City of Bradford MDC
www.bradford.gov.uk

Report of the Director of Environment and Sport to the meeting of Bradford South Area Committee to be held on 28 March 2013.

BI

Subject:

Updated Draft Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14

Summary statement:

This report presents an Updated Draft Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 for consideration by the Area Committee.

Ian Bairstow
Strategic Director Environment and Sport
Report Contact: John Breen
Bradford South area Co-ordinator
Phone: (01274) 431155
E-mail: john.breen@bradford.gov.uk

Portfolio:

Corporate

Overview & Scrutiny Area:

Corporate

Suzan Hemingway, City Solicitor

1. SUMMARY

This report presents an updated draft Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 for consideration by the Area Committee.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Bradford Council's Executive resolved on 9 March 2004:

> "that the Community Development and Lifelong Learning Director prepare Area Committee Plans, setting out local accountability of Services, including Actions responding to Ward Members, Neighbourhood Forums, Neighbourhood Action Plans, Parish Plans, Urban Village Plans and other local action planning."

2.2 This work was undertaken and has been followed through by the Neighbourhood Service, through the Area Co-ordinator's Office, working to support the Area Committee through the implementation stage of Bradford South Area Committee Action Plans covering the period 2004 – 11.

2.3 Partners, Services and Agencies have also been committed to supporting action planning at a Neighbourhood and Area-level within the Sustainable Community Strategy. They have supported Locality Planning and have worked with Bradford South Area Co-ordinator's Office to identify issues.

2.4 Ward Assessments were first developed to provide information used to underpin the updated Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 when it was last updated in March 2012. Revised Ward Assessments have been developed to help inform amended priorities for the final year of the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14.

2.5 The information collated through this process has identified areas where priorities in the draft Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 continue to be addressed by mainstream provision. This will be of particular importance to the Area Committee where it involves Services which have recently been devolved to it. It also enables Bradford South Area Committee to more effectively identify where it may wish to allocate those resources.

3. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

3.1 The purpose of the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 is to support improvements to the quality of life in Bradford South. It sets out priorities for action and provides a framework for the Area Committee to monitor progress.

3.2 Bradford South Area Committee will implement the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 directly by use of its executive powers and delegated budgets as well being a co-ordinatory body and catalyst for action working in partnership.

3.3 For a number of the priority issues within the Action Plan, it is likely that the main role of the Area Committee will be to continue to act as a co-ordinatory body and catalyst for action; with the Area Committee taking an investigative, supportive, developmental, championing, and monitoring role.

3.4 It is suggested that this role will largely be conducted through requesting Council Service Departments and partner agencies to note and respond to the priorities, to present reports to the Area Committee on the work they are undertaking and the progress that is being made against the priorities within the Area Committee Action Plan.

3.5 By presenting priorities by Ward it is intended that the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 will continue to be used in support the work of Ward Leadership Teams and Ward Officer Teams on an ongoing basis.

3.6 The Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 can contribute to the District-wide Community Strategy and progress towards the 2020 Vision of Bradford as a good place to live, work, rest, and play.

4. OPTIONS

4.1 That Bradford South Area Committee adopts the recommendations outlined in this report.

4.2 That Bradford South Area Committee adopts the recommendations outlined in this report, with amendments.

4.3 That Bradford South Area Committee decides not to accept the recommendations outlined in this report.

5. FINANCIAL & RESOURCE APPRAISAL

5.1 The production and dissemination of the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 will be undertaken from within existing resources.

5.2 The Area Committee Action Plan priorities can be used to assist the Area Committee in its Local Area Management role, and to inform the allocation of Area Committee budgets.

5.3 Officer support for co-ordination to take forward the Action Plan will be provided by the Bradford South Area Co-ordinator’s Office.

5.4 The Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 will inform the budget-setting processes and service-planning throughout the Authority.

5.5 The Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 will be forwarded to other key service-providers such as the Police and Clinical Commissioning Groups and community organisations to request that the Action Plan be used to inform their own priority-setting processes.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES

6.1 There are no significant risks and governance issues arising from the proposed recommendations in this report.

7. LEGAL APPRAISAL

7.1 This work relates directly to the Local Government Act 2000 and to the Duty of Well-being placed upon the Council to promote and improve the well-being of the District.

8. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

8.1 EQUALITY & DIVERSITY

Priorities identified within the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 will promote fairness and inclusion while supporting Bradford South Area Committee's commitment to equal opportunities for all.

8.2 SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Sustainability considerations are an element in actions taken to address priorities within the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14.

8.3 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IMPACTS

Actions to assist in identifying the greenhouse gas impacts of actions to address priorities within the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 will be undertaken. These will include a consideration of for example energy efficiency opportunities in purchasing new equipment or refurbishing or modifying buildings.

8.4 COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

8.4.1 Community Safety issues are acknowledged as a key contributor to the quality of life in neighbourhoods. Community safety priorities have therefore been identified for inclusion in the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14.

8.4.2 A key aspect of this work relates to supporting the Safer Communities Strategy, the Council's obligations under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Reduction Act 1998 and the work of the Safer Communities Partnership.

8.5 HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

8.5.1 No direct implications arising from the Human Rights Act.

8.6 TRADE UNION

8.6.1 No direct Trade Union implications arise from this report. .

8.7 WARD IMPLICATIONS

8.7.1 The priorities outlined in this report will help to address issues in the Great Horton, Queensbury, Royds, Tong, Wibsey and Wyke Wards.

8.8 AREA COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN IMPLICATIONS (for reports to Area Committees only)

8.8.1 The priorities within the Bradford South Area Committee's Action Plan 2011-14 help inform Bradford South Area Committee decisions.

9. NOT FOR PUBLICATION DOCUMENTS

None.

10. RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 That Bradford South Area Committee approves and adopts the draft updated Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14.

10.2 That Bradford South Area Committee requests Council Officers, partner agencies and community organisations to support the implementation of the Updated Interim Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14.

10.3 That Bradford South Area Committee requests the Area Co-ordinator to continue to work with the relevant officers to support the implementation of the Area Committee Action Plan, as adopted, and to prepare a schedule of reports about progress, to be presented to future meetings of the Area Committee.

10.4 That the Interim Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 should be considered in future revisions of District-wide strategies and budget-setting processes.

11. APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Draft Updated Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14.

12. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

12.1 "Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14" (Document N), report to Bradford South Area Committee, 22 July 2010.

12.2 "Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14" (Document AW), report to Bradford South Area Committee, 27 January 2011.

12.3 "Draft Interim Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14" (Document BH), report to Bradford South Area Committee, 24 March 2011.

12.4 "Draft Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14" (Document BA), report to Bradford South Area Committee, 22 March 2012.

12.5 "Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 Update" (Document BA), report to Bradford South Area Committee, 24 January 2013.

Appendix 1

DRAFT UPDATED BRADFORD SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN 2011-14


Harden
Cottingley
SHIPLEY
Shipley
WROSE
IDLE AND THACKLEY
BINGLEY RURAL
Wilsden
ECCLESHILL
HEATON
BOLTON AND UNDERCLIFFE
TOLLER
MANNINGHAM
THORNTON
BRADFORD MOOR
Thornton
CITY
Bradford
BOWLING AND BARKEREND
CLAYTON AND FAIRWEATHER
GREAT HORTON
LITTLE HORTON
TONG
QUEENSBURY
WIBSEY
ROYDS
WYKE

Maps are Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Bradford MDC LA076120

DRAFT UPDATED BRADFORD SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE ACTION PLAN 2011-14

CONTENTS

Page

1. The purpose of the Area Committee Action Plan 8

2. The Process by which the Priorities were selected 8

3. Description of Bradford South 8 – 9

4. Ward Assessments and Ward Plans 2013-14 by Ward:

Great Horton 10 – 49

Queensbury 50 - 80

Royds 81 - 109

Tong 110 - 144

Wibsey 145 - 173

Wyke 174 - 207

For further information about Bradford South Area Committee Contact:
Bradford South Area Co-ordinator's Office
Room 401, 4th Floor
City Hall
Bradford,
BD1 1HY
Tel: 01274 431155
Email: john.breen@bradford.gov.uk

Purpose of the Area Committee Action Plan

The purpose of the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan is to support improvements to the quality of life in Bradford South. It sets out the priorities for action and provides a framework for the Bradford South Area Committee to monitor progress. Bradford South Area Committee is the leading democratically elected decision making body within the Constituency and will work with other Council Services, partners (both statutory and community) to address these priorities.

The Process by which the Priorities were Selected

The priorities within the Bradford South Area Committee Action Plan 2011-14 have been informed by consultations carried out through a wide range of activities, including:

- Scheduled Neighbourhood Forums and Single Issue Neighbourhood Forums and the Tong Ward Forum pilot.

- Engagement with Council Wardens.
- Neighbourhood Action Planning across Bradford South.
- Community Consultation Events.
- Urban Village Action Planning in Wibsey.
- Vision for Holme Wood.
- Ward based Area Safer Communities' Partnerships in Wyke and Great Horton.
- Action planning at a District-wide level by communities of interest.

These have informed and been informed by the Ward Assessments.
From these issues draft priorities, with supporting statistical information, have been developed
by Ward.

DESCRIPTION OF BRADFORD SOUTH

Bradford South is an administrative sub-division of the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council, and covers the following District Council Wards: Great Horton, Queensbury, Royds, Tong, Wibsey and Wyke Wards.

Bradford South covers a number of separate but linked communities with different identities and characters. There is a rich tradition of community engagement and voluntary activity throughout Bradford South.

Neighbourhood Forums are held in neighbourhoods within Bradford South enabling local people to have their say on issues which affect them and put forward their views on how together we can deliver sustainable improvements.

Bradford South benefits from the presence of some major employers, particularly chemical companies such as BASF and A. H. Marks, as well as a number of distribution companies in Low Moor and a range of companies located in the Euroway Trading Estate and in the vicinity of Tong Street. In addition, further employment opportunities have recently been realised with the completion of Phase 1of the Prologis Business Park which has enabled Marks & Spencer to move into their largest distribution centre in Europe. Whilst not all the employees live locally, a significant proportion does live within Bradford South.

Village centres across Bradford South, along with a number of supermarkets, provide a focus for shopping, although many people do travel outside the area to shop. The service sector does also provide jobs within Bradford South.

The area has benefited, and continues to benefit, from a number of major targeted regeneration programmes, which included Economy and Jobs as one of their major themes.

Bradford & Airedale NHS Trust deliver primary health care across Bradford South with an emphasis on public consultation and participation. This has provided the opportunity for significant inter-agency communication and partnership working. This work continues to develop and is key to developing the Health & Wellbeing themes within the Action Plan. From 1 April 2013 this role will be undertaken by the Bradford Districts Clinical Commissioning Group.

Bradford South provides opportunities for a range of leisure and sporting pursuits. There are many sports clubs which organise a wide range of activities for people of all ages. There are a number of indoor leisure centres, both public and private, with the flagship being Richard Dunne Sports Centre providing a leisure swimming pool. In addition there are plans to develop a Sporting Village in Wyke. It is also home to the Bradford Bulls Rugby League Team who play in the Superleague.

There are a number of school halls, community centres and other halls available for meetings and events run by Council, voluntary organisations and faith communities. There are a large number of well maintained and valued public parks across Bradford South as well as a number of recreation grounds and play areas maintained by Bradford Council, many supported by “Friends of Parks” groups.

The heritage of the area and cultures of the communities are important in giving Bradford South a unique identity. These are supported and maintained by a number of active local history groups.

DRAFT Great Horton Ward Assessment and Ward Plan 2013-14


Green Side
Lidget Green
Scholemoor
Great Horton
Great Horton Ward
Upper Green
Low Green
Horton Bank
Horton Bank Bottom
Haycliffe Hill
Pickles Hill
Beldon Hill
Brownroyd Hill
Wibsey

Ward Members	Cllr Joanne Dodds	Cllr John Godward	Cllr Abdul Jabar
Ward Officer	Mohammed Taj	**Date completed**	March 2013

Introduction: What is the Ward Assessment for?

The Ward Assessment is designed to inform Ward working, including action planning and decision making. The document summarises the prevalent strengths and issues within the Ward. This evidence base produced in the assessment will shape the identification of Ward priorities and actions to take to address these within the annual **Ward Plan.** The Council Ward Officers plays a critical role in digesting this information and summarising findings into the most pertinent issues. In order to assist Ward Officers in capturing and analysing findings this document has been organised under the following seven sections:

Section: 1 Ward Demography: Who lives in the Ward

Section: 2 Community Strengths/ Stronger Communities: Active Citizenship within the Ward.
This section highlights aspects of Ward strengths; including volunteering, voluntary groups etc.

Section: 3 Resources.
This section relates to the resources that Area Committees will be able to influence either directly or indirectly.

Section: 4 New section called Assets of Community Value
This section outlines physical assets (buildings and public spaces) that are assets within the Ward

Section: 5 Ward Issues.
This section summarises the main issues identified by 1) numbers, 2) engagement and voice. There are sub-sections for the themes 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and wellbeing inequalities 4. Incomes, employment and housing and 5. Children and young people. In each sub section there is a table that is an analysis of the main issues for each theme.

Section: 6 Sub Ward Issues
This section highlights areas within smaller areas of the Ward where there are more specific issues.

Section: 7 Making Assessment Ward Priority Issues

Each Indicator is presented as a Ward Assessment table that shows each indicator with a Ward, District and Area Committee comparator**.**

Ward Profile Indicator Key



Bradford District Neighbourhood Ward Assessment Performance Dashboard

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford Demographic								
(%) Population 0-19	28.0	▲	31.6	28.0	↑	19.9		38.6

1. The above table also shows five columns: **Ward, Area Committee and District** measure for the selected ward indicator and a **trend** column that shows an arrow symbol to indicate if the ward measure has either increased or decreased from the previous year. The full table with all ward indicators can be accessed via Bradford observatory. The number key for the above Ward profile is as follows:

1 **Theme Name**
2 **Indicator** = the indicator name
3 **Ward** = the indicator measure for the your selected ward

4 **Ward Status Symbol** this icon symbol acts as a quick summary guide in indentifying if the ward measure is significantly above/below or centres around the district average.

Green Circle ● denotes either significantly above or below the district average

Amber Triangle ▲ shows the indicator centres around the district average

Red Diamond ▼ indicates either significantly above or lower than the district average.

5 **Area** is the **Area Committee** Area average
6 **District** is the figure for Bradford District
7 The **Trend** column relates to change through time and enables an analysis of change between two points in time. This

is denoted with either a small up or down arrow or large ones where the increase or decrease has been significant.

8 Indicates lowest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

9 Lowest value label

10 **Red background shading** indicates the top/bottom range for the ward indicator

11 **Orange horizontal bar** is the ward value that matches that in the Ward Column **(2)**

12 **Red Verticle** line shows the district average |

13 **Amber background shading** indicates where the ward value would need to fall for it to within the average of the data range

14 **Blue triangle** shows Area Committee average ▼

15 **Green background shade** indicates the range that is in the top/ bottom or average of the data range

16 Indicates highest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

Section 1: Demography: Who lives in the Ward



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Demographic							
(%) Population 0-4	9.7 ▲	7.9	7.9		4.9		12
(%) Population 5-9	8.5 ▲	7.0	7.2		4.9		10.5
(%) Population 10-14	7.4 ▲	6.8	6.9		4.9		9.4
(%) Population 15-19	7.0 ▲	6.6	6.8		5.1		10
(%) Population 20-24	6.8 ▲	6.4	6.8		3.3		19.7
(%) Population 25-59	45.3 ▲	47.1	46.0		41.7		50.9
(%) Population 60-69	7.1 ▲	8.9	8.7		3.3		14
(%) Population 70-79	4.8 ▲	5.8	5.9		2.8		10.1
(%) Population 80+	3.3 ▲	3.6	3.8		1.5		9.5
(%) of Total East and Central Europeans	6.8 ◆	13.8	3.3	⇧	0.1		17

🟧 Great Horton Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⇩ No change — Moderate increase ⇧ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 12 of this document for Key.



Age Band	Males	Females
0–4	861	846
5–9	768	740
10–14	683	633
15–19	617	625
20–24	560	645
25–29	651	815
30–34	710	669
35–39	668	608
40–44	579	514
45–49	455	497
50–54	459	525
55–59	442	424
60–64	397	404
65–69	231	227
70–74	205	255
75–79	159	223
80–84	117	216
85–89	65	121
90+	19	50
Total	**8,646**	**9,037**

Section 2: Stronger Communities/Community Strengths-Active Citizens



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Stronger Communities							
(%) of people from different backgrounds who get on well	85.0 ▲	84.5	82.4		58		95
(%) who feel very or fairly strongly to neighbourhood	84.0 ▲	85.1	86.0		75		95
(%) people not treating others with respect	12.0 ●	22.1	20.3		4		45
(%) Volunteering: given any unpaid help in the last 12 months	4.0 ◆	12.9	13.4		0		42
(%) Who agree they can influence decisions affecting local area	64.0 ●	39.2	38.5		16		73

🟧 Great Horton Ward

Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

— +

See page 12 of this document for Key

Section 2.2 identifying prevalent strengths through community engagement and voice (Stronger Communities)

1. Active Citizen-led initiatives within the Ward

Neighbourhood Watch Schemes. Great Horton Community Partnership, Lidget Green Community Partnership, Scholemoor Beacon, various sports clubs. Scout Groups, Great Horton Ward Live at Home Scheme, Church based activities e.g. toddler/playgroups, Lidget Green Seniors Organisation, Lidget Green Walking and Outdoor Group. In 2012, The Bradford South Area Co-ordinator's Office was successful in securing Big Lottery Funding of £1 million over ten years for the Scholemoor and Lidget Green area. The outcomes of Big Local will be:

- Communities will be better able to identify local needs and take action in response to them
- People will have increased skills and confidence, so that they continue to identify and respond to needs in the future
- The community will make a difference to the needs it priorities
- People will feel that their area is an even better place to live

As part of this initiative a Community Partnership consisting of local readdressed.

2. Scope for increasing Active Citizenship within the Ward

All the Community Partnerships require more resident members and volunteers to assist with their activities and also better promotion is required of the partnerships and their activities
Support partnerships to increase members and to promote activities
Support Big Local in promoting the Community Partnership and the involvement of local people in setting priorities for the area.
Increase number of constituted community and voluntary groups in the Ward
Increase attendance at Forums and community engagement activities
Increase engagement with residents via Council Wardens, attendance at community events and via days of action with partners.

3. How many incidents of community tensions were reported to your WOT/ WPT in the past 12 months (January to December 2012)

None.

4. Qualitative assessment of the level of Active Citizenship within the Ward

There are three longstanding Community Partnerships: Lidget Green Community Partnership, Great Horton Community Partnership and Scholemoor Beacon that are supported by service providers and Ward Members. Whilst all three are resident led, over the years residents on the Management Committees of these organisations have decreased and due to this there has been a reduction in their activities organised. The Partnerships are all keen to increase resident involvement.

The statistics show that only 4% of people volunteered in the Ward in the last 12 months and this is significantly below the Bradford South Area (12.9%) and district averages (13.4%).

4a. Overall assessment of actual levels of Active Citizenship / Social Capital within the Ward (tick)

Lower	Average	Higher
	✓	

5. Any other information relevant to Stronger Communities

The statistics are very positive with regards to people from different backgrounds getting on well in the Ward and feel a belonging to their neighbourhood. 85% of people believe that people from different backgrounds get on well and this is higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages. The percentage of people who feel belonging to their neighbourhood is 84% which is slightly lower than the Bradford South Area (85.1%) and District (86%) averages. The percentage of people not treating others with respect is 12% which is significantly lower that the Bradford South Area (22.1%) and District (20.3%). 64% of people agree that they can influence decisions affecting their area which is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area (39.2%) and District (38.5) averages. The percentage of Eastern and Central European Communities in the Ward is 6.8% and this is more than double the District average of 3.3%. Any community projects and activities undertaken need to be inclusive of this community and to ensure community cohesion in the area.

Section 3: Council and partner resources allocated to the Ward and Area Structures

Description of Resource	Amount of Resource	Type of resource, cash, worker time	How can this Resource be influenced within the Ward	Area Committee decision making	Notes
Council Wardens	1 x full time 1 mobile warden coving 2 wards	Worker time	Close liaison with Warden Manager	✓	
Street cleansing staff and mechanical sweepers	4 x full time	Worker time	Close liaison with Clean Team Manager	✓	
Housing Officers/Managers	2	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings and Great Horton Safer Communities Partnership		
Youth Workers	1 full time	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings	✓	
Community Centre Workers	1	Worker time	Partnership working		
NPT Police Officers / PCSOs	Dedicated team for Great Horton and Little Horton Wards	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Fire Service	Staff	Worker time, particularly at Days of Action	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		

Community Payback	Staff	Time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Joshua Project	4 staff and 29 volunteers. Connexions Personal Advisor - 1 day a week.	Worker and volunteer time	Through Partnership meetings		
Lidget Green Children's Centre	Staff	Worker time	Liaison with the Headteacher		

Section 4: Assets of Community Value

This list should include any physical asset, including buildings and open spaces that are or potentially could be used by the local community. The Council will have a List of Assets of Community Value that will have a degree of protection if the current owners of the asset would wish to sell. Assets listed here may be used as a shortlist of assets that may later gain the formal status of an Asset of Community Value

Asset of community value	Location	Current use	Potential use
Great Horton Village Hall	Beldon Road	Very limited use due to Community Organisation relinquishing lease. Adult Services, Bradford Council managing building on an interim basis. Building is currently being refurbished.	Great Horton Library relocating to this site. Discussions are taking place with 2 Community Organisations regarding the future management of the Hall.
Scholemoor Community Centre and Recreation Ground	Dracup Avenue	Various activities in community centre, Floodlit multi use games area, Play area, Outside junior football pitch is currently being developed	Refurbishment of community centre and changing facilities for football teams.
Great Horton Library	Cross Lane	Limited use due to issues with current building. Library to relocate to Great Horton Village Hall	

Brackenhill Park	Hollingwood Lane	Bowling Green and Club Play Area Tennis Courts	Improve tennis courts area
Wibsey Bank	Southmere Drive	Limited use for community. Maintained on behalf of Bradford Council by Forster Community College	Potential for residents to be involved in the management and maintenance of the site
Black Mountain site	Haycliffe Lane	Site on a temporary licence to local school and new long term lease being negotiated. Limited community use	Potential to involve local residents on partnership being developed and obtain funding to undertake further improvements at the site. Potential for volunteering opportunities for local community

Section 5: Ward Issues.

This section identifies the main issues within the ward through a mixture of identifying issues through numbers and through engagement and voice. These two forms of information are then interpreted in the third sub-section. There is a sub-section on each of the following themes: 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and Wellbeing, 4. Incomes, Skills and Housing and 5. Children and Young People. The three sub sections for each theme are as follows:

1. **Identifying prevalent issues through numbers** includes key **headline** measures of the **State of the Ward**, in relation to experienced in a ward.

