have been off the road for 63 days due to defects and inspections required for the operators licence.

As of Tuesday 17/03/15 there were 1050 faults outstanding, if we have all operatives and vehicles available we should clear this backlog in six weeks.

**Supplementary Question**

I find the Portfolio Holder's earlier answer to Councillor Middleton somewhat confusing in the sense that given that last year was a fairly mild winter there is allegedly a £2m underspend on last year's budget. Would it not have been possible to divert extra resources to sorting the street lighting problem out? This is not things beyond control. It is arguably incompetence and does the Portfolio Holder intend to ensure that this will never happen again?

**Councillor Val Slater**

I do not think anybody can give those kind of guarantees. Last year the underspend in the winter gritting if you remember was redirected to dealing with potholes. If there is an underspend this year then we will have a look at where we redirect that money. I have already said the current situation about street lighting is not acceptable which is why I met with the Assistant Director earlier and insisted that we have a programme to deal with it. I do not believe I used the word eradicate when somebody asked me the question in December. It is not a word I would use and I would say I am a bit disappointed in people. If there has been such a big issue, and you are aware that the backlog is actually building, then if you come to me earlier rather than waiting for a question in Council I would have been able to deal with it earlier and hopefully I am approachable and if people do raise things with me I do deal with them. I had earlier today a meeting with the Queensbury Councillors on another issue that needs dealing with. Yes the situation is not good. We will try and get it sorted but I cannot give any guarantees because you never know what is going to happen.

**37. Councillor Swallow**

Could the Portfolio Holder tell me if there is support for parents whose children are looked after to be able to have contact in the evening or weekends as appropriate in order to maintain meaningful contact outside of working hours so that parents can either get into or continue to work and to work towards establishing a satisfactory income and home life for their children to hopefully return to if appropriate?

**Answer**

For the majority of children who are in care long term contact is regularly after school at evenings and during school holidays. For some it takes place at weekends and this includes both supervised and unsupervised contacts. In the Looked After team, there are some social workers and community resource workers who will work weekends to supervise contacts. With younger children and babies, many of the parents are not in employment and there has not been demand for contact outside of working hours that we cannot meet. Where children are placed in Friends and Family placements, carers do often supervise contact which is outside normal working hours as will mainstream foster carers.

**38. Councillor Middleton**

To the Portfolio Holder for Employment, Skills & Culture - When will Eccleshill Library building on Undercliffe Road be reopening to the Public?

**Answer**

We are expecting Eccleshill Library to reopen to the public on Monday 30 March.

**39. Councillor Lynne Smith**

Was the leader as astonished as I was to read in the right wing press that "Councils are hiring again for the first time in four years?" In the light of the further crippling cuts faced by this authority and many others it seemed less confusing when it became clear that this misleading headline was based on just 12 respondents. Will he join me in condemning this cynical ploy to mislead the electorate on the truly crippling effect of these cuts on essential public services?

Does the continued rhetoric about efficiency savings and failure to reduce the deficit reaffirm that these cuts are based on ideology and not the balance of payments?

**Answer**

I join Cllr Smith in condemning a cynical but hardly unexpected misrepresentation of the truth. After five years of distortions and misinformation about the true scale and impact of Government cuts to Council funding little surprises me about the extent to which the Government and elements of the media are prepared to mislead the public on this issue.

Since 2011 the Council has had to save £170m. We have worked hard to protect services in making £112m of those savings by improving efficiency and cutting the excessive costs left behind by the Council's previous Conservative leadership which singularly failed to control spending while increasing Council tax by 4% a year. Other measures have included generating additional income and changes to terms and conditions. Management costs have reduced by over £11m and we have had to reduce the number of Council jobs by almost 1,700.

Yet there is no reward for those efforts. The former leader of that failed administration, now Local Government Minister, has chosen to hand down even more politically targeted cuts that will see his constituents in Keighley suffer disproportionately while affluent Conservative heartlands in the South of England see spending power increase, all the while pretending that the cuts are fair and proportionate, and that funding is sufficient to meet needs such as the rapidly rising demand for social care without having to make service reductions.

The reality is that ongoing, unfairly distributed, cuts on the scale handed down by the Coalition Government mean that reductions to services are inevitable and the viability of some is in question. The Government's record shows it neither knows nor cares about the cumulative damage its policies are inflicting on the District's communities, that Local Government Ministers are more concerned about playing cynical political games with local services and that the only devolution they really care about is trying to devolve the blame for Council cuts.

## Question 9

<u>Bingley Pool</u>		<u>Bowling Pool</u>		<u>Eccleshill Pool</u>	
<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>	<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>	<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>
April-10	£2,156.00	Apr-10	£16,880.00	Jan-10	£875.00
May-10	£3,373.00	May-10	£2,031.00	Feb-10	£306.00
Jun-10	£36.00	Jun-10	£4,217.00	Mar-10	£475.00
Jul-10	£405.00	Jul-10	£4,957.00	Apr-10	£1,249.00
Aug-10	£1,830.00	Aug-10	£952.00	May-10	£9,811.00
Sep-10	£867.00	Sep-10	£825.00	Jun-10	£1,240.00
Oct-10	£4,860.00	Oct-10	£420.00	Jul-10	£2,855.00
Nov-10	£1,744.00	Nov-10	£3,052.00	Aug-10	£3,746.00
Dec-10	£0.00	Dec-10	£2,465.00	Sep-10	£1,313.00
Jan-11	£1,361.00	Jan-11	£2,480.00	Oct-10	£300.00
Feb-11	£1,231.00	Feb-11	£1,280.00	Nov-10	£3,515.00
Mar-11	£17,180.00	Mar-11	£1,880.00	Dec-10	£3,984.00
Apr-11	£2,347.00	Apr-11	£6,141.00	Jan-11	£532.00
May-11	£1,240.00	May-11	£2,944.00	Feb-11	£5,312.00
Jun-11	£2,821.00	Jun-11	£3,597.00	Mar-11	£1,435.00
Jul-11	£550.00	Jul-11	£986.00	Apr-11	£2,769.00
Aug-11	£1,786.00	Aug-11	£140.00	May-11	£1,584.00
Sep-11	£419.00	Sep-11	£2,297.00	Jun-11	£607.00
Oct-11	£40.00	Oct-11	£1,020.00	Jul-11	£575.00
Nov-11	£1,460.00	Nov-11	£650.00	Aug-11	£264.00
Dec-11	£32,228.00	Dec-11	£530.00	Sep-11	£600.00
Jan-12	£17,322.00	Jan-12	£800.00	Oct-11	£1,813.00
Feb-12	£1,119.00	Feb-12	£570.00	Nov-11	£2,994.00
Mar-12	£1,089.00	Mar-12	£100.00	Dec-11	£1,368.00
Apr-12	£2,955.00	Apr-12	£2,290.00	Jan-12	£276.00
May-12	£340.00	May-12	£3,032.00	Feb-12	£1,267.00
Jun-12	£944.00	Jun-12	£1,225.00	Mar-12	£4,235.00
Jul-12	£309.00	Jul-12	£949.00	Apr-12	£1,707.00
Aug-12	£6,125.00	Aug-12	£305.00	May-12	£1,527.00
Sep-12	£258.00	Sep-12	£670.00	Jun-12	£2,527.00
Oct-12	£856.00	Oct-12	£577.00	Jul-12	£5,015.00
Nov-12	£642.00	Nov-12	£2,950.00	Aug-12	£1,150.00
Dec-12	£6,802.00	Dec-12	£2,618.00	Sep-12	£417.00
Jan-13	£967.00	Jan-13	£1,270.00	Oct-12	£6,890.00
Feb-13	£1,741.00	Feb-13	£5,690.00	Nov-12	£1,280.00
Mar-13	£7,984.00	Mar-13	£852.00	Dec-12	£45,884.00
Apr-13	£2,315.94	Apr-13	£775.00	Jan-13	£1,684.00
May-13	£3,515.34	May-13	£3,459.34	Feb-13	£1,150.00
Jun-13	£2,744.71	Jun-13	£5,560.00	Mar-13	£1,189.00
Jul-13	£865.00	Jul-13	£2,049.31	Apr-13	£4,555.00
Aug-13	£847.50	Aug-13	£780.00	May-13	£3,096.30
Sep-13	£11,021.71	Sep-13	£1,725.00	Jun-13	£8,320.70
Oct-13	£1,275.00	Oct-13	£985.70	Jul-13	£2,758.49
Nov-13	£1,100.00	Nov-13	£815.00	Aug-13	£960.00
Dec-13	£1,135.00	Dec-13	£525.00	Sep-13	£1,950.00
Jan-14	£3,358.25	Jan-14	£4,160.00	Oct-13	£7,100.00
Feb-14	£500.00	Feb-14	£850.00	Nov-13	£5,300.00
Mar-14	£210.00	Mar-14	£6,250.00	Dec-13	£551.16