2. **Community engagement and the voice** of communities is an analysis of a wide range of information that includes the following:
   - Neighbourhood Forums and other engagement events including with young people
   - Views expressed to elected Ward Members
   - Key frontline staff including Council Wardens & Youth Workers
   - Parish, Town and Community Councils

3. **Analysis of statistics and engagement and voice**. This sub section for each theme identifies the ward priority issues and is developed into Ward Priority Issues within the Ward Plan

Sub Section 5.5.1 Cleaner Greener identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Cleaner Greener							
(%) Overall satisfaction with local area	88.1 ▲	84.7	86.8		69		98
(%) Who Perceive rubbish or litter as problem	27.0 ▲	27.8	26.2		2		58
(%) of respondents recycling	44.0 ◆	59.7	60.8		24		83
(%) Streets Failing on Litter	28.8 ◆	13.9	11.8		0		35.9
(%) Streets Failing on Detritus	5.0 ▲	3.2	3.6	↓	0		9.9
(%) Streets Failing on Graffiti	1.9 ▲	4.7	2.2		0		10.7
(%) Streets Failing on Fly Posting	8.8 ◆	1.8	1.0	↑	0		8.8
(%) Streets Failing on Weeding	0.6 ▲	1.5	1.6	↑	0		5.6
(%) Streets Failing on Over Vegetation	52.5 ●	61.0	59.7	↑	30.6		81.2
(%) Streets Failing on Edging	33.8 ▲	48.6	33.4	↑	1.2		69.4
Fly Tipping Per 1000 Population	9.9 ◆	8.8	6.8	↑	0.6		14.2
Street Cleansing Per 1000 Population	11.3 ◆	9.0	6.6	⬆	1.8		13.3

🟧 Great Horton Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▌ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 12 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.1.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Cleaner Greener)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Litter across the ward particularly on main thoroughfares and outside schools	Across the ward	WOTs / WLTs Neighbourhood Forums Council Wardens Ward Councillors
Fly tipping	Adjacent to St Wilfrid's Church, Lidget Place and Lidget Terrace, Footpath at Perseverance Lane, Necropolis Road, Land adjacent to 131 Hartington Terrace,	WOTs / WLTs Neighbourhood Forums Partnership meetings Ward Councillors Council Wardens
Dog fouling	Across the ward, Children using public play areas in Parks, Scholemoor Road / Necropolis Road area Greenfield Lane and Dracup Road	WOTs / WLTs Neighbourhood Forums Ward Councillors
Rubbish and bulky refuse in gardens	Four Streets area i.e. Wheater Road / Hartington Terrace etc, Beldon Road and Southfield Lane area, Lidget Place and Lidget Terrace,	Council Wardens Ward Councillors Partnership meetings
Problems with refuse collections due to obstructions i.e. parked cars, skips	Four Streets area – Wheater Road, Hartington Terrace etc	Council Wardens Ward Councillors
Blocked gullies	Across the ward	Ward Councillors Council Wardens

Sub Section 5.1.3 Cleaner Greener Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

88.1% of people in the Ward are overall satisfied with their area and this is higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages of 84.7% and 86.8% respectively. Residents who perceive litter or rubbish as a problem at 27% is marginally higher than the District average (26.2%) but below the Bradford South Area average of 27.8%. The percentage of streets failing on Over Vegetation at 52.5% is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area (61%) and District (59.7%) averages.

The percentage of streets failing on litter in the Ward at 28.8% is more than double the Bradford South and District averages. The streets failing on flyposting at 8.8% is the highest across all the Wards in the District. The flytipping request per 1000 population at 9.9 is significantly higher than the District average of 6.8. The street cleansing requests per 1000 population at 11.3 is nearly double the District average of 6.6 and is amongst the highest across all the wards in the District. The percentage of recycling at 44% is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area (59.7%) and District (60.8%) averages.

Key Headline issues

Littering and flytipping – Very high number of streets failing on litter. Flytipping and streets cleansing requests significantly higher than District average

Recycling in the Ward is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area and District averages

Reports and complaints about dog fouling to Council Wardens and Ward Councillors

Sub Section 5.2.1: Safer Communities identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Safer Communities

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Va...
All Crime Per 1,000 Population	102.0	◆	84.9	80.7	↑	25.7		163.5
Criminal Damage Per 1,000 Population	16.6	▲	16.3	13.6	↓	3.2		24.7
Serious Acquisitive Crime Per 1,000 Population	32.4	◆	23.2	20.4	↓	5.9		32.4
Violence Per 1,000 Population	11.4	▲	10.4	10.6	↓	2.3		23.3
Robbery Per 1000 Population	1.1	▲	1.0	1.1	↓	0		4
Burglary Per 1,000 Population	15.3	◆	9.6	7.9	⬇	2		15.3
Theft of Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	2.3	▲	2.0	1.9	↓	0.4		4.4
Theft from Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	13.7	▲	10.5	9.2	↑	2.7		13.9
Anti-Social Behaviour Per 1000 Population	36.6	●	43.0	46.0	⬇	15		141
(%) Anti-social behaviour score >11 (NI 17)	12.0	▲	8.7	10.6		1		36
(%) Satisfied with police and public services in dealing with ASB & crime	74.0	●	61.3	61.5		41		81
(%) of people who feel unsafe after dark in neighbourhood	17.0	▲	21.4	19.1		5		45
(%) perceiving teenagers hanging around problem	29.0	▲	25.8	25.2		5		45
(%) drugs perceived as very or big problem	24.0	▲	21.2	20.3		1		46
Road Traffic Collisions Attended Per 10,000 Population	0.5	●	1.0	0.9		0		2.3

🟧 Great Horton Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▌ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
− +

See page 12 of this document for Key

Section 5.2.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Safer Communities)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Speeding traffic across the area	Hollingwood Lane, Great Horton Road, Bartle Lane, Southfield Lane, Clayton Road and Scholemoor estate.	WOTs / WLTs Neighbourhood Forums PACT / Days of Actions Partnership meetings
Drug dealing in cars on the streets and from residential properties	People living around ‘four streets’ area, Scholemoor Estate	Great Horton Safer Communities Partnership Days of Action Neighbourhood Forums
Burglary rates higher than district average	Hot spot areas around the Ward.	WOTs / WLTs PACT Partnership Meetings
Illegal and inconsiderate parking and traffic issues around schools	All Schools in the Ward around school times. Particular issues at Brackenhill and Southmere Primary Schools due to building extension programme.	WOTs / WLTs Great Horton Safer Communities Partnership Council Wardens Ward Councillors Neighbourhood Forums

Section 5.2.3 Safer Communities Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

Anti-social behaviour per 1000 population (36.6) is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area (43) and District (46) averages. In addition, the percentage of people satisfied that the police and public services are dealing with anti-social behaviour and crime (74%) is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area (61.3%) and District (61.5%) averages. The Road traffic collisions per 10,000 population is 0.5 and this is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area and District averages and amongst the lowest across all the wards in the district.

The total crime in the Ward per 1000 population at 102 is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area (84.9) and District (80.7) averages. The Serious acquisitive crime per 1000 population at 32.4 is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages is highest across all the Wards in the District. The Burglary rate per 1000 population at 15.3 is double the District average and is highest across all the wards in the District. The perception that drugs are a big or a very big problem is higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages.

Key Headlines

Burglary rate is highest across all the wards in the District and double the District average.
Drug dealing in the area and perception that drugs are problem is higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages
Parking and traffic issues around schools in the Ward

Sub Section 5.3.1: Inequalities in Health and Well Being: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Inequalities: Health and Well Being

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Va...
All Age, All Cause Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	6.4	◆	6.9	6.1	↓	4.2		9.9
Diabetes Hospital Admissions Per 1000 Population Performance (DSR)	1.2	◆	0.9	0.9	↑	0.1		1.9
Coronary Heart Disease Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	1.3	◆	1.2	1.0	↓	0.4		2.2
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	10.1	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	7.8	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	23.9	▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Who have difficulty finding the money to pay for heating and hot water	18.0	●	22.4	23.8		6		65
(%) People worried about amount of debt	13.0	▲	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) who agree older people get services and support to live at home	81.0	●	68.1	73.4		52		95
(%) encouraged and supported to be physically active	88.0	●	74.1	76.3		61		90
(%) Attendance Allowance Claimants	18.1	▲	16.7	16.4	↓	11.8		27.5
(%) Incapacity Benefit Claimants	5.2	▲	5.3	4.6	↓	1.2		7.4
Births Per 1000 Population	20.5		15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6

🟧 Great Horton Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ▢ Medium Range ▢ Sig Above/Below District ▢
— +

See page 12 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.3:2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Inequalities in Health and Well Being)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Inequalities in Health and Well Being		
Lack of access to primary healthcare including a GP Practice in the Ward	Across the Ward, there is no GP Practice in the Ward.	Neighbourhood Forums Ward Councillors
Lack of support for parents particularly with young children	Families with young children	Partnership meetings
Lack of physical activities for children and young people	Beldon Road area Four Streets	Neighbourhood Forums Ward Councillors Youth Service Consultation
Older people feeling isolated and lack of provision for older people. Better services for housebound residents. Use a variety of methods to publicise services and activities and not just internet. Limited number of community venues used for activities due to accessibility issues.	Across the Ward	Neighbourhood Forums Great Horton Ward Live at Home Scheme
High demand for befriending service as Live at Home Scheme is the only provider in the Ward. Scheme has limited funding for this service.	Lidget Green area, Across the Ward	Great Horton Ward Live at Home Scheme

Sub Section 5.3.3 Inequalities in Health and Well Being Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

81% of people agree that older people get support and services to live at home and this is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area (68.1) and District (73.4). A very high percentage of people (88%) are encouraged and supported to be physically active is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area (74.1%) and District (76.3%) averages. It is also amongst the highest of all wards in the district. 18% of residents in the ward have difficulty in paying for heating and water and this is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area (22.4) and District (23.8) averages.

The diabetes admission to hospital per 1000 population is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages. The overall mortality and mortality due to Coronary Heart Disease rates in the ward are both significantly higher than the Bradford South and District averages.

The percentage of Attendance Allowance and Incapacity Benefits claimants are higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages.

The Births per 1000 population are higher than the area and district averages, however, there has been reduction in this and the trend is that it will decrease.

Key Headlines

High levels of diabetes and Coronary Heart Disease mortality significantly higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages

Older people feeling isolated and lack of provision for older people

Sub Section 5.4.1: Incomes, Skills and Housing: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Incomes, Skills and Housing								
(%) Total JSA Claimants	8	◆	6.3	5.9	↑	1.1		12.1
(%) of Total Working-Age DWP Claimants	23.2	▲	21.2	18.9	↑	5.2		30.4
(%) JSA Claimants aged 18-24	14.3	▲	12.1	11.3	↑	2		16.8
(%) NEET	4.1	●	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4
(%) Difference in Household Income from District	-16.7	◆	-2.6	32K		-37.8		48.7
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	25.4	◆	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	38.5	◆	43.8	47.5	↓	34.6		84
(%) People worried about amount of debt	13.0	▲	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) Long Term Empty Homes	3.4	▲	2.3	2.9	↓	1.5		8.3
(%) Overcrowded Households	10.5	▲	6.8	8.0		2		23
Average Number Bids on Homes	14	▲	16	12	↑	2		41

🟧 Great Horton Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 12 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.4.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Incomes Skills and Housing)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Incomes, Skills and Housing		
Lack of Welfare Benefits and Debt Advice services	Across the Ward	Community Partnerships Community Centre Workers
High unemployment	Across the Ward	Partnership meetings Ward Councillors Neighbourhood Forums
Lack support for business growth and social enterprise	Across the Ward	Partnership meetings Ward Councillors Neighbourhood Forums
Lack of training opportunities	Across the Ward	Partnership meetings Ward Councillors

Sub Section 5.4.3 Incomes Skills and Housing Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

The positives for the ward are that the level of young people in NEET (Not in Education, Employment or Training) at 4.1% is significantly lower than the District average of 7.8%. The percentage of people not worried about debt is lower than the Bradford South Area and District averages.

However, the remaining statistics show the levels of deprivation within the ward. The percentage of job seekers allowance claimants (8%) is significantly higher than the District average and the percentage of younger claimants (aged 18-24) is also higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages. Also, the percentage of total working age claimants is higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages. Due to the above issues the average income compared to the District average is significantly lower (-£16,000) and the percentage of Children receiving free school meals is significantly higher than the District average.
Only 38.5% percentage of pupils achieved 5 or more GCSEs (Grades A – C) in English and Maths and this is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area (43.8%) and District (47.5%) averages. Long term empty properties are higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages. Overcrowded households are higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages.

Key Headline
High levels of unemployment – Percentage of Job Seekers Allowance claimants is significantly higher than the District average
Lack of benefits and debt advice
Long term empty properties higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages

Sub Section 5.5.1: Children and Young People: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Children & Young People

Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
Births Per 1000 Population	20.5	15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	10.1 ▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) Population 0-19	31.9 ◆	27.6	28.0	↓	19.9		38.6
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	7.8 ▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	23.9 ▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	25.4 ◆	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
Children Becoming Looked After Per 1000 (0-17) Population	3.9 ◆	2.8	1.9	↑	0		4.7
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	38.5 ◆	43.8	47.5	↓	34.6		84
(%) NEET	4.1 ●	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4

🟧 Great Horton Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
— +

See page 12 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.5.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Children and Young People)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Children and Young People		
Negative perception of young people hanging around streets	Across the ward	Neighbourhood Forums
Lack of facilities and activities for young people in the ward	Across the ward	Neighbourhood Forums and Partnership meetings Ward Councillors Youth Service Consultation
Drug dealing in cars and usage	Four streets area Scholemoor estate and Necropolis Road area	Neighbourhood Forums Days of Action Partnership meetings WOTs/WLTs Ward Councillors
Lack of advice, training and employment opportunities	Across the ward	Youth Service Consultation

Sub Section 5.5.3 Children and Young People Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

The birth rate in ward is higher than the Bradford South Area and District Averages. The population aged 0 -19 at 31.9% is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area (27.6%) and District (28.0%) Averages. The percentage of pupils in Reception Classes at schools who are obese at 7.8% is lower than the Bradford South Area (9.3%) and District (9%) averages. 4.1% of young people are Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET) and this is lower than the Bradford South Area (4.3%) and significantly below the District Average of 7.8%.

The percentage of children receiving free school meals at 25.4% is significantly higher than the District average of 20.9%. The children being looked after rate at 3.9 per 1000 population is significantly higher than the Bradford South Area (2.8) and District (1.9) averages. The percentages of pupils attaining 5 or more A* - C grades in English and Maths at 38.5% is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area (43.8%) and District (47.5%) averages.

Key Headlines

Lack of advice, training and employment opportunities for young people
Lack of facilities and activities for young people

Section 6: Sub-Ward Issues

This table identifies key sub-divisions / localities within the Ward. These can be clearly defined villages and housing estates or they may be neighbourhoods that have clear distinctive identities. This table identifies where the issues within these localities deviates substantially from the Ward average. Statistical evidence is not available at the sub-Ward level for many of the indicators used within this Ward Assessment and therefore this is significantly based on the knowledge of attendees at WOTs / WPTs who the Ward Officer will support to make this assessment. (Use LSOA's if data available).

Locality	**Cleaner Greener**	**Safer Communities**	**Incomes, Benefits etc.**	**Health Inequalities**
Four Streets area (Wheater Road area, Hartington Terrace. Also Lidget Terrace / Place	✓	✓	✓	✓
Scholemoor estate	✓	✓	✓	✓
Beldon Road / Southfield Lane/ Kingswood Street	✓			

Section 7: Making the Assessment of Ward Priority Issues

Analysis of Ward Issues. From third table in each sub section of Section 5	**Community Strength**	**Resources**	**PRIORITIES**
Sub Section 7.1Cleaner Greener			
Littering and flytipping – Very high number of streets failing on litter. Flytipping and streets cleansing requests significantly higher than District average	Active Community Partnership, High percentage of people feel they can influence decisions about the area	Council Wardens, Clean Team, Environmental Enforcement, Police/PCSOs, Big Local	Reduce littering and flytipping across the Ward
Recycling in the Ward is significantly lower than the Bradford South Area and District averages	Active Community Partnerships, High percentage of people feel they can influence decisions about the area	Council Wardens, Recycling Team, Area Action Plan Funding, Big Local	Increase recycling in the Ward
Reports and complaints about dog fouling to Council Wardens and Ward Councillors	Active Community Partnerships, High percentage of people feel they can influence decisions about the area	Council Wardens, Clean Teams, Police/ PCSOs, Area Action Plan Funding, Big Local	Tackle incidents of dog fouling across the Ward

Sub Section 7.2 Safer Communities			
Burglary rate is highest across all the wards in the District and double the District average.	Active Community Partnerships, High percentage of people feel they can influence decisions about the area, Neighbourhood Watches	Police/PCSOs, Neighbourhood Watch Officers and Co-ordinators, Council Wardens, Area Action Plan Funding, Big Local	Reduce incidents of domestic burglary
Drug dealing in the area and perception that drugs are problem is higher than the Bradford South Area and District averages.	Active Community Partnerships, Neighbourhood Watches, High percentage of people feel they can influence decisions about the area	Police/PCSOs, Neighbourhood Watch Officers and Co-ordinator's, Council Wardens, Area Action Plan Funding, Big Local	Tackle drug dealing
Traffic and parking issues around schools	Active Community Partnerships, High percentage of people feel they can influence decisions about the area	Council Wardens, Police/PCSOs, Schools, Area Highways Team and Highways Budget, Area Action Planning Funding, Big	Tackle inconsiderate and illegal parking around schools

		Local	
Sub Section 7.3 Inequalities: Health & Wellbeing			
High level of diabetes in the ward and significant levels of Coronary heart disease mortality	Active community partnerships, Sports Clubs, Faith Groups, Lidget Green Walking Group	Community Centres, Local Schools and Children's Centres, Champions Show The Way, Health and Wellbeing Hub, Ridge Medical Practice	Promote physical activity and healthy lifestyles
Older people feeling isolated and lack of provision for older people.	Active Community Partnerships, Lidget Green Seniors Organisation, Anand Milan Group, Local Faith Groups	Great Horton Ward Live at Home Scheme, Council Wardens, Police/PCSOs, Area Action Planning Funding, Champions Show The Way, Ridge Medical Practice	Promote and support activities for older people

Sub Section 7.4 Incomes, employment and housing			
High levels of unemployment	Community Centres, Community Partnerships, Schools	Incommunities, Job Centre Plus, The Grid, Bradford Kickstart, Chamber of Commerce	Tackle unemployment in the Ward
Lack of benefit and debt advice	Community Partnerships, Local Schools, Local Faith Groups,	Citizens Advice Bureau, Credit Unions, West Yorkshire Trading Standards, South Bradford Community Network	Promote benefit and debt advice services
Higher number of longer term empty properties in the Ward	Active Community Partnerships, Neighbourhood Watches,	Empty Homes Team, Council Wardens, West Yorkshire Fire Service, Police/PCSOs,	Reduce long term empty properties

Sub Section 7.5 Children and Young People			
Lack of advice, training and employment opportunities	Active Community Partnerships, Community Centres, Joshua Project	Job Centre Plus, Connexions, Youth Service, Culture Fusion, Big Local, Area Action Planning Funding, Community First Funding	Promote advice, training and employment opportunities for young people
Lack of facilities and activities for young people	Active Community Partnerships, Community Centres, Joshua Project	Youth Service, Culture Fusion, Council Wardens, Police/PCSOS, Area Action Plan Funding, Community First Funding, Big Local	Promote facilities and activities for young people
Sub Section 7.6 Stronger Communities			
Low number of residents engaged in volunteering	Active Community Partnerships, Community Centres, Schools, Children's Centres, local Faith Groups	Scholemoor Beacon, Joshua Project, Youth Service, Council Wardens, Area Action Plan Funding, Community Chest, Big Local	Encourage residents to take up volunteering opportunities

If you require further details about the data used in the above tables these can be found on the Observatory Website. See link: http://www.westyorkshireobservatory.org/dataviews/view?viewId=219

DRAFT Great Horton Ward Plan 2013–14

Code	Priority Broad Actions	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
1. Cleaner Greener				
1.1	Reduce littering and flytipping across the Ward	• Identify hotspots • Education – Provide information to residents on how to report fly tipping • Promote Household Waste sites • Promote charities who operate furniture recycling schemes • Deployment of Clean Team to remove rubbish supported by Mechanical Sweepers • Littering - Council Wardens and PCSOs patrolling and issuing notices • Flytipping - Council Wardens patrolling and reporting to Environmental Enforcement Team to identify landowners for further action	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager Environmental Enforcement Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector
1.2	Increase recycling across the Ward	• Identify hotspots • Promote recycling in the Ward • Promote Household Waste sites Promote charities who operate furniture recycling schemes	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager Recycling Team
1.3	Tackle incidents of dog fouling across the ward	• Identify hotspots • Provide information to residents on how to report incidents • Council Wardens patrolling and PCSOs patrolling • Implementation of Dog Control Orders Legislation	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Environmental Enforcement Cleansing Manager Neighbourhood Police Inspector

2. Safer Communities				
2.1	Reduce incidents of domestic burglary	• Identify hotspots via Ward Officer and Ward Leadership Team meetings • Promotion and setting up of Neighbourhood Watch Schemes • Education – Information and crime prevention advice to residents in hotspot areas • Enforcement – Police to patrol	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator
2.2	Tackle drug dealing	• Promote Crimestoppers and encourage reporting • Educate and reassure residents • Council Wardens patrolling • Promotion and setting up of Neighbourhood Watch Schemes • Regular updates at Ward Officer and Ward Leadership Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator
2.3	Tackle inconsiderate and illegal parking around schools	• Council Wardens Patrolling • Days of Action and road safety work with schools • Enforcement – Police and Council Wardens	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Neighbourhood Police Inspector
3. Inequalities in Health and Wellbeing				
3.1	Promote physical activity and healthy lifestyles	• Mapping exercise to identify projects • Promote activities being delivered and support new projects	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Bradford South and Wellbeing Hub Youth Service Community Organisations

3.2	Promote and support activities for older people	• Mapping exercise to identify projects • Promote activities being delivered and support new projects	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Great Horton Ward Live At Home Scheme Warden Manager Community Organisations
4. Incomes, Skills and Housing				
4.1	Tackle unemployment	• Establish links with organisations delivering appropriate training • Signpost people to organisations and support networks • Promote training opportunities • Promote job fairs to local companies and residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Community Organisations Warden Manager
4.2	Promote benefit and debt advice services	• Signpost people to organisations and support networks • Promote Credit Union • Use local community centres for welfare benefits and debt advice • Warn residents about illegal money lending and ‘Loan Sharks’	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Community Centres Community Organisations
4.3	Reduce long term empty properties	• Encourage residents to report empty properties to the Council • Council wardens to undertake patrol and report issues • Promote services offered by Empty Homes Team to property owners • Regular updates at Ward Officer and Ward Leadership Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Empty Homes Team

5. Children and Young People				
5.1	Promote advice, training and employment opportunities for young people	• Identify organisations that provide training and employment advice • Promote organisations across the Ward • Signpost young people to organisations and support networks • Promote jobs fairs to young people	Across the Ward	Youth Service Ward Office Warden Manager
5.2	Promote facilities and activities for young people	• Engage with young people and identify activities required • Promote activities to young people and their parents • Co-ordinate and support youth activities providers network	Across the Ward	Youth Service Ward Officer Warden Manager
6. Stronger Communities				
6.1	Encourage residents to take up volunteering opportunities	• Undertake mapping exercise to identify projects and groups that support volunteering • Promote projects and volunteering opportunities • Support community and voluntary organisations in developing volunteers	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Bradford CVS Youth Service Volunteer Bradford Community Organisations

Queensbury Ward Assessment and Ward Plan 2013-14


Queensbury Ward
QUEENSBURY
Hunger Hill

Ward Members (1/1/13)	Cllr Lynda Cromie	Cllr Paul Cromie	Cllr Michael Walls
Ward Officer	Marie Copley	**Date completed**	March 2013

Introduction: What is the Ward Assessment for?

The Ward Assessment is designed to inform Ward working, including action planning and decision making. The document summarises the prevalent strengths and issues within the Ward. This evidence base produced in the assessment will shape the identification of Ward priorities and actions to take to address these within the annual **Ward Plan.** The Council Ward Officers plays a critical role in digesting this information and summarising findings into the most pertinent issues. In order to assist Ward Officers in capturing and analysing findings this document has been organised under the following seven sections:

Section: 1 Ward Demography: Who lives in the Ward

Section: 2 Community Strengths/ Stronger Communities: Active Citizenship within the Ward.
This section highlights aspects of Ward strengths; including volunteering, voluntary groups etc.

Section: 3 Resources.
This section relates to the resources that Area Committees will be able to influence either directly or indirectly.

Section: 4 New section called Assets of Community Value
This section outlines physical assets (buildings and public spaces) that are assets within the Ward

Section: 5 Ward Issues.
This section summarises the main issues identified by 1) numbers, 2) engagement and voice. There are sub-sections for the themes 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and wellbeing inequalities 4. Incomes, employment and housing and 5. Children and young people. In each sub section there is a table that is an analysis of the main issues for each theme.