Apr-14	£8,273.71
May-14	£845.00
Jun-14	£4,586.84
Jul-14	£2,250.07
Aug-14	£3,349.00
Sep-14	£1,270.00
Oct-14	£150.00
Nov-14	£2,880.00
Dec-14	£2,120.00
Jan-15	£1,240.00
Feb-15	£2,470.00
Mar-15	£750.00
	£186,460.07

Apr-14	£11,842.00
May-14	£2,420.00
Jun-14	£11,178.00
Jul-14	£1,935.00
Aug-14	£5,000.00
Sep-14	£5,830.16
Oct-14	£4,703.00
Nov-14	£1,150.00
Dec-14	£1,340.00
Jan-15	£3,465.00
Feb-15	£1,450.00
Mar-15	£1,135.00
	£163,024.51

Jan-14	£900.00
Feb-14	£1,023.61
Mar-14	£5,032.00
Apr-14	£5,887.70
May-14	£579.00
Jun-14	£2,682.52
Jul-14	£351.00
Aug-14	£905.50
Sep-14	£830.00
Oct-14	£1,400.00
Nov-14	£2,178.00
Dec-14	£26,250.00
Jan-15	£4,520.00
Feb-15	£2,349.00
Mar-15	£640.00
	£215,839.98

**Ilkley Pool & Lido**

**Keighley Leisure Centre**

**Queensbury Pool**

<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>
Apr-10	£10,296.00
May-10	£2,268.00
Jun-10	£1,664.00
Jul-10	£1,384.00
Aug-10	£14,885.00
Sep-10	£975.00
Oct-10	£2,593.00
Nov-10	£579.00
Dec-10	£1,460.00
Jan-11	£3,973.00
Feb-11	£400,810.00
Mar-11	£52,580.00
Apr-11	£15,670.00
May-11	£821.00
Jun-11	£1,455.00
Jul-11	£1,653.00
Aug-11	£235.00
Sep-11	£1,829.00
Oct-11	£1,381.00
Nov-11	£25,952.00
Dec-11	£8,050.00
Jan-12	£28,850.00
Feb-12	£20,848.00
Mar-12	£31,238.00
Apr-12	£5,156.00
May-12	£12,116.00
Jun-12	£8,814.00
Jul-12	£1,250.00
Aug-12	£720.00
Sep-12	£620.00
Oct-12	£3,508.00
Nov-12	£415.00
Dec-12	£189.00
Jan-13	£9,100.00
Feb-13	£4,239.00
Mar-13	£2,882.00

<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>
Jan-10	£1,300.00
Feb-10	£400.00
Mar-10	£1,700.00
Apr-10	£4,855.00
May-10	£2,495.00
Jun-10	£2,836.00
Jul-10	£6,746.00
Aug-10	£2,210.00
Sep-10	£4,600.00
Oct-10	£16,016.00
Nov-10	£22,653.00
Dec-10	£1,440.00
Jan-11	£1,860.00
Feb-11	£5,237.00
Mar-11	£4,182.00
Apr-11	£3,233.00
May-11	£15,806.00
Jun-11	£3,006.00
Jul-11	£5,292.00
Aug-11	£7,285.00
Sep-11	£2,140.00
Oct-11	£5,902.00
Nov-11	£1,400.00
Dec-11	£6,399.00
Jan-12	£13,978.00
Feb-12	£3,753.00
Mar-12	£3,491.00
Apr-12	£4,372.00
May-12	£5,175.00
Jun-12	£6,527.00
Jul-12	£124,947.00
Aug-12	£699.00
Sep-12	£1,336.00
Oct-12	£4,717.00
Nov-12	£4,710.00
Dec-12	£4,027.00