Section: 6 Sub Ward Issues
This section highlights areas within smaller areas of the Ward where there are more specific issues.

Section: 7 Making Assessment Ward Priority Issues

Each Indicator is presented as a Ward Assessment table that shows each indicator with a Ward, District and Area Committee comparator.

Ward Profile Indicator Key


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
Bradford District Neighbourhood Ward Assessment Performance Dashboard
Indicator
Ward
Area
District
Trend
Lowest Value
Performance
Highest Value
Bradford Demographic
(%) Population 0-19
28.0
31.6
28.0
19.9
38.6

2. The above table also shows five columns: **Ward, Area Committee and District** measure for the selected ward indicator and a **trend** column that shows an arrow symbol to indicate if the ward measure has either increased or decreased from the previous year. The full table with all ward indicators can be accessed via Bradford observatory. The number key for the above Ward profile is as follows:

1 **Theme Name**
2 **Indicator** = the indicator name
3 **Ward** = the indicator measure for the your selected ward

4 **Ward Status Symbol** this icon symbol acts as a quick summary guide in indentifying if the ward measure is significantly above/below or centres around the district average.

Green Circle ● denotes either significantly above or below the district average

Amber Triangle ▲ shows the indicator centres around the district average

Red Diamond ▼indicates either significantly above or lower then the district average.

5 **Area** is the **Area Committee** Area average
6 **District** is the figure for Bradford District
7 The **Trend** column relates to change through time and enables an analysis of change between two points in time. This is denoted with either a small up or down arrow or a large one where the increase or decrease has been significant.
8 Indicates lowest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

9 Lowest value label

10 **Red background shading** indicates the top/bottom range for the ward indicator

11 **Orange horizontal bar** is the ward value that matches that in the Ward Column **(2)**

12 **Red Vertical** line shows the district average |

13 **Amber background shading** indicates where the ward value would need to fall for it to within the average of the data range

14 Blue triangle shows Area Committee average ▼

15 Green background shade indicates the range that is in the top/ bottom or average of the data range

16 Indicates highest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

Section 1: Demography: Who lives in the Ward?



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Demographic							
(%) Population 0-4	6.7 ▲	7.9	7.9		4.9		12
(%) Population 5-9	6.1 ▲	7.0	7.2		4.9		10.5
(%) Population 10-14	6.2 ▲	6.8	6.9		4.9		9.4
(%) Population 15-19	6.5 ▲	6.6	6.8		5.1		10
(%) Population 20-24	6.0 ▲	6.4	6.8		3.3		19.7
(%) Population 25-59	50.5 ◆	47.1	46.0		41.7		50.9
(%) Population 60-69	9.2 ▲	8.9	8.7		3.3		14
(%) Population 70-79	5.6 ▲	5.8	5.9		2.8		10.1
(%) Population 80+	3.2 ▲	3.6	3.8		1.5		9.5
(%) of Total East and Central Europeans	0.6 ▲	13.8	3.3	↓	0.1		17

🟧 Queensbury Ward

Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

− +

See page 52 of this document for Key.



Age Band	Males	Females
0–4	573	522
5–9	487	504
10–14	494	510
15–19	511	542
20–24	481	502
25–29	536	567
30–34	542	534
35–39	565	587
40–44	668	704
45–49	663	688
50–54	586	636
55–59	490	450
60–64	445	447
65–69	297	304
70–74	227	269
75–79	181	238
80–84	104	174
85–89	61	113
90+	18	53
Total	**7,929**	**8,344**

Section 2: Stronger Communities/Community Strengths-Active Citizens



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Stronger Communities								
(%) of people from different backgrounds who get on well	81.0	▲	84.5	82.4		58		95
(%) who feel very or fairly strongly to neighbourhood	88.0	▲	85.1	86.0		75		95
(%) people not treating others with respect	26.0	◆	22.1	20.3		4		45
(%) Volunteering: given any unpaid help in the last 12 months	32.0	●	12.9	13.4		0		42
(%) Who agree they can influence decisions affecting local area	58.0	●	39.2	38.5		16		73

🟧 Queensbury Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▌ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 52 of this document for Key.

See page 3 of this document for Key

Section 2.2 identifying prevalent strengths through community engagement and voice (Stronger Communities)
1. Active Citizen-led initiatives within the Ward
There is an active campaign group lobbying against ‘smelly wagons’ travelling through the village. There are a large number of voluntary led sports clubs in the area and a thriving scout group and band. Queensbury Music Centre offers low cost music lessons to children and adults in the village. The Queensbury Singers have now become an established group with ever growing numbers and perform free of charge at local community events. There are a number of Neighbourhood Watch initiatives.
2. Scope for increasing Active Citizenship within the Ward
There is always scope for increasing active citizenship. Increase attendance at Neighbourhood Forums, more single issue forums and wider use of social networking. 2013 sees the Queensbury Community celebrating 150 years of ‘being’ Queensbury. The village was previously called Queenshead. There is huge interest in the village and a number of events are already planned, it is a massive opportunity to involve people who have not previously come forward. Queensbury Ward Partnership is the focal point for all the planning.
3. How many incidents of community tensions were reported to your WOT/ WPT in the past 12 months (January to December 2012)
None
4. Qualitative assessment of the level of Active Citizenship within the Ward
Queensbury Ward Partnership is the focal point for groups and organisations in Queensbury, these meetings are well attended and used as a central point for discussing funding and sharing ideas and good practice. Ward Budget applications are submitted to the Partnership and applicants can be asked questions by attendees about the project they are applying to fund. A Face book page has been set up called Queensbury Sesquicentennial and has become very active. The Queensbury Ward Partnership meetings are attracting new residents and organisations who want to be involved in the planning. There are numerous groups already very active within the Ward inc. Scouts, Sports clubs, History Society and Queensbury Singers.
4a. Overall assessment of actual levels of Active Citizenship / Social Capital within the Ward (tick)

Lower	Average	Higher
		✓

5. Any other information relevant to Stronger Communities
The figures indicate that there are significantly more volunteers in the area than in other areas across the district; there are a

considerable amount of voluntary organisations in Queensbury, offering a variety of activities for all ages.

Section 3: Council and partner resources allocated to the Ward and Area Structures

Description of Resource	Amount of Resource	Type of resource, cash, worker time	How can this Resource be influenced within the Ward	Area Committee decision making	Notes
Council Wardens	1 f/t Council Warden patrolling on foot. 1 f/t Council Warden mobile working also in Great Horton.	Worker time	Close liaison with Uniformed Services Manager	yes	
Street cleansing staff supported by mechanical sweepers	6 f/t but covering Great Horton Ward also.	Worker time	Close liaison with Clean Team Manager	yes	
Housing Officers/Managers	1 full time Manager/1part time Officer	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings.		
Youth Workers	1 part time contact person plus 1 full time lead worker and part time workers.	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings Ward Partnership meetings Regular meetings between Ward Officer and Youth Service		

Community Workers	Not council funded, attached to independent organisations	Worker time	Partnership working		
NPT Inspector/Police Officers/PCSO’s	variable	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Fire Service	variable	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Community Payback	variable	Time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Bradford Council Highways	Staff	Advice/worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Bradford Council Parks and Landscapes	Staff	Advice/worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		

Section 4: Assets of Community Value

This list should include any physical asset, including buildings and open spaces that are or potentially could be used by the local community. The Council will have a List of Assets of Community Value that will have a degree of protection if the current owners of the asset would wish to sell. Assets listed here may be used as a shortlist of assets that may later gain the formal status of an Asset of Community Value			
Asset of community value	**Location**	**Current use**	**Potential use**
Victoria Hall	Sandbeds	Various classes, major events e.g. Christmas Extravaganza, Youth Service sessions.	Building not open all of the time so could expand the usage in many ways.
Foxhill, Littlemoor and Russell Hall Parks.	Across the Ward	Widely used for a variety of leisure and sporting and recreational purposes	
Queensbury Children's Centre	Victoria Hall	Wide range of provision for under 5's and families including yoga, functional skills and baby massage.	Community use outside usual hours
Various church buildings with community centres attached	Across the Ward	Worship, community meetings, Neighbourhood Forums, lunch clubs and toddler/playgroups.	Well used generally
Scout Facilities	Albert Road	Meetings, sports activities and hiring's	Well used
Queensbury Celtic FC (large new purpose built building)	Pit Lane	Mainly football activities open to all ages.	Access to other sports clubs in the area

Section 5: Ward Issues.

This section identifies the main issues within the ward through a mixture of identifying issues through numbers and through engagement and voice. These two forms of information are then interpreted in the third sub-section. There is a sub-section on each of the following themes: 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and Wellbeing, 4. Incomes, Skills and Housing and 5. Children and Young People. The three sub sections for each theme are as follows:

3. **Identifying prevalent issues through numbers** includes key **headline** measures of the **State of the Ward**, in relation to experience in a ward.

4. **Community engagement and the voice** of communities is an analysis of a wide range of information that includes the following:
    - Neighbourhood Forums and other engagement events including with young people
    - Views expressed to elected Ward Members
    - Key frontline staff including Council Wardens & Youth Workers
    - Parish, Town and Community Councils

3. **Analysis of statistics and engagement and voice**. This sub section for each theme identifies the ward priority issues and is developed into Ward Priority Issues within the Ward Plan

Sub Section 5.1.1 Cleaner Greener identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Bradford District Ward Assessment Performance Dashboard

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Cleaner Greener								
(%) Overall satisfaction with local area	80.9	◆	84.7	86.8		69		98
(%) Who Perceive rubbish or litter as problem	26.0	▲	27.8	26.2		2		58
(%) of respondents recycling	62.0	▲	59.7	60.8		24		83
(%) Streets Failing on Litter	7.6	▲	13.9	11.8		0		35.9
(%) Streets Failing on Detritus	2.9	▲	3.2	3.6	↑	0		9.9
(%) Streets Failing on Graffiti	2.4	▲	4.7	2.2		0		10.7
(%) Streets Failing on Fly Posting	0.0	●	1.8	1.0	↓	0		8.8
(%) Streets Failing on Weeding	0.0	●	1.5	1.6		0		5.6
(%) Streets Failing on Over Vegetation	52.9	●	61.0	59.7	↑	30.6		81.2
(%) Streets Failing on Edging	18.8	●	48.6	33.4	↓	1.2		69.4
Fly Tipping Per 1000 Population	4.8	▲	8.8	6.8	↑	0.6		14.2
Street Cleansing Per 1000 Population	7.1	▲	9.0	6.6	↑	1.8		13.3

🟧 Queensbury Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
− +

See page 52 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.1.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Cleaner Greener)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
1. Litter particularly on the High Street and in snickets near the Upper School	People using the shops and people living around the schools	Neighbourhood Forums Council Wardens Ward Councillors Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team
2. Inconsiderate and illegal parking	School gates and junctions across the Ward	Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team Neighbourhood Forums PACT/Day of Action
3. Dog fouling in parks and public open spaces.	Everyone using public areas, children are particularly vulnerable as they run and play.	Council Wardens Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team Neighbourhood Forums PACT/Day of Action
4. Large vehicles travelling through the village carrying animal waste products with overpowering smell.	Main impact on people living adjacent to the roads on which the vehicles travel through the Ward though can have a widespread effect at times.	Neighbourhood Forums Ward Councillors Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team
5. Spillage of animal waste products on to the highway.	Main impact on people living adjacent to the roads on which the vehicles travel through the Ward though can have a widespread effect at times.	Neighbourhood Forums Ward Councillors Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team

Sub Section 5.1.3 Cleaner Greener Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
The overall satisfaction in the area is reasonably high at 80.9% but lower than the area at 84.7% and 86.8% in the district. The table shows however that the Ward performs better than the area and district on all but graffiti. The data would suggest that the residents of Queensbury have high expectations and standards. Dog fouling remains a major issue right across the Ward.

Sub Section 5.2.1: Safer Communities identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Safer Communities

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Val...
All Crime Per 1,000 Population	56.1	●	84.9	80.7	↑	25.7		163.5
Criminal Damage Per 1,000 Population	9.0	▲	16.3	13.6	↓	3.2		24.7
Serious Acquisitive Crime Per 1,000 Population	16.7	▲	23.2	20.4	↑	5.9		32.4
Violence Per 1,000 Population	6.5	▲	10.4	10.6	↓	2.3		23.3
Robbery Per 1000 Population	0.1	●	1.0	1.1	↓	0		4
Burglary Per 1,000 Population	6.8	▲	9.6	7.9	↓	2		15.3
Theft of Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	1.4	▲	2.0	1.9	↓	0.4		4.4
Theft from Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	8.4	▲	10.5	9.2	↑	2.7		13.9
Anti-Social Behaviour Per 1000 Population	31.7	●	43.0	46.0	↓	15		141
(%) Anti-social behaviour score >11 (NI 17)	4.0	●	8.7	10.6		1		36
(%) Satisfied with police and public services in dealing with ASB & crime	71.0	●	61.3	61.5		41		81
(%) of people who feel unsafe after dark in neighbourhood	10.0	●	21.4	19.1		5		45
(%) perceiving teenagers hanging around problem	24.0	▲	25.8	25.2		5		45
(%) drugs perceived as very or big problem	19.0	▲	21.2	20.3		1		46
Road Traffic Collisions Attended Per 10,000 Population	1.3	◆	1.0	0.9	—	0		2.3

🟧 Queensbury Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
− +

See page 52 of this document for Key

Section 5.2.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Safer Communities)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
1. Reports of anti-social behaviour across the Ward in hotspots	Areas including the Co-op on the High Street, Russell Hall Park and outside the Tesco Supermarket	Neighbourhood Forums War Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team Ward Councillors PACT/Day of Action
2. Reports of vehicles speeding across the Ward.	Several areas have been identified as hotspots including Park Lane, Mandale Road and Halifax Road at Amblerthorn	Neighbourhood Forums Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team PACT/Day of Action
3. There is a high perception of burglaries in the Ward.	Everyone in the Ward	Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team Neighbourhood Forums PACT/Day of Action

Section 5.2.3 Safer Communities Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
All crime is significantly lower than both the area and district levels. The % of people who feel unsafe after dark is 10%; this is half of the district average. There is a higher level of satisfaction with the way Anti Social Behaviour is tackled. There are however pockets where anti social behaviour continues to be a problem. As with Cleaner, Greener issues the perception in the Ward is worse than the reality.

Sub Section 5.3.1: Inequalities in Health and Well Being: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest V...
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Inequalities: Health and Well Being							
All Age, All Cause Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	6.1 ▲	6.9	6.1	↑	4.2		9.9
Diabetes Hospital Admissions Per 1000 Population Performance (DSR)	0.4 ●	0.9	0.9	↓	0.1		1.9
Coronary Heart Disease Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	1.0 ●	1.2	1.0	↓	0.4		2.2
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	6.7 ▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	9.6 ▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	16.7 ●	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Who have difficulty finding the money to pay for heating and hot water	19.0 ▲	22.4	23.8		6		65
(%) People worried about amount of debt	23.0 ◆	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) who agree older people get services and support to live at home	64.0 ◆	68.1	73.4		52		95
(%) encouraged and supported to be physically active	86.0 ●	74.1	76.3		61		90
(%) Attendance Allowance Claimants	15.5 ▲	16.7	16.4	↓	11.8		27.5
(%) Incapacity Benefit Claimants	3.7 ▲	5.3	4.6	↓	1.2		7.4
Births Per 1000 Population	13.2	15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6

🟧 Queensbury Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▌ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 52 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.3:2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Inequalities in Health and Well Being)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Inequalities in Health and Well Being		
1. Lack of affordable access to facilities providing physical activity	Across the Ward	Neighbourhood Forums Feedback from Youth Service Ward Councillors
2. Alcohol and tobacco misuse due to shops selling products to underage young people	Young people in gathering in Russell Hall Park People living around the perimeter of the park	Ward Officer Team/Ward Leadership Team PACT/Day of Action Queensbury Ward Partnership
3. Lack of services to enable older people to remain at home.	Older people across the Ward.	Ward Councillors Action Plan

Sub Section 5.3.3 Inequalities in Health and Well Being Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
The percentage of people living in the Ward who are worried about debt is higher that both the area and district figures despite the fact that the majority of work age residents are in employment. There are much fewer residents claiming benefits. Those who agree that older people get the services to live at home are less than both district and area. The figures could indicate that there is a lack of engagement between the services providers and older people.

Sub Section 5.4.1: Incomes, Skills and Housing: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Incomes, Skills and Housing

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
(%) Total JSA Claimants	4	●	6.3	5.9	↑	1.1		12.1
(%) of Total Working-Age DWP Claimants	14.0	▲	21.2	18.9	↑	5.2		30.4
(%) JSA Claimants aged 18-24	8.4	▲	12.1	11.3	↑	2		16.8
(%) NEET	0.9	●	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4
(%) Difference in Household Income from District	24.7	●	-2.6	32K		-37.8		48.7
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	10.6	●	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	53.2	●	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) People worried about amount of debt	23.0	◆	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) Long Term Empty Homes	2.3	▲	2.3	2.9	↑	1.5		8.3
(%) Overcrowded Households	2.9	●	6.8	8.0		2		23
Average Number Bids on Homes	12	▲	16	12	↓	2		41

🟧 Queensbury Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
− +

See page 52 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.4.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Incomes Skills and Housing)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Incomes, Skills and Housing		

Sub Section 5.4.3 Incomes Skills and Housing Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
The table identifies that, generally, except for small pockets the Ward is reasonably financially healthy. It would seem, however that a significant percentage of residents are worried about debt. No requests for advice or support services have been raised at any meetings or forums.

Sub Section 5.5.1: Children and Young People: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Children & Young People								
Births Per 1000 Population	13.2		15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	6.7	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) Population 0-19	25.4	▲	27.6	28.0	↓	19.9		38.6
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	9.6	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	16.7	●	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	10.6	●	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
Children Becoming Looked After Per 1000 (0-17) Population	0.6	●	2.8	1.9	↑	0		4.7
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	53.2	●	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) NEET	0.9	●	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4

🟧 Queensbury Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
— +

See page 52 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.5.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Children and Young People

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on.	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Children and Young People		
Anti Social behaviour in Parks and outside shops on the high street	Residents living around the parks and other people using the park. Business owners and shoppers	WOT/WLT Neighbourhood Forums Ward Councillors
Lack of outdoor facilities for older children	Mainly young teenagers 13+	Ward Councillors Partnership meetings
Better external meeting places for young people	Mainly young teenagers 13+	Youth Service consultation

Sub Section 5.5.3 Children and Young People Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
The number of obese children at reception age is marginally higher than the area and district but on reaching Year 6 this figure is significantly lower. Efforts are being made by the Children's Centre to engage parents with very young children and if this could be achieved it would possibly help to reduce the reception age statistic. Not enough information currently available on services for 5-11yrs

Section 6: Sub-Ward Issues

<table>
<tr><td colspan="5">This table identifies key sub-divisions / localities within the Ward. These can be clearly defined villages and housing estates or they may be neighbourhoods that have clear distinctive identities. This table identifies where the issues within these localities deviates substantially from the Ward average. Statistical evidence is not available at the sub-Ward level for many of the indicators used within this Ward Assessment and therefore this is significantly based on the knowledge of attendees at WOTs / WPTs who the Ward Officer will support to make this assessment. (Use LSOA’s if data available).</td></tr>
<tr><th>Locality</th><th>Cleaner Greener</th><th>Safer Communities</th><th>Incomes, Benefits etc.</th><th>Health Inequalities</th></tr>
<tr><td>Hillcrest Estate</td><td></td><td></td><td>This is the area with a larger number of people on low incomes</td><td>Not enough families accessing the services at the Children’s Centre</td></tr>
<tr><td>Highgate Road</td><td></td><td>Speeding vehicles</td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Fleet Lane</td><td>Dog fouling</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr>
</table>

Section 7: Making the Assessment of Ward Priority Issues

Analysis of Ward Issues. From Section 5	**Community Strength**	**Resources**	**PRIORITIES**
Sub Section 7.1Cleaner Greener			
Numerous reports of dog fouling in parks and public open spaces.	Large percentage of people who feel that they belong to their neighbourhood	Council Wardens Police/PCSOs Clean Team Area Action Plan Funding	Tackle incidents of dog fouling across the Ward
Reports of littering across the ward especially in snickets near schools and outside shops	Large percentage of people who feel that they belong to their neighbourhood	Council Wardens Police/PCSOs Clean Team	Reduce littering across the Ward
Regular reports of large vehicles travelling through the village carrying animal waste products which smell overpowering. Main impact on people living adjacent to the roads on which the vehicles travel through the Ward, though it can have a widespread effect at times. Residents witnessing spillage of animal waste products on to the highway.	Large number of people who feel they can influence decisions in their locality	Council Wardens Police/PCSOs Environmental Enforcement	Reduce spillages from wagons carrying waste through the Ward
Sub Section 7.2 Safer Communities			
Incidents of anti social behaviour including underage drinking discussed at Ward Officer Team's and Neighbourhood Forums		Police/PCSOs Youth Service Council Wardens	Reduce incidents of anti social behaviour including those linked to underage drinking
Resident complaints about cars and lorries speeding in hot spots across the Ward		Police/PCSOs Traffic and Highways Council Wardens	Tackle speeding vehicles

Numbers	Engagement and voice	Community Strength	Resources	PRIORITY BROAD ACTIONS (cont 2)
Sub Section 7.3 Inequalities: Health & Wellbeing				
Lack of affordable access to facilities providing physical activity			Community Centres Sports Clubs Youth Service Queensbury Swimming Pool	Increase access to physical activity for all residents
Some residents have raised issues about the lack of access to services for older people to help them remain in their own home.		Number of people volunteering in the Ward is more than double the district average	Queensbury Community Programme Adult Services Bradford South Community Health Engagement Team Churches Council Wardens	Promote programmes to tackle isolation and loneliness.

Sub Section 7.4 Incomes, employment and housing				
A higher percentage of people are concerned about debt than the district average			Citizens Advice Bureau Voluntary Organisations offering debt advice Victoria Children's Centre	Raise awareness of benefits and debt advice services. Promote services offered by the Children's Centre.

Sub Section 7.5 Children and Young People			
Anti Social behaviour in Parks and outside shops on the high street	Community Groups Sports Clubs Scouts	Youth Service	Support activities to reduce incidents of anti-social behaviour in the Ward
Lack of outdoor facilities for older children	Community Groups Sports Clubs Scouts Existing Parks	Youth Service Parks and Landscapes	Promote opportunities for increasing provision for older children
	Community Groups	Youth Service Parks and Landscapes	Promote opportunities for improving external provision for young people.

Sub Section 7.6 Stronger Communities			
People not treating others with respect	Numbers of people volunteering in the Ward is high. Community Groups.	Council Wardens Police	Encourage activities to increase community cohesion.
Residents from different backgrounds not getting along	Community Groups.	Council Wardens Police	Work with police to ensure community tensions are low. Encourage activities to increase community cohesion

Metadata: If you require further details about the data used in the above tables these can be found on the Observatory Website.
See link: http://www.westyorkshireobservatory.org/dataviews/view?viewId=219 then click on Bradford under Links on the bottom left hand side of the screen.

Queensbury Annual Ward Plan 2013 – 14

Code	PRIORITY BROAD ACTIONS	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
1. Cleaner Greener				
1.1	Tackle incidents of dog fouling across the Ward	• Identify and target hotspots. • Erect new dog fouling signage in identified areas following site visits • Educate – Provide information to residents on how to report incidents. • Enforcement – Council Wardens patrolling. • Implementation of Dog Control orders banning dogs from designated areas and ensuring dogs are on leads in others.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager Environmental Enforcement Highways Parks and Leisure
1.2	Reduce littering across the Ward	• Identify and target hotspots. • Working with partners and residents to carry out Days of Action in hotspot areas. Enforcement – Council Wardens and PCSOs patrolling the area and issuing fixed penalty notices. • Deployment of Clean Team to hotspot areas supported by mechanical sweepers.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager
1.3	Reduce spillages from wagons carrying waste through the Ward	• Educate – Provide information to residents on how report incidents. • Enforcement – Environmental Health carrying out prosecutions on companies who do not follow the legislation.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Environmental Health Manager Warden Manager

2. Safer Communities				
2.1	Reduce incidents of anti social behaviour	• Identify hotspots via Ward Officer Team meetings. • Education – Information and crime prevention advice to residents in hotspot areas. • Promote the 101 number and police Newsletter to residents • Enforcement – Police to patrol. • Youth workers to do outreach work to talk to young people on street corners and in parks. • Develop further sessions for activities for young people. Police and Youth Service to liaise closely about young people they come in to contact with.	Across the Ward Mainly Tesco, High Street and Russell Hall Park. Victoria Hall.	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector Warden Manager Council Wardens Youth Service Families First
2.2	Tackle speeding vehicles	Identify hotspots via Ward Officer Team Meetings. • Highways to undertake surveys to look at traffic management measures. • Enforcement – Police patrols. • Hold regular Days of Action and PACT events using speeding devices	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector Warden Manager Traffic and Highways
2.3	Tackle school gate parking issues	• Education – Days of Action and Road Safety work with all Schools across the Ward. • Advice given to parents about how to park safely to drop off and collect their children. • Advice given to schools on staff parking in areas surrounding schools. • Enforcement – Police and Council Wardens issuing tickets.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector Warden Manager

3. Inequalities in Health and Wellbeing				
3.1	Promote access to physical activity for all residents	• Mapping exercise to identify on-going projects Promote activities being delivered and support new projects that provide physical activity • Promote outdoor exercise and recreation opportunities	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Bradford South Health and Wellbeing Hub Warden Manager Youth Service
3.2	Promote programmes to tackle isolation and loneliness.	• Mapping exercise to identify on-going project • Promote activities being delivered and support new projects	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Queensbury Community Programme Ltd Community Organisations
4. Income, Skills and Housing				
4.1	Raise awareness of benefits and debt advice services. Promote services offered by the Children’s Centre.	• Education and promotion make residents aware of advice service provision. Raise awareness of activities/services offered by the Children’s Centre.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Community Organisations
5. Children and Young People				
5.1	Reduce incidents of anti-social behaviour in the Ward	• Regular communication and updates to residents on anti-social behaviour and Crimes issues • Regular updates from Police on hotspots at the Ward Officer Team Meetings. • Promote the 101 number and police Newsletter to residents • Promote further sessions for activities for young people. • Police and Youth Service to liaise closely	Hotspots, across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Neighbourhood Police Inspector Youth Service Families First

		about young people they come in to contact with. - Youth workers to do outreach work to talk to young people on street corners and in parks. Regular updates at Ward Officer and Ward Leadership Team Meetings		
5.2	Promote opportunities for ‘drop in’ provision for older children	- Engage with young people about the type of activities they would like to do. - Organise activities in partnership with young people. - Promote activities that are already available.	Across the Ward	Youth Service Parks and Leisure Ward Officer
5.3	Promote opportunities for improving external provision for young people.	- Consult with young people about the type of provision they would like.	Mainly in the central village area	Ward Officer Youth Service Parks and Leisure
6. Stronger Communities				
6.1	Encourage and support activities to increase community cohesion.	- Use Forums and Ward Partnership meetings as a means of sharing information about voluntary and statutory service provision within the Ward - Respond to requests for support to new groups in the local area and ensure they are included in the development of the Ward Partnership.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector
6.2	Work with police to ensure community tensions are low.	- Regular joint Days of Action/PACT events engaging with local people about issues affecting them. - Good flow of information to be shared at Ward Officer Team meeting.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector Warden Manager Council Wardens Youth Service

Draft Royds Ward Assessment and Ward Plan 2013-14


Royds Ward
Buttershaw
Wibsey Slack
Moor Top

Ward Members	Cllr Val Slater	Cllr Andrew Thornton	Cllr Gill Thornton
Ward Officer	Arshad Mahmood	**Date completed**	March 2013

Introduction: What is the Ward Assessment for?

The Ward Assessment is designed to inform Ward working, including action planning and decision making. The document summarises the prevalent strengths and issues within the Ward. This evidence base produced in the assessment will shape the identification of Ward priorities and actions to take to address these within the annual **Ward Plan.** The Council Ward Officers plays a critical role in digesting this information and summarising findings into the most pertinent issues. In order to assist Ward Officers in capturing and analysing findings this document has been organised under the following seven sections:

Section: 1 Ward Demography: Who lives in the Ward

Section: 2 Community Strengths/ Stronger Communities: Active Citizenship within the Ward.
This section highlights aspects of Ward strengths; including volunteering, voluntary groups etc.

Section: 3 Resources.
This section relates to the resources that Area Committees will be able to influence either directly or indirectly.

Section: 4 New section called Assets of Community Value
This section outlines physical assets (buildings and public spaces) that are assets within the Ward

Section: 5 Ward Issues.
This section summarises the main issues identified by 1) numbers, 2) engagement and voice. There are sub-sections for the themes 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and wellbeing inequalities 4. Incomes, employment and housing and 5. Children and young people. In each sub section there is a table that is an analysis of the main issues for each theme.

Section: 6 Sub Ward Issues
This section highlights areas within smaller areas of the Ward where there are more specific issues.

Section: 7 Making Assessment Ward Priority Issues

Each Indicator is presented as a Ward Assessment table that shows each indicator with a Ward, District and Area Committee comparator**.**

Ward Profile Indicator Key



Bradford District Neighbourhood Ward Assessment Performance Dashboard

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford Demographic								
(%) Population 0-19	28.0	▲	31.6	28.0	↑	19.9		38.6

3. The above table also shows five columns: **Ward, Area Committee and District** measure for the selected ward indicator and a **trend** column that shows an arrow symbol to indicate if the ward measure has either increased or decreased from the previous year. The full table with all ward indicators can be accessed via Bradford observatory. The number key for the above Ward profile is as follows:

1 **Theme Name**

2 **Indicator** = the indicator name

3 **Ward** = the indicator measure for the your selected ward

4 **Ward Status Symbol** this icon symbol acts as a quick summary guide in indentifying if the ward measure is significantly above/below or centres around the district average.

Green Circle ● denotes either significantly above or below the district average

Amber Triangle ▲ shows the indicator centres around the district average

Red Diamond ▼ indicates either significantly above or lower than the district average.

5 **Area** is the **Area Committee** Area average

6 **District** is the figure for Bradford District

7 The **Trend** column relates to change through time and enables an analysis of change between two points in time. This is denoted with either a small up or down arrow or a large one where the increase or decrease has been significant.

8 Indicates lowest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

9 Lowest value label

10 **Red background shading** indicates the top/bottom range for the ward indicator

11 **Orange horizontal bar** is the ward value that matches that in the Ward Column **(2)**

12 **Red Vertical** line shows the district average

13 **Amber background shading** indicates where the ward value would need to fall for it to within the average of the data range

14 Blue triangle shows Area Committee average ▼

15 Green background shade indicates the range that is in the top/ bottom or average of the data range

16 Indicates highest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

Section 1: Demography: Who lives in the Ward



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Demographic								
(%) Population 0-4	7.6	▲	7.9	7.9		4.9		12
(%) Population 5-9	7.1	▲	7.0	7.2		4.9		10.5
(%) Population 10-14	7.1	▲	6.8	6.9		4.9		9.4
(%) Population 15-19	6.6	▲	6.6	6.8		5.1		10
(%) Population 20-24	6.5	▲	6.4	6.8		3.3		19.7
(%) Population 25-59	46.4	▲	47.1	46.0		41.7		50.9
(%) Population 60-69	8.7	▲	8.9	8.7		3.3		14
(%) Population 70-79	5.9	▲	5.8	5.9		2.8		10.1
(%) Population 80+	4.2	▲	3.6	3.8		1.5		9.5
(%) of Total East and Central Europeans	2.2	▲	13.8	3.3	⬇	0.1		17

🟧 Royds Ward

Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

− +

See page 83 of this document for Key.



Age Band	Males	Females
0–4	662	651
5–9	613	614
10–14	624	602
15–19	574	577
20–24	510	612
25–29	577	669
30–34	566	604
35–39	556	613
40–44	596	638
45–49	591	635
50–54	528	590
55–59	446	453
60–64	449	462
65–69	288	318
70–74	243	322
75–79	181	273
80–84	163	231
85–89	76	134
90+	31	88
Total	**8,274**	**9,086**

Section 2: Stronger Communities/Community Strengths-Active Citizens



Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Stronger Communities

Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
(%) of people from different backgrounds who get on well	88.0 ●	84.5	82.4		58		95
(%) who feel very or fairly strongly to neighbourhood	86.0 ▲	85.1	86.0		75		95
(%) people not treating others with respect	17.0 ▲	22.1	20.3		4		45
(%) Volunteering: given any unpaid help in the last 12 months	16.0 ▲	12.9	13.4		0		42
(%) Who agree they can influence decisions affecting local area	30.0 ◆	39.2	38.5		16		73

🟧 Royds Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
− +

See page 83 of this document for Key.

Section 2.2 identifying prevalent strengths through community engagement and voice (Stronger Communities)

1. Active Citizen-led initiatives within the Ward

Friends of Harold Park, Friends of North Bierley Cemetery, Woodside Neighbourhood Group, Butterwood Community Group. Clean up days, celebrations and fun days organised by local groups.

2. Scope for increasing Active Citizenship within the Ward

In Woodside over the past 2 years more local residents have become involved in the Woodside Community Group. This group has supported local people to develop and access more services and it encouraged more local volunteers to take part in activities, such as youth clubs, allotment project and employment projects, luncheon clubs etc. In Buttershaw the Footprints Centre and Ripple project also work on a number of initiatives which allow for active citizenship opportunities. Both organisations are very well established in the Ward. Schools and Children centres have played a positive role in working with the community providing opportunities for active citizenship in the Ward.

3. How many incidents of community tensions were reported to your WOT/ WPT in the past 12 months (January to December 2012)

None.

4. Qualitative assessment of the level of Active Citizenship within the Ward

With some confidence building local people are getting involved in becoming active citizens when opportunities arise.

4a. Overall assessment of actual levels of Active Citizenship / Social Capital within the Ward (tick)

Lower	Average	Higher
	✓	

5. Any other information relevant to Stronger Communities

All groups support each other in the Royds Ward. We have positive cross working between Buttershaw and Woodside estates.

Section 3: Council and partner resources allocated to the Ward and Area Structures

Description of Resource	Amount of Resource	Type of resource, cash, worker time	How can this Resource be influenced within the Ward	Area Committee decision making	Notes
Council Wardens	1.5 x full time	Worker time	Close liaison with Warden Manager	yes	
Street cleansing staff & mechanical sweepers	3 x full time	Worker time	Close liaison with Clean Team Manager	yes	
Housing Officers/Managers	2	Worker time	Ward Officer Team and Safer Communities' Partnership.	No	
Youth Workers	1	Worker time	Ward Officer Team	yes	
Community Centre Worker	1	Worker time	Community Funding Unit	No	
NPT Police Officers/PCSO's	Variable, working shifts	Worker time	Ward Officer Team	No	
Fire Service	variable	Worker time, particularly at Days of Action	Ward Officer Team	No	
Community Payback	variable	Time	Ward Officer Team	No	

Section 4: Assets of Community Value

This list should include any physical asset, including buildings and open spaces, that are or potentially could be used by the local community. The Council will have a List of Assets of Community Value that will have a degree of protection if the current owners of the asset would wish to sell. Assets listed here may be used as a shortlist of assets that may later gain the formal status of an Asset of Community Value

Asset of community value	**Location**	**Current use**	**Potential use**
Harold Park	Low Moor	Recreation Lake, Foot pitch, sensory garden, Bowling Club, play areas, Police contact point.	With lighting could be used in evenings
Sandale Centre	Woodside	Community Activities – very active	Could be used more weekends
Playbuilder – Adventure Play area	Meadway - Woodside	Play area	More play equipment could be added.
Farfield Recreation Ground	Buttreshaw	Small play area	Large area not used. Could be used as a football pitch or other sports activities.
Land at Brafferton Arbor	Buttershaw	Grass land in middle of the estate – not used for anything	Could be used as recreation land.
Playbuilder – Adventure Play area	Beck Hill - Buttershaw	Play area	More play equipment could be added.

Section 5: Ward Issues

This section identifies the main issues within the ward through a mixture of identifying issues through numbers and through engagement and voice.

These two forms of information are then interpreted in the third sub-section.

There is a sub-section on each of the following themes: 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and Wellbeing, 4. Incomes, Skills and Housing and 5. Children and Young People.   The three sub sections for each theme are as follows:

5. **Identifying prevalent issues through numbers** includes key **headline** measures of the **State of the Ward**, in relation to experienced in a ward.

6. **Community engagement and the voice** of communities is an analysis of a wide range of information that includes the following:

    - Neighbourhood Forums and other engagement events including with young people
    - Views expressed to elected Ward Members
    - Key frontline staff including Council Wardens & Youth Workers
    - Parish, Town and Community Councils

3. **Analysis of statistics and engagement and voice**. This sub section for each theme identifies the ward priority issues and is developed into Ward Priority Issues within the Ward Plan

Sub Section 5.1.1 Cleaner Greener identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Cleaner Greener								
(%) Overall satisfaction with local area	85.0	▲	84.7	86.8		69		98
(%) Who Perceive rubbish or litter as problem	31.0	▲	27.8	26.2		2		58
(%) of respondents recycling	63.0	▲	59.7	60.8		24		83
(%) Streets Failing on Litter	6.1	●	13.9	11.8	↑	0		35.9
(%) Streets Failing on Detritus	1.4	▲	3.2	3.6	↓	0		9.9
(%) Streets Failing on Graffiti	7.4	◆	4.7	2.2	↓	0		10.7
(%) Streets Failing on Fly Posting	0.7	▲	1.8	1.0	↓	0		8.8
(%) Streets Failing on Weeding	4.1	◆	1.5	1.6	↑	0		5.6
(%) Streets Failing on Over Vegetation	77.0	◆	61.0	59.7	↑	30.6		81.2
(%) Streets Failing on Edging	67.6	◆	48.6	33.4	↑	1.2		69.4
Fly Tipping Per 1000 Population	7.7	▲	8.8	6.8	↑	0.6		14.2
Street Cleansing Per 1000 Population	5.1	▲	9.0	6.6	↑	1.8		13.3

🟧 Royds Ward

Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change − Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

− +

See page 83 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.1.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Cleaner Greener)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Complaints of dog fouling to Wardens patrolling the area and reports from local residents	Across the Ward – Impact in North Bierley Cemetery and Harold Park users	Buttershaw & Woodside Partnership Neighbourhood Forums
Fly tipping in Buttershaw, Farfield recreation ground and land behind Boltby Lane, land on Barfferton Arbor	Impacts on young children who play in the fields and local residents see it as an eye sore and health and safety risk.	Ward Officer Teams (WOTs), Neighbourhood Forums, Street Pact event, Buttershaw & Woodside Partnership
Complaints regarding the lack of grass cutting across the Royds Ward, from the Council Contractors.	Impact on local residents as grass grew so long land was unusable and started getting fly-tipped.	Neighbourhood Forum Ward Officer Team

Sub Section 5.1.3 Cleaner Greener Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
The Ward is made up of 2 large housing estates and a number of privately owned areas. Voice and data have shown that there are a number of trends that are negative on cleaner and greener issues i.e. weeding, edging, over hanging vegetation and graffiti. These seem to be focused on hot-spot areas. On a positive note the perception of streets failing on litter, is significantly lower then both the Area and District levels. **Key headline issues:** Streets failing on graffiti is above average for both the Area and District levels Complaints of dog fouling to Wardens patrolling the area and reports from local residents Streets failing on over hanging vegetation and edging is above average for both the Area and District levels.

Sub Section 5.2.1: Safer Communities identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Safer Communities

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Valu
All Crime Per 1,000 Population	81.4	▲	84.9	80.7	↑	25.7		163.5
Criminal Damage Per 1,000 Population	20.0	◆	16.3	13.6	↑	3.2		24.7
Serious Acquisitive Crime Per 1,000 Population	18.3	▲	23.2	20.4	↓	5.9		32.4
Violence Per 1,000 Population	11.9	▲	10.4	10.6	↓	2.3		23.3
Robbery Per 1000 Population	0.9	▲	1.0	1.1	↓	0		4
Burglary Per 1,000 Population	6.3	▲	9.6	7.9	⬇	2		15.3
Theft of Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	1.6	▲	2.0	1.9	↓	0.4		4.4
Theft from Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	9.6	▲	10.5	9.2	↑	2.7		13.9
Anti-Social Behaviour Per 1000 Population	45.7	▲	43.0	46.0	⬇	15		141
(%) Anti-social behaviour score >11 (NI 17)	10.0	▲	8.7	10.6		1		36
(%) Satisfied with police and public services in dealing with ASB & crime	63.0	▲	61.3	61.5		41		81
(%) of people who feel unsafe after dark in neighbourhood	18.0	▲	21.4	19.1		5		45
(%) perceiving teenagers hanging around problem	28.0	▲	25.8	25.2		5		45
(%) drugs perceived as very or big problem	19.0	▲	21.2	20.3		1		46
Road Traffic Collisions Attended Per 10,000 Population	0.6	●	1.0	0.9	—	0		2.3

🟧 Royds Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
− +

See page 83 of this document for Key

Section 5.2.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Safer Communities)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Speeding traffic and rat running Woodside and Low Moor	Residents who live on Meadway. Woodside School, children and families who use the adventure play area and Judy Woods on Meadway.	Neighbourhood Forum Highways consultation with local residents Ward Officer Team
Inconsiderate parking around schools.	Parking issues outside all Schools in the Royds Ward – Woodside, Reevy Hill, Farfield Primary Schools and Buttershaw Business and Enterprise College.	Buttershaw & Woodside Partnership Neighbourhood Forums Ward Officer Team
Anti–Social Behaviour in hot spot areas	Buttershaw Estate – Stensell Green and Brafferton Arbor	PACT Events Ward Officer Team

Section 5.2.3 Safer Communities Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
Most of the data is positive on crime issues it is under the area and district averages, although criminal damage is an issue and significantly higher than the district level. Road safety is a concern for residents. Although the data does not show Anti–Social Behaviour (ASB) is an issue, from community engagement we understand that in certain parts of the housing estates it an issue and has been raised as a concern especially around the scrap metal trade. **Key headline issues:** Problems of speeding traffic and rat running Inconsiderate parking around schools Anti–Social Behaviour and Criminal damage

Sub Section 5.3.1 Inequalities in Health and Well Being: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest ...
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Inequalities: Health and Well Being								
All Age, All Cause Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	8.0	◆	6.9	6.1	↓	4.2		9.9
Diabetes Hospital Admissions Per 1000 Population Performance (DSR)	0.9	▲	0.9	0.9	↓	0.1		1.9
Coronary Heart Disease Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	1.8	◆	1.2	1.0	↑	0.4		2.2
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	7.3	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	10.1	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	16.0	●	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Who have difficulty finding the money to pay for heating and hot water	18.0	●	22.4	23.8		6		65
(%) People worried about amount of debt	15.0	▲	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) who agree older people get services and support to live at home	79.0	●	68.1	73.4		52		95
(%) encouraged and supported to be physically active	64.0	◆	74.1	76.3		61		90
(%) Attendance Allowance Claimants	18.4	▲	16.7	16.4	↑	11.8		27.5
(%) Incapacity Benefit Claimants	5.4	▲	5.3	4.6	↓	1.2		7.4
Births Per 1000 Population	13.7		15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6

🟧 Royds Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
— +

See page 83 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.3.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Inequalities in Health and Well Being)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Inequalities in Health and Well Being		
Older people not being engaged people feeling isolated	Impact on older people across the Ward	Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, Ward Officer Teams
Young people not being physical active	Impact on older people across the Ward	Bradford South Health Hub.

Sub Section 5.3.3 Inequalities in Health and Well Being Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
In the Ward there is an issue with poor health, the number of deaths per 1000 population due to all causes, including cancer, stroke and CHD numbers are higher than both area and district levels. Number of deaths per 1000 population due to Coronary Heart Disease is also higher than both area and district levels. Higher number respondents felt they are not being encouraged and supported to be physically active as compared to other Wards. Higher number of respondents felt that older people get services and support to live at home as compared to other Wards. **Key headline issues:** Older people not engaging with local services Overall mortality rates higher than area and district level Support and encourage residents to be more physically activity

Sub Section 5.4.1: Incomes, Skills and Housing: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Incomes, Skills and Housing							
(%) Total JSA Claimants	6 ▲	6.3	5.9	↑	1.1		12.1
(%) of Total Working-Age DWP Claimants	21.6 ▲	21.2	18.9	↑	5.2		30.4
(%) JSA Claimants aged 18-24	11.7 ▲	12.1	11.3	↑	2		16.8
(%) NEET	4.2 ●	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4
(%) Difference in Household Income from District	-7.0 ▲	-2.6	32K		-37.8		48.7
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	28.3 ◆	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	35.1 ◆	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) People worried about amount of debt	15.0 ▲	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) Long Term Empty Homes	1.5 ●	2.3	2.9	↑	1.5		8.3
(%) Overcrowded Households	5.9 ▲	6.8	8.0		2		23
Average Number Bids on Homes	41 ◆	16	12	↑	2		41

🟧 Royds Ward

Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average | Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐

− +

See page 83 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.4.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Incomes Skills and Housing)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Incomes, Skills and Housing		
People find it difficult to find out about jobs. They need motivating and confidence building to look for work.	Across the Ward – in particular young people with low or no qualifications.	Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WOTs,
Concern about loan sharks	Issues on both Woodside and Buttershaw estates	Neighbourhood Forum, WOTs,

Sub Section 5.4.2 Incomes Skills and Housing Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
High demand for social rented properties within the area. This is calculated from the Choice Based Lettings computer system that is used to allocate social housing in the district. Households in need place bids on advertised properties they are interested in; the number of bids placed on each property reflects the demand. **Key headline issues:** Resents need support and information to get training and jobs Concerns raised about peoples debt levels and the increase in number of loan sharks

Sub Section 5.5.1: Children and Young People: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Children & Young People								
Births Per 1000 Population	13.7		15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	7.3	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) Population 0-19	27.8	▲	27.6	28.0	↓	19.9		38.6
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	10.1	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	16.0	●	20.8	22.6	⬇	4.2		29
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	28.3	◆	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
Children Becoming Looked After Per 1000 (0-17) Population	1.6	▲	2.8	1.9	↓	0		4.7
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	35.1	◆	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) NEET	4.2	●	4.3	7.8	⬇	0.4		7.4

🟧 Royds Ward

Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐

− +

See page 83 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.5.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Children and Young People)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Children and Young People		
Young people not being physical active – do not feel safe using play areas and parks. Other interests computer games taking priority	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Teams, Neighbourhood Forums, Street Pact events, Youth Service Consultation
Young people find it difficult to find out about jobs. They need motivating and confidence building to look for work.	In particular young people with low or no qualifications.	Ward Officer Teams, Neighbourhood Forums, Street Pact events, Youth Service Consultation
High number of teenage pregnancy	Across the Ward	Youth Service Consultation

Sub Section 5.5.3 Children and Young People Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
Pupils attaining KS4 5+ A-C Grades is lower than both Area and District levels High percentage of children receiving free school meals. **Key headline issues:** Improve KS4 5+ A-C Grades Young people want support in find employment and training opportunities Reduce teenage pregnancy via advice and information

Section 6: Sub-Ward Issues

This table identifies key sub-divisions / localities within the Ward. These can be clearly defined villages and housing estates or they may be neighbourhoods that have clear distinctive identities. This table identifies where the issues within these localities deviates substantially from the Ward average. Statistical evidence is not available at the sub-Ward level for many of the indicators used within this Ward Assessment and therefore this is significantly based on the knowledge of attendees at WOTs / WPTs who the Ward Officer will support to make this assessment. (Use LSOA's if data available).