<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>
Apr-10	£5,660.00
May-10	£6,895.00
Jun-10	£2,075.00
Jul-10	£2,380.00
Aug-10	£6,890.00
Sep-10	£1,903.00
Oct-10	£1,404.00
Nov-10	£8,240.00
Dec-10	£1,250.00
Jan-11	£7,299.00
Feb-11	£2,141.00
Mar-11	£8,025.00
Apr-11	£3,096.00
May-11	£2,164.00
Jun-11	£2,729.00
Jul-11	£730.00
Aug-11	£70,447.00
Sep-11	£1,340.00
Oct-11	£648.00
Nov-11	£900.00
Dec-11	£1,372.00
Jan-12	£101,578.00
Feb-12	£220.00
Mar-12	£21,243.00
Apr-12	£3,015.00
May-12	£3,823.00
Jun-12	£5,300.00
Jul-12	£109,824.00
Aug-12	£1,610.00
Sep-12	£1,816.00
Oct-12	£1,471.00
Nov-12	£882.00
Dec-12	£5,465.00
Jan-13	£2,149.00
Feb-13	£4,725.00
Mar-13	£5,415.00

Apr-13	£1,500.00	Jan-13	£16,430.00	Apr-13	£2,895.00
May-13	£6,534.05	Feb-13	£3,587.00	May-13	£5,505.00
Jun-13	£3,690.00	Mar-13	£10,749.00	Jun-13	£4,103.00
Jul-13	£9,950.00	Apr-13	£4,710.00	Jul-13	£1,055.00
Aug-13	£2,536.50	May-13	£3,560.00	Aug-13	£755.00
Sep-13	£2,695.00	Jun-13	£2,363.00	Sep-13	£2,316.68
Oct-13	£3,997.00	Jul-13	£1,075.00	Oct-13	£1,439.49
Nov-13	£650.00	Aug-13	£1,843.00	Nov-13	£640.00
Dec-13	£2,350.00	Sep-13	£2,020.68	Dec-13	£3,150.00
Jan-14	£1,917.51	Oct-13	£734.49	Jan-14	£1,470.00
Feb-14	£13,895.35	Nov-13	£3,610.00	Feb-14	£1,350.00
Mar-14	£13,105.00	Dec-13	£3,707.00	Mar-14	£1,700.00
Apr-14	£8,512.40	Jan-14	£2,880.00	Apr-14	£7,945.80
May-14	£2,350.00	Feb-14	£1,450.00	May-14	£19,719.00
Jun-14	£1,206.91	Mar-14	£2,225.00	Jun-14	£2,720.00
Jul-14	£3,916.00	Apr-14	£12,446.80	Jul-14	£1,631.00
Aug-14	£5,482.25	May-14	£1,049.00	Aug-14	£591.00
Sep-14	£0.00	Jun-14	£7,006.00	Sep-14	£8,225.00
Oct-14	£5,380.00	Jul-14	£1,231.00	Oct-14	£1,818.56
Nov-14	£770.00	Aug-14	£921.00	Nov-14	£1,735.00
Dec-14	£900.00	Sep-14	£9,780.00	Dec-14	£710.00
Jan-15	£1,140.00	Oct-14	£1,118.56	Jan-15	£1,825.00
Feb-15	£7,832.00	Nov-14	£1,325.00	Feb-15	£1,270.00
Mar-15	£2,585.00	Dec-14	£670.00	Mar-15	£210.00
	£783,352.97	Jan-15	£1,825.00		£480,903.53
		Feb-15	£1,600.00		
		Mar-15	£540.00		
			£407,181.53		