Locality	**Cleaner Greener**	**Safer Communities**	**Incomes, Benefits etc**	**Health Inequalities**
Buttershaw Estate	✓	✓	✓	
Woodside Estate	✓		✓	✓
Low Moor		✓		
Horton Top	✓	✓		
Wibsey Slack	✓			

Section 7: Making the Assessment of Ward Priority Issues

Analysis of Ward issues from Section 5	Community Strength	Resources	PRIORITIES
Sub Section 7.1Cleaner Greener			
Perception of streets failing on graffiti is above average for both the Area and District levels	Active volunteers.	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle graffiti in hot spot areas
Complaints of dog fouling to Wardens patrolling the area and reports from local residents	Responsible dog owners clear up and report issues.	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle problems of dog fouling in hot spots across the Ward
Perception of streets failing on over hanging vegetation is above average for both the Area and District levels	Vigilant residents	Council Wardens Environmental Enforcement Police	Tackle over hanging vegetation including bushes and tress from gardens and private land
Sub Section 7.2 Safer Communities			
Problems of speeding traffic and rat running in hot spot areas	Local car users Vigilant residents	Police Highways Department	Identify hotspots Support traffic measure to reduce rat running and speeding
Complaints of inconsiderate parking around schools across the Ward raised at Partnership meetings Wardens/PCSO's patrolling the area	School Governing Bodies	Police Council Wardens Highways Department	Identify incidents of illegal parking, take enforcement action and improve road safety
Problems of Anti–Social Behaviour in hot spot areas	Vigilant residents Neighbourhood Watch Schemes	Police Anti-Social Behaviour Team Social Housing Tenancy Enforcement Officers	Work in partnership to tackle anti-social behaviour

Sub Section 7.3 Inequalities: Health & Wellbeing			
Older people not engaging with local services	Community Centres	Bradford South Health Team	Co-ordinate engagement work with older people across the Ward
Overall mortality rates higher than area and district level (all causes) Coronary heart disease also higher than both area and district levels	Community Centres GP Surgeries	Bradford South Health Team	Promote and support healthy eating initiatives
Support and encourage residents to be more physically activity	Community centres/ groups Recreation ground	Sport & Leisure Services	Promote and provide opportunities for residents to be more active
Sub Section 7.4 Incomes, employment and housing			
Resents need support and information to get training and jobs			Broaden residents employability skills
Concerns raised about peoples debt levels and the increase in number of loan sharks	Community Centres	Children Centres becoming collection points for Credit Union Trading Standards	Promote and co-ordinate welfare benefits and debt advice is available for local people.

Sub Section 7.5 Children and Young People			
Young people not in Education, Employment or Training (NEETs) are higher than the district average. Young people want support in find employment and training opportunities.	Skills Training required for Job Seekers	Community Centres	Promote opportunities for young people including NEETS to gain skills and confidence to support their search for employment, training or further education
Pupils attaining KS4 5+ A-C Grades is lower than both Area and District levels.	Community workers - Hole work clubs	Schools	Support young people in education
Reduce teenage pregnancy via advice and information		Community Centres – Schools – Children Centres	Promotion of Sexual Health Education
Sub Section 7.6 Stronger Communities			
People who feel they can influence decision making is low	Local community groups and organisations that people can join.	Community Centres – Schools – Children Centres	Engage with local people to communicate with the Council, through Neighbourhood Forums, Street Pacts, Days of Action and Action planning events.

If you require further details about the data used in the above tables these can be found on the Observatory Website. See link: http://www.westyorkshireobservatory.org/dataviews/view?viewId=219

DRAFT Royds Ward Plan 2013-14				
Code	**Broad Priority Actions**	**Specific Ward Actions (summary)**	**Target Areas/ Target Groups**	**Who responsible**
1.0 Cleaner Greener				
1.1	Tackle graffiti and litter in hot spot areas	• Identify hotspots • Educate – Days of Action • Deploy Clean Team and mechanical sweepers • Council Wardens patrol area for evidence of people undertaking graffiti activity • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward Buttershaw – Strensall Green Shops on Reevy Road West	Ward Officer, Warden Manager, Cleansing Manager,
1.2	Tackle problems of dog fouling in hot spots across the Ward	• Identify hotspots • Educate – Days of Action • Enforcement – Council Wardens Patrolling • Deploy Clean Team to clear the area • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward North Bierley Cemetery Harold Park	Ward Officer, Warden Manager, Cleansing Manager, Environment Enforcement
1.3	Tackle over hanging vegetation including bushes and trees from gardens and private land	• Identify hotspots • Educate - Provide information to residents and businesses regarding cutting back vegetation. • Enforcement – Evidence reported to Environment Enforcement Team for further action • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Environment Enforcement, Warden Manager, Cleansing Manager

Code	Broad Priority Actions	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
2.0 Safer Communities				
2.1	Support traffic measure to reduce rat running and speeding	• Identify hotspots • Days of action with the Neighbourhood Policing Team (NPT) South • Council Wardens Patrolling • Traffic and Highways designing out rat running and speeding traffic in hotspots	Across the Ward Meadway Abb Scot lane Fenwick Drive	Ward Officer, NPT South, Council Wardens, Traffic and Highways
2.2	Take enforcement action on illegal parking and improve road safety	• Identify hotspot areas • Promote road safety - Work with Schools • Reduce rat running/illegal parking – Days of action • Enforce – Council Wardens & Police • Educate – through Neighbourhood Forums and community events	Across the Ward - Outside Schools	Road Safety, Ward Officer, Warden Manager
2.3	Work in partnership to reduce anti-social behaviour	• Identify hotspots • Educate - Provide information to residents how to report ASB • Engage – Promote/sign post projects and schemes for people causing the ASB • Enforcement – Evidence reported to appropriate partner for further action • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, NPT – South, Tenancy Enforcement Officers, ASB Officers, Council Wardens

Code	Broad Priority Actions	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
3.0 Inequalities: Health and Wellbeing Inequalities				
3.1	Promote and support healthy eating initiatives and physical activities for residents	• Mapping exercise of projects that provide healthy eating initiatives • Mapping exercise of projects that enable residents to undertake physical activity • Promote to residents • Build capacity with local groups to enable them to hold both healthy eating initiatives and physical activities for local people	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Bradford South Health and Wellbeing Hub, Royds Community Worker
3.2	Increase level of engagement of older people	• Work with groups in the Ward • Engage through Coffee mornings and Luncheon clubs • Support and build capacity for new groups • Review engagement methods/processes	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Community Development Worker, Council Wardens
4.0 Incomes, employment and housing				
4.1	Broaden residents employability skills	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Establish links with organisations delivering appropriate training. • Promote training opportunities • Promote job fairs to local companies and residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Royds Community Worker, GRID
4.2	Promote welfare benefits and debt advice	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Promote Credit Union • Use local community centres for welfare benefits and debt advice • Warn residents about ‘Loan Sharks	Across the Ward - Woodside estate - Buttershaw estate	Ward officer, Royds Community Worker, Woodside and Buttershaw Partnership

Code	Broad Priority Actions	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
5.0 Children and Young People				
5.1	Promote opportunities for young people including NEETS to gain skills and confidence to support their search for employment, training or further education	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • establish links with organisations delivering appropriate training • Promote job fairs to local companies and residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Royds Community Worker, Council Wardens
5.2	Support young people in education	• Promote Home work classes • Support local schools • Utilise Community centres to support young people	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Schools, Community Centres,
5.3	Promotion of Sexual Health Education	• Hold sessions for young people • Train up volunteers to discuss these issues with young people	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Health Team Volunteers
6.0 Stronger Communities				
6.1	Engage with local people to communicate with the Council, through Neighbourhood Forums, Street Pacts, Days of Action and Action planning events	• Leaflet areas where events are being held • Go door-to-door to engage with local community • Use luncheon clubs and coffee mornings	Across the Ward	Council Wardens, NPT – South, Environmental Enforcement

Draft Tong Ward Assessment and Plan 2013-14



Ward Members	Cllr Michael Johnson	Cllr John Ruding	Cllr Alan Wainwright
Ward Officer	Rada Mijailovic	**Date completed**	March 2013

Introduction: What is the Ward Assessment for?

The Ward Assessment is designed to inform Ward working, including action planning and decision making. The document summarises the prevalent strengths and issues within the Ward. This evidence base produced in the assessment will shape the identification of Ward priorities and actions to take to address these within the annual **Ward Plan.** The Council Ward Officers plays a critical role in digesting this information and summarising findings into the most pertinent issues. In order to assist Ward Officers in capturing and analysing findings this document has been organised under the following seven sections:

Section: 1 Ward Demography: Who lives in the Ward

Section: 2 Community Strengths/ Stronger Communities: Active Citizenship within the Ward.
This section highlights aspects of Ward strengths; including volunteering, voluntary groups etc.

Section: 3 Resources.
This section relates to the resources that Area Committees will be able to influence either directly or indirectly.

Section: 4 New section called Assets of Community Value
This section outlines physical assets (buildings and public spaces) that are assets within the Ward

Section: 5 Ward Issues.
This section summarises the main issues identified by 1) numbers, 2) engagement and voice. There are sub-sections for the themes 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and wellbeing inequalities 4. Incomes, employment and housing and 5. Children and young people. In each sub section there is a table that is an analysis of the main issues for each theme.

Section: 6 Sub Ward Issues
This section highlights areas within smaller areas of the Ward where there are more specific issues.

Section: 7 Making Assessment Ward Priority Issues

Each Indicator is presented as a Ward Assessment table that shows each indicator with a Ward, District and Area Committee comparator**.**

Ward Profile Indicator Key



Bradford District Neighbourhood Ward Assessment Performance Dashboard

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford Demographic								
(%) Population 0-19	28.0	▲	31.6	28.0	↑	19.9	◆	38.6

4. The above table also shows five columns: **Ward, Area Committee and District** measure for the selected ward indicator and a **trend** column that shows an arrow symbol to indicate if the ward measure has either increased or decreased from the previous year. The full table with all ward indicators can be accessed via Bradford observatory. The number key for the above Ward profile is as follows:

1 **Theme Name**
2 **Indicator** = the indicator name
3 **Ward** = the indicator measure for the your selected ward

4 **Ward Status Symbol** this icon symbol acts as a quick summary guide in indentifying if the ward measure is significantly above/below or centres around the district average.

Green Circle ● denotes either significantly above or below the district average

Amber Triangle ▲ shows the indicator centres around the district average

Red Diamond ▼indicates either significantly above or lower then the district average.

5 **Area** is the **Area Committee** Area average
6 **District** is the figure for Bradford District
7 The **Trend** column relates to change through time and enables an analysis of change between two points in time. This is denoted with either a small up or down arrow or large ones where the increase or decrease has been significant.

8. Indicates lowest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district
9. Lowest value label
10. **Red background shading** indicates the top/bottom range for the ward indicator
11. **Orange horizontal bar** is the ward value that matches that in the Ward Column **(2)**
12. **Red Vertical** line shows the district average |
13. **Amber background shading** indicates where the ward value would need to fall for it to be within the average of the data range
14. **Blue triangle** shows Area Committee average ▼
15. **Green background shade** indicates the range that is in the top/ bottom or average of the data range
16. Indicates highest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

Section 1: Demography: Who lives in the ward



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Demographic							
(%) Population 0-4	9.5 ▲	7.9	7.9		4.9		12
(%) Population 5-9	7.5 ▲	7.0	7.2		4.9		10.5
(%) Population 10-14	7.3 ▲	6.8	6.9		4.9		9.4
(%) Population 15-19	6.8 ▲	6.6	6.8		5.1		10
(%) Population 20-24	6.5 ▲	6.4	6.8		3.3		19.7
(%) Population 25-59	46.9 ▲	47.1	46.0		41.7		50.9
(%) Population 60-69	7.6 ▲	8.9	8.7		3.3		14
(%) Population 70-79	5.0 ▲	5.8	5.9		2.8		10.1
(%) Population 80+	2.9 ▲	3.6	3.8		1.5		9.5
(%) of Total East and Central Europeans	4.2 ▲	13.8	3.3	⬆	0.1		17

🟧 Tong Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
— +

See page 112 of this document for Key.


Tong : Census 2011 Ward Population (20,608)

Age Band	Males	Females
0–4	1029	931
5–9	778	776
10–14	786	714
15–19	776	629
20–24	584	760
25–29	693	975
30–34	730	791
35–39	668	636
40–44	714	723
45–49	649	745
50–54	580	726
55–59	528	500
60–64	485	459
65–69	298	327
70–74	281	286
75–79	187	270
80–84	130	183
85–89	51	130
90+	19	81
Total	**9,966**	**10,642**

Section 2: Stronger Communities/Community Strengths-Active Citizens



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Stronger Communities							
(%) of people from different backgrounds who get on well	79.0 ▲	84.5	82.4		58		95
(%) who feel very or fairly strongly to neighbourhood	84.0 ▲	85.1	86.0		75		95
(%) people not treating others with respect	31.0 ◆	22.1	20.3		4		45
(%) Volunteering: given any unpaid help in the last 12 months	8.0 ◆	12.9	13.4		0		42
(%) Who agree they can influence decisions affecting local area	21.0 ◆	39.2	38.5		16		73

🟧 Tong Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change ▬ Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ▢ Medium Range ▢ Sig Above/Below District ▢
− +

See page 112 of this document for Key.

Section 2.2 identifying prevalent strengths through community engagement and voice (Stronger Communities)

1. Active Citizen-led initiatives within the Ward

There are a large number of area based groups that serve the Ward, who lead on environmental projects (Holme Wood Executive), health initiatives (Healthy Lifestyles) and activities for older people.

Faith Groups are also very active in the Ward e.g. St Christopher's Church, the Salvation Army, Holme Christian Care Centre, and the Gateway Centre.

The Life Centre (formerly the Bierley Community Centre) runs activities for all ages. Holme Wood Library leads on numerous parent/child activities. Surestart BHT is also very active across the Ward with three Childrens' centres based in Holme Wood, Bierley and Sutton.

Tong Village Association/Holme Wood Ethnic Minorities Group, Friends of Bierley Woods and Holme Beck Park Residents' Association are citizen led initiative groups. Newly Formed Bradford Bee Keepers Group is based at Knowles Park.

2. Scope for increasing Active Citizenship within the Ward

Schools and Children centres play a positive role in working with the community. There are a number of primary schools in the Ward, where there are opportunities for citizens to become reading mentors or school governors. The three Childrens' Centres also provide the opportunity for volunteers to become more involved.

Tong Village has a strong village association and has led on community initiatives (speeding/traffic) and more recently on planning and green belt issues. By contrast, the remainder of the Ward is well served by other associations, faith groups and statutory organisations that involve citizens, but the lead is taken by paid workers. These organisations work in close partnership with each other, Bradford South Area Co-ordinator's Office, the Police and Incommunties.

There is scope for improvement through engaging one to one with residents on their issues, connecting them with services; also through specific clean- ups in areas where residents want to see change which could be developed to help sustain the work. Using community events to develop identity of neighbourhoods, engage residents and bring people together to celebrate positives.

3. How many incidents of community tensions were reported to your WOT/ WPT in the past 12 months (January to December 2012)

There was one incident of community tension reported to the Tong WOT in the year up to December 2012.

4. Qualitative assessment of the level of Active Citizenship within the Ward

Active citizenship is quite low overall. The number of community organisations and faith groups working across the Ward remains constant. Ward Councillors work well with these groups and support initiatives and projects within these groups, which benefits the wider community.

4a. Overall assessment of actual levels of Active Citizenship / Social Capital within the Ward (tick)

Lower	Average	Higher
√		

5. Any other information relevant to Stronger Communities

The Ward is now home to many new families, particularly from Eastern Europe, that are being welcomed in to our communities and who are integrating well.

Many residents of all backgrounds have issues with people making a living from collecting scrap metal. This practice is associated with keeping horses on communal land and other nuisance, such as burning off wires for copper.
On the whole, community relations are good across the Ward with distinct areas such as Tong Village, Holme Wood and Bierley.

The Holme Wood and Tong Partnership Board supports the Holme Wood and Tong NDP (Neighbourhood Development Plan) endorsed by the Council on January 20th 2012. The Plan identifies a need to diversify housing tenure in Holme Wood in order to attract owner-occupiers and more economically active residents; this diversification is felt essential if local shops, services and amenities are to be properly supported. In turn, this would create new retail and leisure uses, providing new employment opportunities for the local community. There is the potential to deliver up to 2,700 homes on urban extension sites and infill sites within the neighbourhood. The NDP Delivery Plan January 2013 has now been produced and distributed to stakeholders and it captures how the Council and its partners will contribute to the ongoing delivery of the NDP. There is also the NDP Executive Officer Group looking at the delivery of the NDP.

Section 3: Council and partner resources allocated to the Ward and Area Structures

Description of Resource	Amount of Resource	Type of resource, cash, worker time	How can this Resource be influenced within the Ward	Area Committee decision making	Notes
Council Wardens	1 f/t Council Warden patrolling on foot. 1f/t Council Warden mobile	Worker time	Close liaison with Warden Manager	yes	
Street cleansing staff & mechanical sweepers	4 f/t staff	Worker time	Close liaison with Clean Team Manager	yes	
Housing Officers/Managers	1 full time Manager/ 7 Housing Officers	Worker time	WOTs		
Youth Workers	1 full time contact person. 1 part time worker.	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Teams meetings	yes	
Community Workers	Variable not council funded, attached to independent organisations	Worker time	Partnership working		
NPT Inspector/Police Officers/PCSOs	Variable	Worker time	WOTs		
Fire Service	Variable	Worker time	WOTs		
Community Payback	Variable	Time	WOTs		

Community Development Commission	One commission serving the ward currently based at Holme Wood Activity Centre	Worker time: 1 x full time Volunteers	Ward Officer WOTs	yes	
Church and Community Organisations	Workers Volunteers	Worker time	Ward Officer Team meetings		
Holme Wood Library	Workers Volunteers	Worker Time	Ward Officer		
Surestart BHT 3 Centres: Bierley Sutton Holme Wood	Workers Volunteers	Worker Time	WOTs Ward Officer Council Wardens		
The Life Centre Bierley	1 full time worker Volunteers	Worker time	Ward Officer Council Wardens		
TFD Centre	2 full time staff Volunteers	Worker time	Ward Officer Team meetings		

Section 4: Assets of Community Value

This list should include any physical asset, including buildings and open spaces, that are or potentially could be used by the local community. The Council will have a List of Assets of Community Value that will have a degree of protection if the current owners of the asset would wish to sell. Assets listed here may be used as a shortlist of assets that may later gain the formal status of an Asset of Community Value

Asset of community value	Location	Current use	Potential use
Pit Hill Recreation Ground	Holme Wood	Walkers	
Eversley Drive Play Area	Holme Wood	Local amenity	
Stirling Crescent (the Valley) Play Area	Holme Wood	Local amenity	
St Margaret's Recreation Ground	Holme Wood	Local amenity	
Knowles Park	Holme Wood	Well used public amenity with many recent improvements carried out Football Children's play area Walkers	
TFD Centre/Recreation Ground	Holme Wood	Youth Centre Range of activities for young people	Recreation field could attract more local sports clubs
Dane Hill Park	Holme Wood	Children's Play area	Prevent irresponsible horse owners from tethering horses here
Holme Wood Library	Holme Wood	Lots of activities for young children The Story Garden	
Black Carr Woods	Tong	Walking Cycling Groups from	Potential to attract more local people if some

		both Bradford and Leeds	improvements carried out
Bierley Recreation Ground	Bierley	Local teams play football	
The Life Centre	Berkeley	Activities for all ages	Potential to attract more users if more worker/volunteers to run sessions
Bierley Woods	Bierley	Friends of Bierley Wood The Anglers Well used community facility	
Bierley House Avenue Junior/Toddler Play Areas	Bierley	Local amenity	
Hambleton Avenue MACA (next to The Life Centre)	Bierley	Local amenity	
Eagleston Way Play Area	Bierley	Local amenity	
Hopefield Way Play Area	Bierley	Local amenity	
Coleshill Play Area	Bierley	Local amenity	
Woodlands Country Park	Tong	Walkers	
Woodlands Play Area	Tong	Local amenity	

Section 5: Ward Issues.

This section identifies the main issues within the ward through a mixture of identifying issues through numbers and through engagement and voice. These two forms of information are then interpreted in the third sub-section. There is a sub-section on each of the following themes: 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and Wellbeing, 4. Incomes, Skills and Housing and 5. Children and Young People. The three sub sections for each theme are as follows:

7. **Identifying prevalent issues through numbers** includes key **headline** measures of the **State of the Ward**, in relation to experienced in a ward.

8. **Community engagement and the voice** of communities is an analysis of a wide range of information that includes the following:
   - Tong Ward Forum and Single issue Forums
   - Engagement Events including those specifically for Young People
   - Views expressed to elected Ward Members
   - Views expressed to frontline staff including Council Wardens & Youth Workers

3. **Analysis of statistics and engagement and voice**. This sub section for each theme identifies the ward priority issues and is developed into Ward Priority Issues within the Ward Plan

Sub Section 5.5.1 Cleaner Greener Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Cleaner Greener							
(%) Overall satisfaction with local area	84.4 ▲	84.7	86.8		69		98
(%) Who Perceive rubbish or litter as problem	32.0 ◆	27.8	26.2		2		58
(%) of respondents recycling	48.0 ◆	59.7	60.8		24		83
(%) Streets Failing on Litter	24.4 ◆	13.9	11.8		0		35.9
(%) Streets Failing on Detritus	3.8 ▲	3.2	3.6	↓	0		9.9
(%) Streets Failing on Graffiti	4.4 ◆	4.7	2.2	↓	0		10.7
(%) Streets Failing on Fly Posting	0.0 ●	1.8	1.0	—	0		8.8
(%) Streets Failing on Weeding	1.9 ▲	1.5	1.6	↓	0		5.6
(%) Streets Failing on Over Vegetation	51.3 ●	61.0	59.7	↑	30.6		81.2
(%) Streets Failing on Edging	62.5 ◆	48.6	33.4	↑	1.2		69.4
Fly Tipping Per 1000 Population	13.5 ◆	8.8	6.8	↑	0.6		14.2
Street Cleansing Per 1000 Population	13.2 ◆	9.0	6.6	↓	1.8		13.3

🟧 Tong Ward

Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐

− +

See page 112 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.1.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Cleaner Greener)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Litter across parts of the Ward particularly on main thoroughfares and on open spaces	Everyone on Holme Wood	WOTs /Ward Leadership Team Forums Partnership meetings /Ward Councillors
Fly tipping across the Ward	Everyone that lives in the Ward: Ned Lane, Black Carr Woods, Holme Wood: Wenborough Lane, Heysham Drive, Lands come Avenue Bierley: Shetcliffe Lane, Mill Carr Hill, Euroway Estate, Fallowfield Gardens	WOTs/Ward Leadership Team Forums Partnership meetings/ Ward Councillors
Rubbish and bulky refuse in gardens	Mainly across Holme Wood impacting on all local residents	WOTs Council Wardens
Complaints regarding the lack of grass cutting across the Ward, from the Council Contractors, in parks and public open spaces	Across the whole Ward impacting on all residents preventing children playing in the open spaces and making footpaths difficult to use	WOTs/Ward Leadership Team Forums Partnership meetings/ Ward Councillors

Sub Section 5.1.3 Cleaner Greener Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tell you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

Most people are content to live here, but the perception of streets failing on litter is more than double the district average and almost double the area average.

Perception of streets failing on graffiti is double the average for district

Perception of streets failing on overgrown vegetation is very high and higher than the district average

Perception of streets failing on edging is double the district average and much higher than the area average.

Incidents of fly tipping are double the district average.

Sub Section 5.2.1: Safer Communities identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Va...
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Safer Communities							
All Crime Per 1,000 Population	91.6 ◆	84.9	80.7	⬇	25.7		163.5
Criminal Damage Per 1,000 Population	22.5 ◆	16.3	13.6	↓	3.2		24.7
Serious Acquisitive Crime Per 1,000 Population	18.5 ▲	23.2	20.4	⬇	5.9		32.4
Violence Per 1,000 Population	13.6 ▲	10.4	10.6	↓	2.3		23.3
Robbery Per 1000 Population	0.9 ▲	1.0	1.1	↓	0		4
Burglary Per 1,000 Population	7.6 ▲	9.6	7.9	⬇	2		15.3
Theft of Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	2.2 ▲	2.0	1.9	↑	0.4		4.4
Theft from Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	7.8 ▲	10.5	9.2	↑	2.7		13.9
Anti-Social Behaviour Per 1000 Population	64.9 ◆	43.0	46.0	⬇	15		141
(%) Anti-social behaviour score >11 (NI 17)	14.0 ▲	8.7	10.6		1		36
(%) Satisfied with police and public services in dealing with ASB & crime	45.0 ◆	61.3	61.5		41		81
(%) of people who feel unsafe after dark in neighbourhood	23.0 ▲	21.4	19.1		5		45
(%) perceiving teenagers hanging around problem	28.0 ▲	25.8	25.2		5		45
(%) drugs perceived as very or big problem	23.0 ▲	21.2	20.3		1		46
Road Traffic Collisions Attended Per 10,000 Population	1.6 ◆	1.0	0.9		0		2.3

🟧 Tong Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 112 of this document for Key.

Section 5.2.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Safer Communities)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Anti-social behaviour in hot spot areas to include collectors of scrap metal	Everyone across the Ward	WOTs/Ward Leadership Team Council Wardens/ PACTs Environmental Enforcement
Speeding traffic and rat running through Holme Wood	Residents in Holme Wood particularly on Broadstone Way, Knowles Lane	WOTs/Ward Leadership Team Forums Ward Councillors
There is a high perception of criminal damage in the Ward.	Hot spot areas across the Ward	WOTs/ PACTs Ward Leadership Team Forums
Large number of horses on Council Land and recreation areas; horse manure on footpaths, horse & carts on grass verges/gardens	Mainly in Holme Wood and Bierley	WOTs/ PACTs Ward Leadership Team Forums Council Wardens/Ward Councillors

Section 5.2.3 Safer Communities Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

Criminal damage per 1000 population is much higher than both the district and area average.

Anti-social behaviour per 1000 population is significantly higher than the district and area average.

There is a low satisfaction rate that the Police and public services are dealing with ASB and crime

Road traffic collisions attended per 10,000 population is higher than the district average.

Sub Section 5.3.1: Inequalities in Health and Well Being: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest ...
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Inequalities: Health and Well Being								
All Age, All Cause Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	9.9	◆	6.9	6.1	↑	4.2		9.9
Diabetes Hospital Admissions Per 1000 Population Performance (DSR)	1.1	◆	0.9	0.9	↑	0.1		1.9
Coronary Heart Disease Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	1.6	◆	1.2	1.0	↑	0.4		2.2
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	9.6	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	10.7	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	24.6	▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Who have difficulty finding the money to pay for heating and hot water	36.0	◆	22.4	23.8		6		65
(%) People worried about amount of debt	25.0	◆	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) who agree older people get services and support to live at home	67.0	◆	68.1	73.4		52		95
(%) encouraged and supported to be physically active	72.0	▲	74.1	76.3		61		90
(%) Attendance Allowance Claimants	18.1	▲	16.7	16.4	↓	11.8		27.5
(%) Incapacity Benefit Claimants	7.4	▲	5.3	4.6	↓	1.2		7.4
Births Per 1000 Population	19.4		15.7	16.2	↑	8.7		24.6

🟧 Tong Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ♦
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 112 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.3:2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Inequalities in Health and Well Being)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Inequalities in Health and Well Being		
High number of people worried about paying their bills for heating and water and falling into debt	Households mainly in Holme Wood	Ward Assessment Data Ward Councillors
The take up of physical activity to tackle obesity and associated problems	Across the Ward and all ages	Ward Assessment Data
Poor health such as Coronary Heart Disease and Diabetes	Across the Ward Holme Wood	Ward Assessment Data

Sub Section 5.3.3 Inequalities in Health and Well Being Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

The number of deaths per 1000 population due to all causes is higher than both area and district levels.

People worried about debt and paying their bills is considerably higher than both the district and area average.

The number of deaths per 1000 population due to Coronary Heart Disease is higher than both area and district levels.

The number of births per 1000 population is higher than the both the area and district average.

Sub Section 5.4.1: Incomes, Skills and Housing: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Incomes, Skills and Housing							
(%) Total JSA Claimants	9 ◆	6.3	5.9	↑	1.1		12.1
(%) of Total Working-Age DWP Claimants	29.2 ◆	21.2	18.9	↑	5.2		30.4
(%) JSA Claimants aged 18-24	15.9 ◆	12.1	11.3	↑	2		16.8
(%) NEET	6.0 ▲	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4
(%) Difference in Household Income from District	-16.2 ◆	-2.6	32K		-37.8		48.7
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	33.6 ◆	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	37.6 ◆	43.8	47.5	⬆	34.6		84
(%) People worried about amount of debt	25.0 ◆	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) Long Term Empty Homes	2.3 ▲	2.3	2.9	↓	1.5		8.3
(%) Overcrowded Households	7.4 ▲	6.8	8.0		2		23
Average Number Bids on Homes	13 ▲	16	12	⬆	2		41

🟧 Tong Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average | Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 112 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.4.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Incomes Skills and Housing)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Incomes, Skills and Housing		
Large number of people on benefits	Holme Wood Parts of Bierley	Ward Assessment Data
High unemployment across all ages	Mainly in Holme Wood	Ward Assessment Data
Motivating people to look for jobs and building confidence to look for work	Across the Ward – in particular young people with low or no qualifications	Ward Councillors Partnership meetings
Lack of training opportunities/apprenticeships/ apathy to attend courses	Holme Wood	Ward Councillors Partnership meetings
Increasing educational attainment in (KS4 5+ A* -C English and Maths)	Across the Ward but mainly in Holme Wood	Ward Councillors Partnership meetings Ward Assessment Data

Sub Section 5.4.3 Incomes Skills and Housing Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

The number of people claiming benefits is significantly higher than both the area and district average.
The number of young people claiming JSA is also higher than both the area and district average.
Children receiving free school meals are significantly higher than both the area and district average.
Pupils achieving 5plus A*-C to include English and Maths is still below the area and district average.
High number of people worried about debt.
Average number of bids on homes is lower than the area average.

Sub Section 5.5.1: Children and Young People: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Children & Young People							
Births Per 1000 Population	19.4	15.7	16.2	↑	8.7		24.6
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	9.6 ▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) Population 0-19	29.5 ▲	27.6	28.0	↑	19.9		38.6
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	10.7 ▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	24.6 ▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	33.6 ◆	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
Children Becoming Looked After Per 1000 (0-17) Population	4.7 ◆	2.8	1.9	↑	0		4.7
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	37.6 ◆	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) NEET	6.0 ▲	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4

See page 112 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.5.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Children and Young People)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Children and Young People		
Young people not being physical active – do not feel safe using play areas and parks	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Teams Forums Youth Worker feedback
High rates of obesity particularly for Year 6 pupils	Across the Ward	Youth Worker feedback

Sub Section 5.5.3 Children and Young People Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
Children receiving free school meals is significantly higher than both the area and district average. Pupils achieving at KS4 5+ A*-C to include English and Maths is still below the area and district average. There is high rate of children becoming looked after, per 1000 of population. The number of NEETs is slightly decreasing and is lower than the district average.

Section 6: Sub-Ward Issues

This table identifies key sub-divisions / localities within the Ward. These can be clearly defined villages and housing estates or they may be neighbourhoods that have clear distinctive identities. This table identifies where the issues within these localities deviates substantially from the Ward average. Statistical evidence is not available at the sub-Ward level for many of the indicators used within this Ward Assessment and therefore this is significantly based on the knowledge of attendees at WOTs / WPTs who the Ward Officer will support to make this assessment. (Use LSOA's if data available).

Locality	**Cleaner Greener**	**Safer Communities**	**Incomes, Benefits etc.**	**Health Inequalities**
Tong Village	√	√		
Holme Wood	√	√	√	√
Bierley	√	√	√	
Woodlands	√	√		
Tong Street	√	√		
Sutton Estate	√	√	√	√

Section 7: Making the Assessment of Ward Priority Issues

Analysis of Ward Issues. From third table in each sub section of Section 5	**Community Strength**	**Resources**	**PRIORITIES**
Sub Section 7.1Cleaner Greener			
Perception of streets failing on litter is more than double the district average and almost double the area average.	Active Community Groups reporting issues	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle litter across the Ward
Perception of streets failing on graffiti is double the average for district	Active Groups reporting issues	Council Wardens Clean Team	Remove graffiti in hot spot areas
Incidents of fly tipping are double the district average	Vigilant residents reporting issues Housing Officers	Council Wardens Environmental Enforcement Police, Social Landlords	Reduce fly tipping across the Ward
Perception of streets failing on overgrown vegetation is very high and higher than the district average	Vigilant residents reporting issues Housing Officers	Council Wardens Clean Team Social Landlord	Tackle over hanging vegetation in hot spot areas
Sub Section 7.2 Safer Communities			
Criminal damage per 1000 population is much higher than both the district and area average.	Community Groups/ Housing Officers reporting issues	Police ASB Team Social Housing Tenancy Enforcement Officers	Work in partnership to reduce number of incidents of criminal damage
Anti-social behaviour per 1000 population is significantly higher than the district and area average.	Community Groups/ Housing Officers reporting issues	Police ASB Team Social Housing Tenancy Enforcement	Work in partnership to tackle anti-social behaviour to include collectors of scrap metal

There is a low satisfaction rate that the Police and public services are dealing with ASB and crime	Community Groups/ Housing Officers reporting issues	Police ASB Team Social Housing Tenancy Enforcement Warden Manager	Promote the work of the Police and Public Services
Speeding traffic and rat running through Holme Wood	Residents reporting issues	Police Highways Department	Support traffic measures to reduce rat running and speeding
Large number of horses on Council land and recreation areas; horse manure on footpaths, horses & carts on grass verges/gardens	Community Groups/ Housing Officers/Residents reporting issues	Ward Officer NPT Inspector Highways Environmental Enforcement Social Landlords	Support multi -agency approach to tackle the large number of horses on Council land

Sub Section 7.3 Inequalities: Health & Wellbeing			
The number of deaths per 1000 population due to all causes is higher than both area and district levels.	Community Centres GP Surgeries	Bradford South Health Team Families First	Work in partnership to reduce health inequalities
The number of deaths per 1000 population due to Coronary Heart Disease is higher than both area and district levels.	Community Centres GP Surgeries	Bradford South Health Team	Promote and support healthy eating initiatives and physical activities for residents
People worried about debt and paying their bills is considerably higher than both the district and area average	Local Church Groups Community organisations	Community Centres Local church groups	Promote Debt Advice Centres and Credit Union
Low number of people taking up physical activity to tackle obesity and associated problems	Community Groups Youth Service Local Churches	Bradford South Health Team Community Centres	Promote physical activities for residents of all ages

Sub Section 7.4 Incomes, Skills and Housing			
The number of people claiming benefits is significantly higher than both the area and district average.	Local Church Groups Community organisations	Community Centres Local church groups Children’s Centres Local Schools Social Landlords	Work in partnership to reduce number of people claiming benefits
High levels of unemployment across all age groups	Local Church Groups Community organisations	Community Centres Local church groups Local employers	Promote advice, training and employment opportunities
High number of people worried about debt	Local Church Groups Community Centres	Community Organisations Children’s Centres	Promote benefit and debt advice services

:

Sub Section 7.5 Children and Young People			
Children receiving free school meals are significantly higher than both the area and district average.	Education Welfare	Local Schools Children's Services Social Services	Work in partnership to tackle child poverty
Pupils achieving 5 plus A*-C to include English and Maths is still below the area and district average.	Community Workers Children's Centres	Local Schools Youth Service	Work in partnership to Increase educational attainment
High rates of obesity particularly for year 6 pupils	Community Workers Children's Centres	Local Schools Youth service Voluntary Organisations	Promote facilities and physical activities for young people
Sub Section 7.6 Stronger Communities			
People who feel they can influence decision making is low	Local Community Groups and organisations that people can join	Community Centres Schools Childrens' Centres	Engage with local people to communicate with the Council
The number of people volunteering is very low	Local Community Groups/Churches/organisations that people can join	Community Centres Schools Youth Centres	Encourage residents to take up volunteering opportunities
People not treating others with respect is high	Across all sectors of the community and organisations	The high number of paid workers and organisations working in the Ward	Encourage and support activities to increase community cohesion

If you require further details about the data used in the above tables these can be found on the Observatory Website. See link: http://www.westyorkshireobservatory.org/dataviews/view?viewId=219

DRAFT Tong Ward Plan 2013–14

Code	PRIORITY BROAD ACTIONS	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
1. Cleaner Greener				
1.1	Tackle litter across the Ward	• Identify hotspots • Deployment of Clean Team to hotspot areas supported by mechanical sweepers • Enforcement – Council Wardens patrolling the area and issuing notices	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager
1.2	Remove graffiti in hot spot areas	• Identify Hotspots • Deploy Clean Teams • Council Wardens patrolling	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Cleansing Manager Warden Manager
1.3	Reduce fly tipping across the Ward	• Identify hotspots • Education – Provide information to residents on how to report fly tipping • Promote Household Waste sites • Promote charities who operate furniture recycling schemes • Deployment of Clean Team to remove rubbish supported by mechanical sweepers • Enforcement – Council Wardens patrolling and reporting to Environmental Enforcement to Identify landowners to take further action	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager Environmental Enforcement Officer
1.4	Tackle over hanging vegetation in hot spot areas	• Identify areas • Council Wardens Patrolling • Deploy Clean Teams to hotspot areas • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager

2. Safer Communities				
2.1	Work in partnership to reduce number of incidents of criminal damage	• Regular communication and updates to residents on anti-social behaviour and crime issues • Regular updates from Police on hotspots at the Ward Officer Team Meetings • Promote the Neighbourhood Policing Team Newsletter to residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector NPT 3 Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator
2.2	Work in partnership to tackle anti-social behaviour to include collectors of scrap metal	• Regular communication and updates to residents on anti-social behaviour and crime issues • Regular updates from Police on hotspots at the Ward Officer Team Meetings • Promote the Neighbourhood Policing Team Newsletter to residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector NPT 3 Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator Environmental Enforcement
2.3	Promote the work of the Police and Public Services to increase public confidence	• Regular communication and updates to residents on anti-social behaviour and Crimes issues • Regular updates from Police on hotspots at the Ward Officer Team Meetings • Promote the Neighbourhood Policing Team Newsletter to residents • Advertise successes through partnerships and local newsletters	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Neighbourhood Police Inspector NPT Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator

2.4	Support traffic measures to reduce rat running and speeding	• Identify hotspots • Days of Action with the Police • Council Wardens patrolling • Traffic and Highways designing alternatives in hotspot areas	Across the Ward Holme Wood	Ward Officer Police Warden Manager Traffic/Highways
2.5	Tackle large number of horses on Council land and recreation areas; horse manure on footpaths, horse & carts on grass verges/ pavements	• Identify hotspots • Support multi-agency partnership to tackle this issue • Promote the reporting of horse related issues • Keep residents informed of actions taken	Across the Ward	Warden Manager Police Inspector Environmental Enforcement Social Landlords
3. Inequalities: Health and Well being				
3.1	Work in partnership to reduce health inequalities	• Mapping exercise to identify on-going projects • Promote activities being delivered • Promote outdoor exercise and recreation opportunities via schools • Promote healthy eating programmes • Promote GP surgeries • Refer to HIA (Health Impact Assessment by Public Health) March 2012	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Primary Schools Bradford South Health and Wellbeing Hub Families First Holme Wood & Tong NDP
3.2	Promote and support healthy eating initiatives and physical activities for all residents	• Mapping exercise to identify projects • Promote activities being delivered and support new projects • Liaise with Older People's Groups • Refer to HIA (Health Impact Assessment by Public Health) March 2012 • Refer to the Council's Food Strategy	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Community Organisations

3.3	Promote Debt Advice Centres and Credit Union	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Promote Credit Union • Use local community centres for welfare benefits and debt advice • Warn residents about 'Loan Sharks'	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager
4. Incomes Skills & Housing				
4.1	Work in partnership to reduce number of people claiming benefits	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Promote training opportunities • Establish links with organisations delivering appropriate training • Promote job fairs to local companies and residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Community Organisations Council Wardens Regeneration Department Holme Wood & Tong NDP Families First
4.2	Promote advice, training and employment opportunities	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Establish links with organisations delivering appropriate training. • Promote training opportunities • Promote job fairs to local companies and residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Community Workers Regeneration Department Holme Wood & Tong NDP
4.3	Promote benefit and debt advice services	• Signpost people to organisations and support networks • Promote Credit Union • Use local community centres for welfare benefits and debt advice • Warn residents about illegal money lending and 'Loan Sharks'	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Community Centres Community Organisations

5.Children and Young people				
5.1	Work in partnership to tackle child poverty	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Establish links with organisations delivering appropriate advice	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Community Organisations Council Wardens Childrens' Centres Families First
5.2	Work in partnership to Increase educational attainment /school attendance	• Facilitate meetings • Through Ward Leadership Team meetings and Ward Officer Team meetings • Develop a multi-agency approach to tackle this issue	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Primary Schools Tong High School Youth Service Families First
5.3	Promote facilities and physical activities for young people	• Mapping exercise to identify on-going projects • Promote activities being delivered • Promote outdoor exercise and recreation opportunities via schools • Promote healthy eating programmes including cook and eat session	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Primary Schools Bradford South Health Hub Childrens' Centres
6. Stronger Communities				
6.1	Engage with local people to communicate with the Council	• Leaflet areas where events are being held • Door-to-door to engage with local community • Use luncheon clubs and coffee mornings	Across the Ward	Council Wardens Police

6.2	Encourage residents to take up volunteering opportunities	• Undertake mapping exercise to identify projects and groups that support volunteering • Support and build capacity where required • Support projects in developing volunteers • Promote projects and volunteering • opportunities for residents in Tong	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Community Development Worker CVS C-NET
6.3	Encourage and support activities to increase community cohesion	• Use Holme Wood & Tong Partnership as a means of sharing information about voluntary and statutory service provision within the Ward • Respond to requests for support to new groups in the local area and ensure they are included in the Holme Wood and Tong NDP	Across the Ward	Ward Officer NPT Inspector Voluntary Organisations Local Churches Holme Wood and Tong Partnership

Draft Wibsey Ward Assessment and Ward Plan 2013-14


Wibsey Ward
Haycliffe Hill
West Bowling
Marshfields
Brownroyd Hill
Wibsey
Bankfoot
Slack Side
Truncliffe
Oldsal
Wibsey Slack
South Bradford Golf Course

Ward Members	Cllr Ralph Berry	Cllr David Green	Cllr Lynne Smith
Ward Officer	Arshad Mahmood	**Date completed**	March 2013

Introduction: What is the Ward Assessment for?

The Ward Assessment is designed to inform Ward working, including action planning and decision making. The document summarises the prevalent strengths and issues within the Ward. This evidence base produced in the assessment will shape the identification of Ward priorities and actions to take to address these within the annual **Ward Plan.** The Council Ward Officers plays a critical role in digesting this information and summarising findings into the most pertinent issues. In order to assist Ward Officers in capturing and analysing findings this document has been organised under the following seven sections:

Section: 1 Ward Demography: Who lives in the Ward

Section: 2 Community Strengths/ Stronger Communities: Active Citizenship within the Ward.
This section highlights aspects of Ward strengths; including volunteering, voluntary groups etc.

Section: 3 Resources.
This section relates to the resources that Area Committees will be able to influence either directly or indirectly.

Section: 4 New section called Assets of Community Value
This section outlines physical assets (buildings and public spaces) that are assets within the Ward

Section: 5 Ward Issues.
This section summarises the main issues identified by 1) numbers, 2) engagement and voice. There are sub-sections for the themes 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and wellbeing inequalities 4. Incomes, employment and housing and 5. Children and young people. In each sub section there is a table that is an analysis of the main issues for each theme.

Section: 6 Sub Ward Issues
This section highlights areas within smaller areas of the Ward where there are more specific issues.

Section: 7 Making Assessment Ward Priority Issues

Each Indicator is presented as a Ward Assessment table that shows each indicator with a Ward, District and Area

Committee comparator.

Ward Profile Indicator Key



Bradford District Neighbourhood Ward Assessment Performance Dashboard

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford Demographic								
(%) Population 0-19	28.0	▲	31.6	28.0	↑	19.9	◆	38.6

5. The above table also shows five columns: **Ward, Area Committee and District** measure for the selected ward indicator and a **trend** column that shows an arrow symbol to indicate if the ward measure has either increased or decreased from the previous year. The full table with all ward indicators can be accessed via Bradford observatory. The number key for the above Ward profile is as follows:

1 **Theme Name**

2 **Indicator** = the indicator name

3 **Ward** = the indicator measure for the your selected ward

4 **Ward Status Symbol** this icon symbol acts as a quick summary guide in indentifying if the ward measure is significantly above/below or centres around the district average.

Green Circle ● denotes either significantly above or below the district average

Amber Triangle ▲ shows the indicator centres around the district average

Red Diamond ▼indicates either significantly above or lower then the district average

5 **Area** is the **Area Committee** Area average

6 **District** is the figure for Bradford District

7 The **Trend** column relates to change through time and enables an analysis of change between two points in time. This is denoted with either a small up or down arrow or a large ones where the increase or decrease has been significant

8 Indicates lowest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

9 Lowest value label

10 **Red background shading** indicates the top/bottom range for the ward indicator

11 **Orange horizontal bar** is the ward value that matches that in the Ward Column **(2)**

12 **Red Verticle** line shows the district average |
13 **Amber background shading** indicates where the ward value would need to fall for it to within the average of the data range
14 **Blue triangle** shows Area Committee average ▼
15 **Green background shade** indicates the range that is in the top/ bottom or average of the data range
16 Indicates highest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

Section 1: Demography: Who lives in the Ward



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Demographic								
(%) Population 0-4	7.2	▲	7.9	7.9		4...		1...
(%) Population 5-9	6.7	▲	7.0	7.2		4...		1...
(%) Population 10-14	6.6	▲	6.8	6.9		4...		9...
(%) Population 15-19	6.1	▲	6.6	6.8		5...		1...
(%) Population 20-24	6.2	▲	6.4	6.8		3...		1...
(%) Population 25-59	46.5	▲	47.1	46.0		4...		5...
(%) Population 60-69	9.3	▲	8.9	8.7		3...		1...
(%) Population 70-79	6.9	▲	5.8	5.9		2...		1...
(%) Population 80+	4.4	▲	3.6	3.8		1...		9...
(%) of Total East and Central Europeans	0.1	●	13.8	3.3	⬇	0...		1...

🟧 Wibsey Ward

Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ▌ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

— +



Age Band	Males	Females
0–4	556	506
5–9	509	471
10–14	471	497
15–19	477	423
20–24	440	473
25–29	468	531
30–34	429	448
35–39	453	484
40–44	532	601
45–49	503	539
50–54	498	519
55–59	426	393
60–64	403	398
65–69	274	290
70–74	240	317
75–79	183	270
80–84	141	258
85–89	68	115
90+	25	42
Total	**7,096**	**7,575**

Section 2: Stronger Communities/Community Strengths-Active Citizens



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Stronger Communities							
(%) of people from different backgrounds who get on well	87.0 ▲	84.5	82.4		58		95
(%) who feel very or fairly strongly to neighbourhood	93.0 ●	85.1	86.0		75		95
(%) people not treating others with respect	10.0 ●	22.1	20.3		4		45
(%) Volunteering: given any unpaid help in the last 12 months	8.0 ◆	12.9	13.4		0		42
(%) Who agree they can influence decisions affecting local area	30.0 ◆	39.2	38.5		16		73

🟧 Wibsey Ward

Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District ▢ Medium Range ▢ Sig Above/Below District ▢

− +

See page 147 of this document for Key.