### Richard Dunn Centre

### ShIPLEY Pool

<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>	<u>Month</u>	<u>Spend</u>
Apr-10	£7,545.00	Apr-10	£4,638.00
May-10	£26,974.00	May-10	£4,194.00
Jun-10	£16,426.00	Jun-10	£2,138.00
Jul-10	£1,941.00	Jul-10	£1,747.00
Aug-10	£21,936.00	Aug-10	£9,763.00
Sep-10	£11,048.00	Sep-10	£2,557.00
Oct-10	£4,443.00	Oct-10	£1,699.00
Nov-10	£3,542.00	Nov-10	£4,836.00
Dec-10	£13,674.00	Dec-10	£12,141.00
Jan-11	£3,408.00	Jan-11	£1,265.00
Feb-11	£4,029.00	Feb-11	£5,659.00
Mar-11	£1,913.00	Mar-11	£2,931.00
Apr-11	£7,383.00	Apr-11	£3,450.00
May-11	£1,979.00	May-11	£1,220.00
Jun-11	£472.00	Jun-11	£1,013.00
Jul-11	£8,587.00	Jul-11	£1,326.00
Aug-11	£2,667.00	Aug-11	£5,233.00
Sep-11	£48,625.00	Sep-11	£3,191.00
Oct-11	£9,961.00	Oct-11	£5,511.00
Nov-11	£1,918.00	Nov-11	£3,653.00
Dec-11	£1,425.00	Dec-11	£1,497.00
Jan-12	£46,848.00	Jan-12	£5,667.00

Feb-12	£6,905.00	Feb-12	£1,630.00
Mar-12	£5,741.00	Mar-12	£5,825.00
Apr-12	£10,000.00	Apr-12	£2,928.00
May-12	£4,208.00	May-12	£10,896.00
Jun-12	£3,980.00	Jun-12	£2,735.00
Jul-12	£933.00	Jul-12	£971.00
Aug-12	£5,820.00	Aug-12	£1,348.00
Sep-12	£1,110.00	Sep-12	£787.00
Oct-12	£2,866.00	Oct-12	£1,031.00
Nov-12	£15,635.00	Nov-12	£3,467.00
Dec-12	£1,377.00	Dec-12	£1,570.00
Jan-13	£2,428.00	Jan-13	£63,401.00
Feb-13	£4,135.00	Feb-13	£1,456.00
Mar-13	£7,895.00	Mar-13	£35,846.00
Apr-13	£10,544.84	Apr-13	£770.22
May-13	£6,083.81	May-13	£6,740.00
Jun-13	£28,053.00	Jun-13	£5,448.21
Jul-13	£6,703.00	Jul-13	£250,892.12
Aug-13	£6,735.95	Aug-13	£486,726.50
Sep-13	£7,666.46	Sep-13	£623,532.67
Oct-13	£19,826.79	Oct-13	£24,608.00
Nov-13	£1,370.00	Nov-13	£439,918.00
Dec-13	£790.00	Dec-13	£43,004.36
Jan-14	£3,639.91	Jan-14	£11,067.00
Feb-14	£10,352.44	Feb-14	£17,330.72
Mar-14	£2,450.00	Mar-14	£12,543.00
Apr-14	£22,044.06	Apr-14	£9,796.80
May-14	£7,211.00	May-14	£22,227.00
Jun-14	£5,599.00	Jun-14	£5,299.13
Jul-14	£1,051.00	Jul-14	£1,487.00
Aug-14	£4,289.00	Aug-14	£9,456.50
Sep-14	£2,095.75	Sep-14	£10,930.00
Oct-14	£4,650.00	Oct-14	£4,645.00
Nov-14	£3,568.00	Nov-14	£6,824.50
Dec-14	£1,300.45	Dec-14	£7,942.00
Jan-15	£11,465.00	Jan-15	£9,196.22
Feb-15	£2,755.00	Feb-15	£800.00
Mar-15	£4,950.00	Mar-15	£540.00
	£494,971.46		£2,230,944.95



### Bradford District Recycling Performance