Section 2.2 identifying prevalent strengths through community engagement and voice (Stronger Communities)

1. Active Citizen-led initiatives within the Ward

Wibsey Urban Village Partnership, Faxfleet Residents Association, Bankfoot Partnership, Action For The Odsal Community (AFTOC), Friends of Wibsey Park, Wibsey History Group, Wibsey Warriors Ruby Club, Odsal Cadets Centre, Netherlands Avenue Scout Hut, Wibsey Community Website, Wibsey Community First Panel, Salvation Army, Over 60’s Club.

2. Scope for increasing Active Citizenship within the Ward

As well as the above citizen led groups, where local people have a chance to volunteer and take part it activities, there are also other opportunities for citizens to be active in the Wibsey Ward, these include:

a) Bradford Community Environment Project BCEP – opportunities for gardening volunteers on this project which is based in Wibsey Park and also opportunity for residents to join growing clubs at the Wibsey Park Community Garden.

b) Live at Home Scheme – based on Wibsey High Street, opportunity for volunteer work by joining their Befriending Scheme.

c) There are a number of Primary Schools in the Ward; there are opportunities for citizens to become reading mentors and governors at these schools.

3. How many incidents of community tensions were reported to your WOT/ WPT in the past 12 months (January to December 2012)

None

4. Qualitative assessment of the level of Active Citizenship within the Ward

The Wibsey Ward has a number strong community groups working well together with communities within the Ward. Ward Councillors work well with these groups and support positive initiatives and projects to ensure collaboration between all the communities in Wibsey i.e. trying to create better networks between the groups and areas through Ward Budgets. This has led to more opportunities for active citizenship.

4a. Overall assessment of actual levels of Active Citizenship / Social Capital within the Ward (tick)

Lower	Average	Higher
	✓	

5. Any other information relevant to Stronger Communities

A number of community groups are working together and supporting each other. As the population of Wibsey Ward shows there are a high percentage of older people in the Ward. These people are of a great value to Wibsey, they sustain the community work by joining the local groups or by participating in activities. The figures show that more people feel that they would want to join in citizen activities. The future challenge will be to pull more people into active citizenship.

Section 3: Council and partner resources allocated to the Ward and Area Structures

Description of Resource	Amount of Resource	Type of resource, cash, worker time	How can this Resource be influenced within the Ward	Area Committee decision making	Notes
Council Wardens	2 x full time	Worker time	Close liaison with Warden Manager	Yes	
Street cleansing staff & mechanical sweepers	3 x full time	Worker time	Close liaison with Clean Team Manager	Yes	
Housing Officers/Managers	2	Worker time	Ward Officer Team and Community Partnerships	No	
Youth Workers	2	Worker time	Ward Officer Team	Yes	
Community Development Worker	1	Worker time	Ward Officer Team Partnership working	Yes	
NPT Police Officers/Police Community Support Officers	Variable, working shifts	Worker time	Ward Officer Team	No	
Fire Service	variable	Worker time	Ward Officer Team	No	
Community Payback	variable	Time	Ward Officer Team	No	
Wibsey Library	Space for Community meetings/Police contact point	Building	Ward Officer Team and partnership working	Yes	

Section 4: Assets of Community Value

This list should include any physical asset, including buildings and open spaces, that are or potentially could be used by the local community. The Council will have a List of Assets of Community Value that will have a degree of protection if the current owners of the asset would wish to sell. Assets listed here may be used as a shortlist of assets that may later gain the formal status of an Asset of Community Value

Asset of community value	Location	Current use	Potential use
Wisbey Park	Beacon Road	Recreation - Bowling - Skate Park - Tennis Courts - Football pitch - Nature Trail - Play area	
Odsal POD	Smith Avenue	Hub for young people of the Wibsey Area –and Odsal estate	
Faxfleet allotment	Faxfleet Bankfoot	- Allotment - Gardening and engagement	
Wibsey Library	North Road	Books Contact Point for Police	

Section 5: Ward Issues

This section identifies the main issues within the ward through a mixture of identifying issues through numbers and through engagement and voice. These two forms of information are then interpreted in the third sub-section. There is a sub-section on each of the following themes:

1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and Wellbeing, 4. Incomes, Skills and Housing and 5. Children and Young People. The three sub sections for each theme are as follows:

9. **Identifying prevalent issues through numbers** includes key **headline** measures of the **State of the Ward**, in relation to experienced in a ward.

10. **Community engagement and the voice** of communities is an analysis of a wide range of information that includes the following:
    - Neighbourhood Forums and other engagement events including with young people
    - Views expressed to elected Ward Members
    - Key frontline staff including Council Wardens & Youth Workers
    - Parish, Town and Community Councils

3. **Analysis of statistics and engagement and voice**. This sub section for each theme identifies the ward priority issues and is developed into Ward Priority Issues within the Ward Plan

Sub Section 5.1.1 Cleaner Greener identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Cleaner Greener								
(%) Overall satisfaction with local area	86.7	▲	84.7	86.8		69		98
(%) Who Perceive rubbish or litter as problem	15.0	●	27.8	26.2		2		58
(%) of respondents recycling	78.0	●	59.7	60.8		24		83
(%) Streets Failing on Litter	12.5	▲	13.9	11.8		0		35.9
(%) Streets Failing on Detritus	1.8	▲	3.2	3.6	↓	0		9.9
(%) Streets Failing on Graffiti	4.8	◆	4.7	2.2	↓	0		10.7
(%) Streets Failing on Fly Posting	1.2	▲	1.8	1.0	↓	0		8.8
(%) Streets Failing on Weeding	1.2	▲	1.5	1.6		0		5.6
(%) Streets Failing on Over Vegetation	56.0	▲	61.0	59.7	↑	30.6		81.2
(%) Streets Failing on Edging	53.6	◆	48.6	33.4	↑	1.2		69.4
Fly Tipping Per 1000 Population	10.8	◆	8.8	6.8		0.6		14.2
Street Cleansing Per 1000 Population	10.1	◆	9.0	6.6	↑	1.8		13.3

▨ Wibsey Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
− +

See page 147 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.1.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Cleaner Greener)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Litter and fly tipping in hot spot areas	Hotspot – Faxfleet, High Street, Wibsey Park, litter outside schools	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens
Improvements to Wibsey Park required, particularly with regard to the nature trail and play equipment	Wibsey Park	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens
Dog fouling problems reported	Hot spots – Wibsey Park, Brentwood Gardens	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens

Sub Section 5.1.3 Cleaner Greener Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

Wibsey Ward has a high rating on satisfaction about the cleaner greener issues both form the data and engagement with local residents. Respondents who perceive rubbish and litter as a problem is nearly half the level of the district and area levels. However, there are small areas where there is a concentration of fly-tipping and litter. Streets failing on graffiti and edging are two concerns, when compared to the district level, but the trend on graffiti is on the decrease. Also street cleansing and fly tipping are issues also highlighted. Trend on street cleansing is on the increase. The Ward also has a significant level of people recycling.

Key headline issues
Address issues of graffiti, edging and street cleansing
Hot spot areas for fly-tipping on Bankfoot
Improvements to Wibsey Park required, particularly with regard to the nature trail and play equipment.
Dog fouling is an issue across the Ward

Sub Section 5.2.1: Safer Communities identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Safer Communities

Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest V...
All Crime Per 1,000 Population	95.0 ◆	84.9	80.7	↑	25.7		163.5
Criminal Damage Per 1,000 Population	14.5 ▲	16.3	13.6	↓	3.2		24.7
Serious Acquisitive Crime Per 1,000 Population	27.8 ◆	23.2	20.4	↓	5.9		32.4
Violence Per 1,000 Population	10.1 ▲	10.4	10.6	↓	2.3		23.3
Robbery Per 1000 Population	2.6 ◆	1.0	1.1	⬆	0		4
Burglary Per 1,000 Population	11.3 ▲	9.6	7.9	⬇	2		15.3
Theft of Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	2.5 ▲	2.0	1.9	↑	0.4		4.4
Theft from Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	11.5 ▲	10.5	9.2	↓	2.7		13.9
Anti-Social Behaviour Per 1000 Population	34.2 ●	43.0	46.0	⬇	15		141
(%) Anti-social behaviour score >11 (NI 17)	5.0 ●	8.7	10.6		1		36
(%) Satisfied with police and public services in dealing with ASB & crime	49.0 ◆	61.3	61.5		41		81
(%) of people who feel unsafe after dark in neighbourhood	25.0 ◆	21.4	19.1		5		45
(%) perceiving teenagers hanging around problem	17.0 ●	25.8	25.2		5		45
(%) drugs perceived as very or big problem	12.0 ●	21.2	20.3		1		46
Road Traffic Collisions Attended Per 10,000 Population	1.4 ◆	1.0	0.9	—	0		2.3

🟧 Wibsey Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
− +

See page 147 of this document for Key.

Section 5.2.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Safer Communities)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
ASB reported in hot spot areas	Bankfoot area and Wibsey High Street	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens
Complaints about parking issues on the High Street and speeding on Netherland Avenue by local residents	Road safety issue on Netherlands Avenue and Wibsey High Street.	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens
Young people hanging round in groups, caused concern to local people	Outside Co-op on Beacon Road/Wibsey Park.	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens

Section 5.2.3 Safer Communities Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
Crime including robbery and serious acquisitive crime in the Ward is higher than both area and district levels. High numbers of people are feeling unsafe after dark and there is a low rate of satisfaction on how public services are dealing with local ASB and crime. There have been positives from the Councils survey, show that respondents who perceived drugs as a big problem in that is significantly lower than the district and area levels, as in teenagers hanging round seen as a problem. Also the ASB score and ASB per 1000 population is significantly lower than both district and area levels. **Key headline issues** Low rate of satisfaction on how public services are dealing with local ASB and Crime. Local people concerned about ASB in Wibsey High Street area. Parking issues on the High Street and speeding on Netherland Avenue by local residents Domestic burglaries are significantly higher than the district average. Local residents worried about burglaries and theft from cars

Sub Section 5.3.1: Inequalities in Health and Well Being: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Inequalities: Health and Well Being

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest V...
All Age, All Cause Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	5.3	●	6.9	6.1	↑	4.2		9.9
Diabetes Hospital Admissions Per 1000 Population Performance (DSR)	1.1	◆	0.9	0.9	↑	0.1		1.9
Coronary Heart Disease Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	1.2	◆	1.2	1.0	↑	0.4		2.2
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	10.6	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	10.1	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	21.0	▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Who have difficulty finding the money to pay for heating and hot water	11.0	●	22.4	23.8		6		65
(%) People worried about amount of debt	10.0	●	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) who agree older people get services and support to live at home	86.0	●	68.1	73.4		52		95
(%) encouraged and supported to be physically active	84.0	●	74.1	76.3		61		90
(%) Attendance Allowance Claimants	15.9	▲	16.7	16.4		11.8		27.5
(%) Incapacity Benefit Claimants	4.6	▲	5.3	4.6	↓	1.2		7.4
Births Per 1000 Population	13.0		15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6

🟧 Wibsey Ward

Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

− +

See page 147 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.3.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement (Inequalities in Health and Well Being)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Inequalities in Health and Well Being		
Older people not being engaged people feeling isolated	Across the Ward	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs and by Meeting with older people and Luncheon Clubs and Coffee mornings
More activities for older people required	Across the Ward	Meeting with older people and Luncheon Clubs and Coffee mornings
More healthy eating initiatives and Physical activities required for local people	Across the Ward	Figures from the Ward Assessment

Sub Section 5.3.3 Inequalities in Health and Well Being Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
There are a high number of people with Coronary Heart Disease and Diabetes in the Ward than the area and the district. There are a number of positives overall mortality rates are significantly lower than both area and district levels. Older people feel that they get services and support to live at home. Also people feel they are encouraged and supported to be physically active. Pupils in the ward have a higher obesity rate and older people are having health issues. **Key headline issues** Support healthier life styles Older people not being engaged people feeling isolated

Sub Section 5.4.1: Incomes, Skills and Housing: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Incomes, Skills and Housing

Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
(%) Total JSA Claimants	5 ▲	6.3	5.9		1.1		12.1
(%) of Total Working-Age DWP Claimants	19.3 ▲	21.2	18.9	↑	5.2		30.4
(%) JSA Claimants aged 18-24	10.7 ▲	12.1	11.3	↑	2		16.8
(%) NEET	4.8 ▲	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4
(%) Difference in Household Income from District	-4.5 ▲	-2.6	32K		-37.8		48.7
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	19.7 ▲	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	55.0 ●	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) People worried about amount of debt	10.0 ●	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) Long Term Empty Homes	2.3 ▲	2.3	2.9	↑	1.5		8.3
(%) Overcrowded Households	5.9 ▲	6.8	8.0		2		23
Average Number Bids on Homes	34 ◆	16	12	↑	2		41

■ Wibsey Ward

Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average | Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐

− +

See page 147 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.4.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Incomes Skills and Housing)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Incomes, Skills and Housing		
Concerns raised about peoples debt levels and the increase in number of loan sharks	In Bankfoot and Odsal areas	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens
High number of young people looking for employment.	Across the Ward	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs Council Wardens

Sub Section 5.4.3 Incomes Skills and Housing Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tell you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
There is a high demand for homes in Wibsey Ward. Pupils attaining KS4 5+ A*-C in English and Maths is higher than the area and district averages. **Key headline issues:** Residents need support and information to get training and jobs In sub-areas of the Ward i.e. Odsal and Bankfoot people are concerned about debt and loan sharks

Sub Section 5.5.1: Children and Young People: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Children & Young People							
Births Per 1000 Population	13.0	15.7	16.2	↓	8.7		24.6
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	10.6 ▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) Population 0-19	26.0 ▲	27.6	28.0	↑	19.9		38.6
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	10.1 ▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	21.0 ▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	19.7 ▲	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
Children Becoming Looked After Per 1000 (0-17) Population	2.3 ▲	2.8	1.9	↑	0		4.7
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	55.0 ●	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) NEET	4.8 ▲	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4

🟧 Wibsey Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ▢ Medium Range ▢ Sig Above/Below District ▢

See page 147 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.5.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Children and Young People)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Neighbourhood Forum, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Children and Young People		
Young people not being physical active – do not feel safe using play areas and parks. Other interests computer games taking priority	Issue around Wibsey Park	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs and Street Pact events.
Young people find it difficult to find out about jobs. They need motivating and confidence building to look for work.	Young people who live in the Odsal and Bankfoot areas	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs and Street Pact events.
Young people hanging round in groups – perception of ASB	Outside Co-op on Beacon Road/Wibsey Park.	Neighbourhood Forums, WOTs and Street Pact events.

Sub Section 5.5.3 Children and Young People Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
Young people not in education, employment or training (NEETs) are higher than the area average. Young people want support in find employment and training opportunities. Pupils attaining KS4 5+ A*-C in English and Maths is higher than the area and district averages. There are pockets of the Ward where there are problems of ASB from young people hanging round in groups. **Key headline issues:** Improve KS4 5+ A-C Grades Young people want support in find employment and training opportunities Young people hanging round in groups seen as ASB problem

Section 6: Sub-Ward Issues

This table identifies key sub-divisions / localities within the Ward. These can be clearly defined villages and housing estates or they may be neighbourhoods that have clear distinctive identities. This table identifies where the issues within these localities deviates substantially from the Ward average. Statistical evidence is not available at the sub-Ward level for many of the indicators used within this Ward Assessment and therefore this is significantly based on the knowledge of attendees at WOTs / WPTs who the Ward Officer will support to make this assessment. (Use LSOA's if data available).

Locality	**Cleaner Greener**	**Safer Communities**	**Incomes, Benefits etc**	**Health Inequalities**
Wibsey High St		✓		✓
Bankfoot	✓			
Netherlands Ave		✓		
Wibsey Park	✓	✓		
Odsal	✓		✓	

Section 7: Making the Assessment of Ward Priority Issues

Analysis of Ward Issues. From third table in each sub section of Section 5	**Community Strength**	**Resources**	**PRIORITIES**
Sub Section 7.1Cleaner Greener			
Address issues of graffiti, edging and street cleansing	Active volunteers	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle graffiti, edging and street cleaning issues
Dog fouling is an issue across the Ward	Responsible dog owners report issues	Council Wardens, Clean Team	Tackle problems of dog fouling
Hot spot areas for fly-tipping	Local People	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle fly-tipping
Improvements to Wibsey Park required, particularly with regard to the nature trail and play equipment	Friends of Wibsey Park	Parks and Landscapes, Bradford	Improve facilities within Wibsey Park
Sub Section 7.2 Safer Communities			
Low rate of satisfaction on how public services are dealing with local ASB and Crime. Local people concerned about ASB in Wibsey High Street area.	Vigilant residents reporting issues	Neighbourhood Policing Team, Council Wardens	Publish resident perception rates of action being taken to tackle crime and ASB
Large volume of complaints about parking issues by local residents.	Residents lobbying for residents only parking	Neighbourhood Policing Team, Council Wardens	Tackle parking issues on the High Street and speeding on Netherland Avenue
Domestic burglaries are significantly higher than the district average. Local residents worried about burglaries and theft from cars.	Community Neighbourhood Watch	Neighbourhood Policing Team Wardens	Tackle the issue of domestic burglaries and theft from vehicles
Issue of Scrap Metal dealing and breaking up of cars resulting in fly-tipping	Local community groups	Neighbourhood Policing Team, Wardens	Reduce levels of fly tipping and anti-social behaviour from illegal scarp metal dealers

Sub Section 7.3 Inequalities: Health & Wellbeing			
Residents feel they are not supported or encouraged to be physically active.	Community Centres, Salvation Army	Live at Home Scheme, Wibsey Park, Community Centres, Richard Dunns Sports Centre including Swimming pool	Support healthier life styles
Older people feel vulnerable and isolated	Community Centres, Salvation Army	Live at Home Scheme	Reduce social isolation for older people
Sub Section 7.4 Incomes, employment and housing			
Make people aware of Loan Sharks and promote Credit Union.	Local Community groups and organisations	The Cube Community Centre, The Grid.	Promote welfare benefits and debt advice
Support employment skills training in the Wibsey Ward	Local Community groups and organisations	The Cube Community Centre, The Grid.	Support residents in improving their employability skills

Sub Section 7.5 Children and Young People			
Young people not in Education, Employment or Training (NEETs) are higher than the district average. Young people want support in finding employment and training opportunities.	Local Volunteers and Community Workers	Community Centres	Support young people in looking for training and employment opportunities
Out reach work with young people is need and support them to get them involved with activities available in the area.	Local Volunteers and Community Workers	Richard Dunns Sports Centre, Bradford Bulls Café, Cube Community Centre, Odsal POD, Netherlands Scouts.	Sign post young people to activities in the area
Issues of anti-social behaviour around the area of Wibsey High Street and Wibsey Park .Young people hanging round in groups – perception of ASB	NPT 4 Council Wardens	NPT- South Community Centre, Odsal POD	Tackle anti-social behaviour in Wibsey Park, Co-op on Beacon Road and High Street
Sub Section 7.6 Stronger Communities			
Low numbers of people volunteering in the Ward (given up unpaid time)	Local community groups and organisations that people can join.	Community Centres	Engage with local people. Through Neighbourhood Forums – Street Pacts – days of action

If you require further details about the data used in the above tables these can be found on the Observatory Website. See link: http://www.westyorkshireobservatory.org/dataviews/view?viewId=219

DRAFT Wibsey Ward Plan 2013–14

Code	Priority Broad Actions	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
1.0 Cleaner Greener				
1.1	Tackle graffiti, edging and street cleaning issues	• Identify hotspots • Educate – Promote Junior Warden Scheme • Enforcement – Council Wardens Patrolling • Deploy Clean Teams and mechanical sweepers to hotspot areas • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward - Schools - High Street - Wibsey Park	Ward Officer, Warden Manager, Cleansing Manager
1.2	Tackle problems of dog fouling	• Identify hotspots • Educate – Days of Action • Enforcement – Council Wardens Patrolling • Deploy Clean Team to clear the area • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Warden Manager, Cleansing Manager, Environmental Enforcement
1.3	Tackle fly-tipping	• Educate - Provide information to residents how to report fly-tipping • Develop a multi-agency partnership to tackle scarp metal dealers fly-tipping • Promote the reporting of fly-tipping from scarp metal dealers • Clear fly-tipping once reported • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward Bankfoot Odsal	Ward Officer, Environment Enforcement, Warden Manager, Cleansing Manager
1.4	Improve facilities within Wibsey Park	• Develop a consultation process between residents, Friends of Wibsey Park and Parks and Landscape to identify areas for improvement • Undertake improvements	Friends of Wibsey Park	Ward Officer, Parks & Landscape Service

Code	Priority Broad Actions	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
2.0 Safer Communities				
2.1	Tackle the issue of domestic burglaries and theft from vehicles	• Regularly update hotspot areas • Promote Neighbourhood Watches • Updates from Police on hotspots at the Ward Officer Team meetings • Education – Presentations at Neighbourhood Forums, Coffee Mornings and Luncheon Clubs	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, NPT–South, Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinator
2.2	Tackle incidents of illegal parking and improve road safety	• Take action on identified hotspot areas • Monitor and sustain enforcement on hotspot areas • Promote road safety - Work with Schools • Reduce rat running/illegal parking – Days of action • Enforce – Council Wardens & Police • Education – through Neighbourhood Forums and community events	Across the Ward - Schools - Netherlands Avenue - High Street - Beacon Road - Moore Avenue	Road Safety, Transport and Highways, Ward Officer, Council Warden
2.3	Improve resident perception rates of action being taken to tackle crime and ASB	• Regular communication and updates to residents on ASB and Crimes issues • Regular updates from Police on hotspots at the Ward Officer Team meetings Promote the Neighbourhood Policing Team (NPT) Newsletter • Develop promotion activities through the Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, NPT – South, Tenancy Enforcement Officers, ASBO Officers, Council Wardens

2.4	Reduce levels of fly tipping and anti-social behaviour from illegal scrap metal dealers	• Work with partners to take joint action against perpetrators • Develop protocols for quick response to illegal activity • Keep local groups updated regularly with developments and issues	Bankfoot, Faxfleet	Ward Officer, NPT – South, Enforcement Officers, ASBO Officers, Council Wardens
Code	**Priority Broad Actions**	**Specific Ward Actions (summary)**	**Target Areas/ Target Groups**	**Who responsible**
3.0 Health and Wellbeing Inequalities				
3.1	Support healthier life styles	• Promote Healthier eating initiatives • Develop and support new projects that provide physical activity opportunities for residents • Promote outdoor exercise and recreation opportunities • Promote Richard Dunns	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Bradford South Health and Wellbeing Hub
3.2	Tackle social isolation for older people	• Promote ‘live at home scheme’ • Increase engagement with older people • Promote Coffee mornings, Luncheon Clubs and other projects that involve working with older people	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Council Wardens
4.0 Incomes, Benefits and Inequalities				
4.1	Promote welfare benefits and debt advice	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Promote Credit Union • Use local community centres for welfare benefits and debt advice • Warn residents about ‘Loan Sharks’	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Bradford South Credit Union Trading Standards

Code	Priority Broad Actions	Specific Ward Actions (summary)	Target Areas/ Target Groups	Who responsible
4.2	Broaden residents employability skills	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • Promote training opportunities • establish links with organisations delivering appropriate training Promote job fairs to local companies and residents	Across the Ward	Bradford South Network Ward Officer, Local Community Partnerships
5.0 Children and Young People				
5.1	Support young people in looking for training and employment opportunities	• Sign post people to organisations and support networks • establish links with organisations delivering appropriate training • Promote job fairs to local companies and residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Youth Service
5.2	Sign post young people to activities in the area	• Support out reach work with young people • Identify and sign post key projects in the Ward to young people – Café on High Street, Odsal POD, Bradford Bulls Café, Scout Hut Netherland, Cube at Bankfoot etc	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Youth Service Local Community Partnerships
5.3	Tackle anti-social behaviour (ASB) in Wibsey Park, Beacon Road and High Street	• Support Bankfoot ASB project • Council Wardens to patrol and inform of any issues • Ward Officer Teams to prioritise ASB issues in Wibsey High Street	Wibsey Park, Beacon Road and High Street	Ward Officer NPT – South Youth Service Local Community Partnerships
6.0 Stronger Communities				
6.1	Promote opportunities for volunteering	• Undertake mapping exercise to identify projects and groups that support volunteering • Support and build capacity where required • Support projects in developing volunteers • Promote projects and volunteering opportunities for residents in Wibsey Ward	Across the Ward	Ward Officer, Community Development Officer, CVS C-NET

Draft Wyke Ward Assessment and Plan 2013-14



Ward Members	Cllr Sarah Ferriby	Cllr David Robinson	Cllr David Warburton
Ward Officer	Rada Mijailovic	**Date completed**	March 2013

Introduction: What is the Ward Assessment for?

The Ward Assessment is designed to inform Ward working, including action planning and decision making. The document summarises the prevalent strengths and issues within the Ward. This evidence base produced in the assessment will shape the identification of Ward priorities and actions to take to address these within the annual **Ward Plan.** The Council Ward Officers plays a critical role in digesting this information and summarising findings into the most pertinent issues. In order to assist Ward Officers in capturing and analysing findings this document has been organised under the following seven sections:

Section: 1 Ward Demography: Who lives in the Ward

Section: 2 Community Strengths/ Stronger Communities: Active Citizenship within the Ward.
This section highlights aspects of Ward strengths; including volunteering, voluntary groups etc.

Section: 3 Resources.
This section relates to the resources that Area Committees will be able to influence either directly or indirectly.

Section: 4 New section called Assets of Community Value
This section outlines physical assets (buildings and public spaces) that are assets within the Ward

Section: 5 Ward Issues.
This section summarises the main issues identified by 1) numbers, 2) engagement and voice. There are sub-sections for the themes 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and wellbeing inequalities 4. Incomes, employment and housing and 5. Children and young people. In each sub section there is a table that is an analysis of the main issues for each theme.

Section: 6 Sub Ward Issues
This section highlights areas within smaller areas of the Ward where there are more specific issues.

Section: 7 Making Assessment Ward Priority Issues

Each Indicator is presented as a Ward Assessment table that shows each indicator with a Ward, District and Area Committee comparator**.**

Ward Profile Indicator Key



Bradford District Neighbourhood Ward Assessment Performance Dashboard

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford Demographic								
(%) Population 0-19	28.0	▲	31.6	28.0	↑	19.9		38.6

6. The above table also shows five columns: **Ward, Area Committee and District** measure for the selected ward indicator and a **trend** column that shows an arrow symbol to indicate if the ward measure has either increased or decreased from the previous year. The full table with all ward indicators can be accessed via Bradford observatory. The number key for the above Ward profile is as follows:

1 **Theme Name**
2 **Indicator** = the indicator name
3 **Ward** = the indicator measure for the your selected ward

4 **Ward Status Symbol** this icon symbol acts as a quick summary guide in indentifying if the ward measure is significantly above/below or centres around the district average.

Green Circle ● denotes either significantly above or below the district average

Amber Triangle ▲ shows the indicator centres around the district average

Red Diamond ▼indicates either significantly above or lower then the district average.

5 **Area** is the **Area Committee** Area average
6 **District** is the figure for Bradford District
7 The **Trend** column relates to change through time and enables an analysis of change between two points in time. This is denoted with either a small up or down arrow or a large one where the increase or decrease has been significant.

8 Indicates lowest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district
9 Lowest value label
10 **Red background shading** indicates the top/bottom range for the ward indicator
11 **Orange horizontal bar** is the ward value that matches that in the Ward Column **(2)**
12 **Red Vertical** line shows the district average |
13 **Amber background shading** indicates where the ward value would need to fall for it to within the average of the data range
14 **Blue triangle** shows Area Committee average ▼
15 **Green background shade** indicates the range that is in the top/ bottom or average of the data range
16 Indicates highest value for the selected indicator for any ward in the district

Section 1: Demography: Who lives in the ward?



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Demographic

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
(%) Population 0-4	5.8	●	7.9	7.9		4.9		12
(%) Population 5-9	5.6	▲	7.0	7.2		4.9		10.5
(%) Population 10-14	6.0	▲	6.8	6.9		4.9		9.4
(%) Population 15-19	6.0	▲	6.6	6.8		5.1		10
(%) Population 20-24	6.3	▲	6.4	6.8		3.3		19.7
(%) Population 25-59	47.3	▲	47.1	46.0		41.7		50.9
(%) Population 60-69	12.0	◆	8.9	8.7		3.3		14
(%) Population 70-79	7.0	▲	5.8	5.9		2.8		10.1
(%) Population 80+	4.1	▲	3.6	3.8		1.5		9.5
(%) of Total East and Central Europeans	0.1	●	13.8	3.3	↓	0.1		17

🟧 Wyke Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▮ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
— +

See page 176 of this document for Key.



Age Band	Males	Females
0–4	447	414
5–9	425	406
10–14	459	436
15–19	464	439
20–24	449	492
25–29	479	526
30–34	459	425
35–39	448	478
40–44	549	568
45–49	592	554
50–54	471	550
55–59	488	489
60–64	492	534
65–69	371	398
70–74	274	317
75–79	196	253
80–84	118	219
85–89	53	134
90+	20	64
Total	**7,254**	**7,696**

Section 2: Stronger Communities/Community Strengths-Active Citizens



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Stronger Communities								
(%) of people from different backgrounds who get on well	88.0	●	84.5	82.4		58		95
(%) who feel very or fairly strongly to neighbourhood	77.0	◆	85.1	86.0		75		95
(%) people not treating others with respect	36.0	◆	22.1	20.3		4		45
(%) Volunteering: given any unpaid help in the last 12 months	10.0	▲	12.9	13.4		0		42
(%) Who agree they can influence decisions affecting local area	30.0	◆	39.2	38.5		16		73

🟧 Wyke Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ⬇ No change — Moderate increase ⬆ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▌ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ▢ Medium Range ▢ Sig Above/Below District ▢
− +

See page 176 of this document for Key.

Section 2.2 identifying prevalent strengths through community engagement and voice (Stronger Communities)

1. Active Citizen-led initiatives within the Ward

The Ward is made up of six distinct neighbourhoods: Delph Hill, Low Moor, Lower Wyke, Oakenshaw, Odsal and Wyke. There is a large range of community activities, organisations and church groups across the Ward to cover all ages and interests.

Wyke Wanderers, Wyke ARLFC are 2 long standing sports groups that operate in the area, along with a range of other sports clubs, elderly groups, mums and toddlers groups, scout associations.
New Horizons, Friends of Judy Woods, Friends of Wyke Park, Low Moor /Oakenshaw Conservation Group, Oakenshaw Residents' Association, Wyke Awake, Neighbourhood Watches, Sedbergh Centre, Odsal Area Residents' Association, Wyke Village Society, Friends of Oakenshaw Park

Similarly, there are very active Churches that run a range of activities for all sections of the community:
Wyke Christian Fellowship, Lower Wyke Moravian Church, St Mary's, Low Moor Holy Trinity, Westfield United Reformed, Wyke Methodists, St Andrew's , Aldersgate Methodists.

2. Scope for increasing Active Citizenship within the Ward

As well as the above active citizen-led initiatives, local businesses and companies are also linked together through the Business Watches: Euroway Business Watch, Low Moor Business Watch, Wyke Ward Business Watch.

Schools and Children centres play a positive role in working with the community. There are a number of primary schools in the Ward, where there are opportunities for citizens to become reading mentors or school governors. Wyke Children's Centre also provides the opportunity for volunteers to become involved.

Recently, two new citizen led initiatives have been formed: the Dealburn Road Group, looking at developing green space and linking Oakenshaw with Wyke and the Low Moor Railway Station Liaison Group. Citizens are keen to be involved and have a say as both these proposals progress and develop.
Wyke Youth Action Group has also recently been re-established, working closely with Ward Councillors, the Youth Service and Step 2. One of its aims is to involve young people in the democratic process as potential future active citizens.

3. How many incidents of community tensions were reported to your WOT/ WPT in the past 12 months (January to December 2012)

There was one incident of community tension reported to the Wyke WOT in the year up to December 2012.

4. Qualitative assessment of the level of Active Citizenship within the Ward

Active citizenship is healthy in the Ward overall with a large number of strong community groups working well across the Ward and with Local ward Councillors.

The Churches are very active in the wider community and provide a wide range of community activities and services to cover all age ranges.

4a. Overall assessment of actual levels of Active Citizenship / Social Capital within the Ward (tick)

Lower	Average	Higher
		√

5. Any other information relevant to Stronger Communities

Wyke Ward Partnership is the focal point for groups and organisations in the Ward and this partnership and is used to share good practice and resources amongst community groups that attend. The meeting is held at different locations across the Ward to ensure that all groups are included and have ease of access. The partnership was instrumental in many of the community groups coming together to plan and organise community events for the Queen’s Jubilee in June 2012.

Section 3: Council and partner resources allocated to the Ward and Area Structures

Description of Resource	Amount of Resource	Type of resource, cash, worker time	How can this Resource be influenced within the Ward	Area Committee decision making	Notes
Council Wardens	1 f/t Council Warden patrolling on foot. 1 f/t Council Warden mobile	Worker time	Close liaison with Warden Manager	yes	
Street cleansing staff & mechanical sweepers	3 f/t staff	Worker time	Close liaison with Clean Team Manager	yes	
Housing Officers/Managers	1 full time Manager/2 Housing Officers	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings.		
Youth Workers	1 full time contact person. 1 part time worker.	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Teams meetings	yes	
Community Workers	Not council funded, attached to independent organisations	Worker time	Partnership working		
NPT Inspector/Police Officers/PCSOs	variable	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Fire Service	variable	Worker time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		
Community Payback	variable	Time	Through Ward Officer Team meetings		

Section 4: Assets of Community Value

This list should include any physical asset, including buildings and open spaces, that are or potentially could be used by the local community. The Council will have a List of Assets of Community Value that will have a degree of protection if the current owners of the asset would wish to sell. Assets listed here may be used as a shortlist of assets that may later gain the formal status of an Asset of Community Value

Asset of community value	Location	Current use	Potential use
Upper Wyke Recreation Ground (also known as Wyke Park)	Wyke	Recreation Bowling Green Skate park Children's play area Rugby/football pitch	Already well used location Skate park needs improving
Oakenshaw Park (also known as Victoria Park)	Oakenshaw	Recreation Oakenshaw Contact point Bowling Green Keep fit equipment Children's play area Skate park	Improving children's play area could attract more users
Judy Woods	Huddersfield Road	Woodland	Improve footpaths
Wyke Lane Recreation Ground	Wyke	Play area football	
Toad Holes Beck/Railway Terrace	Oakenshaw	Nature Reserve Woodland ponds	Well used by local residents
Sedbergh Playing Fields	Odsal	Football	
Odsal Village Green	Odsal	Community Facility	Through Wyke Ward Partnership, organise community events
Wyke Village Green	Wyke	Community Facility	Through Wyke Ward Partnership, organise community events

Asset of community value	Location	Current use	Potential use
Carr Lane Recreation Ground	Low Moor	Football Pitch	
Richard Dunn Sports Centre	Rooley	Large recreational facility with users from across the district/ fun pool	Improve swimming facilities
Wyke Library	Wyke	Well used local amenity With activities for children and adults relocating to Appleton Academy early in 2013	
Wyke Community Sports Centre	Wyke	Previous Wyke Manor School site to be developed for sporting facilities	Aim to be completed for 2014

Section 5: Ward Issues.

This section identifies the main issues within the ward through a mixture of identifying issues through numbers and through engagement and voice. These two forms of information are then interpreted in the third sub-section. There is a sub-section on each of the following themes: 1. Cleaner Greener, 2. Safer Communities, 3. Health and Wellbeing, 4. Incomes, Skills and Housing and 5. Children and Young People. The three sub sections for each theme are as follows:

11. **Identifying prevalent issues through numbers** includes key **headline** measures of the **State of the Ward**, in relation to experienced in a ward.

12. **Community engagement and the voice** of communities is an analysis of a wide range of information that includes the following:
    - Neighbourhood Forums and other engagement events including with young people
    - Views expressed to elected Ward Members
    - Key frontline staff including Council Wardens & Youth Workers
    - Parish, Town and Community Councils

3. **Analysis of statistics and engagement and voice**. This sub section for each theme identifies the ward priority issues and is developed into Ward Priority Issues within the Ward Plan

Sub Section 5.5.1 Cleaner Greener Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward	Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Cleaner Greener							
(%) Overall satisfaction with local area	83.2 ▲	84.7	86.8		69		98
(%) Who Perceive rubbish or litter as problem	35.0 ●	27.8	26.2		2		58
(%) of respondents recycling	63.0 ▲	59.7	60.8		24		83
(%) Streets Failing on Litter	4.1 ●	13.9	11.8	↓	0		35.9
(%) Streets Failing on Detritus	4.1 ▲	3.2	3.6		0		9.9
(%) Streets Failing on Graffiti	7.6 ◆	4.7	2.2	↑	0		10.7
(%) Streets Failing on Fly Posting	0.0 ●	1.8	1.0	↓	0		8.8
(%) Streets Failing on Weeding	1.2 ▲	1.5	1.6	↑	0		5.6
(%) Streets Failing on Over Vegetation	76.5 ◆	61.0	59.7	⬆	30.6		81.2
(%) Streets Failing on Edging	55.3 ◆	48.6	33.4	⬆	1.2		69.4
Fly Tipping Per 1000 Population	4.7 ▲	8.8	6.8	↓	0.6		14.2
Street Cleansing Per 1000 Population	5.8 ▲	9.0	6.6	↑	1.8		13.3

🟧 Wyke Ward
Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ▌ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District ☐ Medium Range ☐ Sig Above/Below District ☐
− +

See page 176 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.1.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Cleaner Greener)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Dog fouling in parks and public open spaces.	Everyone in Oakenshaw/Users of Victoria Park/Everyone in Delph Hill.	Forums, Council Wardens, Ward Councillors, WOTs
Complaints regarding the lack of grass cutting from the Council Contractors in parks and public open spaces	Everyone across the Ward	Ward Officer /Ward Leadership Team Forums, Partnerships Ward Councillors
Untidy footpaths/snickets	Wycollar, Villa Mount, Markfield Avenue Rooley Crescent, Wyke Lane/Wilson Road/Pearson Fold	Forums Ward Councillors WOTs/Ward Leadership Team
Overgrown vegetation/weeding	Lower Wyke Lane, Hanson Fold, Elderly residents of Aysgarth Close, Rooley Crescent/Radfield Drive, Markfield Close	Forums, Ward Councillors WOTs/Ward Leadership Team

Sub Section 5.1.3 Cleaner Greener Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

Wyke Ward has a high rating on satisfaction regarding litter and street cleaning and there is no problem with fly posting. Residents are very pleased with the level of street cleaning and feedback from Ward Councillors and Council Wardens reinforces this. However, the following still requires some attention:
Perception of streets failing on graffiti is above the average for the area and district
Perception of streets failing on overgrown vegetation is considerably high and higher than the district average
Perception of streets failing on edging is well above the district average.

Sub Section 5.2.1: Safer Communities identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest V...
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford: Safer Communities								
All Crime Per 1,000 Population	87.4	▲	84.9	80.7	⬆	25.7		163.5
Criminal Damage Per 1,000 Population	12.8	▲	16.3	13.6	↑	3.2		24.7
Serious Acquisitive Crime Per 1,000 Population	26.7	◆	23.2	20.4		5.9		32.4
Violence Per 1,000 Population	7.8	▲	10.4	10.6	↓	2.3		23.3
Robbery Per 1000 Population	0.7	▲	1.0	1.1	↑	0		4
Burglary Per 1,000 Population	10.4	▲	9.6	7.9	⬇	2		15.3
Theft of Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	2.5	▲	2.0	1.9	↓	0.4		4.4
Theft from Motor Vehicle Per 1,000 Population	13.1	▲	10.5	9.2	↑	2.7		13.9
Anti-Social Behaviour Per 1000 Population	40.4	●	43.0	46.0	⬇	15		141
(%) Anti-social behaviour score >11 (NI 17)	7.0	▲	8.7	10.6		1		36
(%) Satisfied with police and public services in dealing with ASB & crime	62.0	▲	61.3	61.5		41		81
(%) of people who feel unsafe after dark in neighbourhood	34.0	◆	21.4	19.1		5		45
(%) perceiving teenagers hanging around problem	29.0	▲	25.8	25.2		5		45
(%) drugs perceived as very or big problem	30.0	◆	21.2	20.3		1		46
Road Traffic Collisions Attended Per 10,000 Population	0.7	●	1.0	0.9	↓	0		2.3

🟧 Wyke Ward

Sig reduction ⬇ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ⬆ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average | Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

− +

See page 176 of this document for Key.

Section 5.2.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Safer Communities)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Anti–Social Behaviour in hot spot areas	Elderly residents around Mayfield Rise Residents that live near Wyke Park	WOTs ,PACTs, Forums
Parking issues around schools and inconsiderate behaviour by adults that drop off children	All schools across the Ward at the start and end of the school day	WOTs, Ward Councillors
There is a high perception of burglaries in the Ward	Everyone in the Ward	WOTs/Ward Leadership Team, PACTs Forums
Stray horses in Victoria Park, Oakenshaw and other open spaces on Delph Hill/Odsal Recreation Ground	Local residents Users of Oakenshaw Park/Odsal Recreation Ground	Oakenshaw Contact Point Ward Councillors
Speeding traffic on Cleckheaton Road, Lower Wyke Lane, Westfield Lane, St Mary's Crescent/Wyke Lane, Carr Lane, Rooley Avenue	Everyone and in particular residents that live in the vicinity	WOTs/Ward Leadership Team Forums PACTs
High perception of drug dealing in the Ward	Everyone and in particular on St Mary's/Shirley Manor, Mayfield, Wycollar	WOTs Forums
Identify suitable locations for HGV overnight parking	Low Moor Brighouse Road, Cleckheaton Road Oakenshaw	Ward Leadership Team Forums

Section 5.2.3 Safer Communities Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
The ASB score and ASB per 1000 population are lower than both the area and district levels.
Perception that drugs are a big problem and higher than the district and area average.
A significant number of people feel unsafe after dark and the percentage is considerably higher than the district average.
Serious acquisitive crime per 1000 population is significantly higher than the district level.

Sub Section 5.3.1: Inequalities in Health and Well Being: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Inequalities: Health and Well Being

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest V...
All Age, All Cause Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	5.4	●	6.9	6.1		4.2		9.9
Diabetes Hospital Admissions Per 1000 Population Performance (DSR)	0.6	●	0.9	0.9	↑	0.1		1.9
Coronary Heart Disease Mortality Per 1000 Population DSR	1.0	◆	1.2	1.0	↑	0.4		2.2
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	9.4	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	7.4	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	21.0	▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Who have difficulty finding the money to pay for heating and hot water	33.0	◆	22.4	23.8		6		65
(%) People worried about amount of debt	17.0	▲	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) who agree older people get services and support to live at home	68.0	▲	68.1	73.4		52		95
(%) encouraged and supported to be physically active	65.0	◆	74.1	76.3		61		90
(%) Attendance Allowance Claimants	14.1	▲	16.7	16.4	↓	11.8		27.5
(%) Incapacity Benefit Claimants	4.8	▲	5.3	4.6	↓	1.2		7.4
Births Per 1000 Population	12.9		15.7	16.2	↑	8.7		24.6

🟧 Wyke Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average | Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
— +

See page 176 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.3:2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Inequalities in Health and Well Being)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Inequalities in Health and Well Being		
Increase in the number of people worried about paying their bills for heating and water	Everyone	Ward Assessment Data
The take up of physical activity to tackle obesity and associated problems	Everyone across the Ward and all age groups	Ward Assessment Data Youth Worker feedback

Sub Section 5.3.3 Inequalities in Health and Well Being Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?

Mortality per1000 population is considerably lower than both the area and district average.
The number of deaths per 1000 of population due to coronary heart disease is the same as the district average and slightly lower than the area average.
The number of people who have difficulty in finding the money to pay for heating and water bills is higher than the area and higher than the district average.

There is a lower percentage of people across the Ward that are encouraged and supported to be physically active than both the area and district average.

Sub Section 5.4.1: Incomes, Skills and Housing: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Incomes, Skills and Housing

Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
(%) Total JSA Claimants	5	▲	6.3	5.9	↑	1.1		12.1
(%) of Total Working-Age DWP Claimants	18.0	▲	21.2	18.9	↑	5.2		30.4
(%) JSA Claimants aged 18-24	9.8	▲	12.1	11.3	↓	2		16.8
(%) NEET	5.9	▲	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4
(%) Difference in Household Income from District	3.9	▲	-2.6	32K		-37.8		48.7
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	22.0	▲	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	51.0	▲	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) People worried about amount of debt	17.0	▲	17.0	17.4		4		56
(%) Long Term Empty Homes	1.9	●	2.3	2.9	↑	1.5		8.3
(%) Overcrowded Households	8.4	▲	6.8	8.0		2		23
Average Number Bids on Homes	16	◆	16	12	↑	2		41

🟧 Wyke Ward

Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆

District Average | Area Committee Average ◆

Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩

— +

See page 176 of this document for Key.

Sub Section 5.4.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Incomes Skills and Housing)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Incomes, Skills and Housing		
To develop stronger links with local companies and businesses across the Ward to generate more local jobs	All companies and businesses across the Ward	Ward Councillors Ward Leadership Team
More suitable housing to be built for ageing population	Older people in the Ward	Ward Councillors Community Partnerships Ward Assessment Data

Sub Section 5.4.3 Incomes Skills and Housing Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
Slightly higher than average demand for social rented properties within the area. This is calculated from the Choice Based Lettings computer system that is used to allocate social housing in the district. Households in need place bids on advertised properties they are interested in; the number of bids placed on each property reflects the demand. There is also a significant increase in the number of empty homes. There has been a moderate increase in the number of JSA and DWP claimants.

Sub Section 5.5.1: Children and Young People: Identifying prevalent issues through numbers



Indicator	Ward		Area	District	Trend	Lowest Value	Performance	Highest Value
▼ Bradford District Ward Assessment >> Bradford Children & Young People								
Births Per 1000 Population	12.9		15.7	16.2	↑	8.7		24.6
Births <2500g per 100 live Births	9.4	▲	9.1	9.5		2.8		15.9
(%) Population 0-19	23.2	●	27.6	28.0	↓	19.9		38.6
(%) of Reception Pupils who are Obese	7.4	▲	9.3	9.0	↓	3.6		16.8
(%) Year 6 Pupils who are Obese	21.0	▲	20.8	22.6	↓	4.2		29
(%) Children Receiving Free School Meals	22.0	▲	24.4	20.9		2.8		33.6
Children Becoming Looked After Per 1000 (0-17) Population	2.4	▲	2.8	1.9	↑	0		4.7
(%) All Pupils Attaining KS4 5+ A*-C English & Maths	51.0	▲	43.8	47.5	↑	34.6		84
(%) NEET	5.9	▲	4.3	7.8	↓	0.4		7.4

🟧 Wyke Ward
Sig reduction ↓ Moderate reduction ↓ No change — Moderate increase ↑ Sig increase ↑ A ● B ▲ C ◆
District Average ❙ Area Committee Average ◆
Sig Above/Below District 🟥 Medium Range 🟨 Sig Above/Below District 🟩
− +

See page 176 of this document for Key.

KS2 and Child Poverty to be added to the above table. See page 3 of this document for Key

Sub Section 5.5.2 identifying prevalent issues through community engagement and voice (Children and Young People)

Description of issue – Describe what the issue is and where specifically it is an issue (Add additional rows if required)	**Impact:** Does this impact on everyone in the Ward or is it specific to a particular area or group? Identify the parts of the Ward where this is an issue and the groups this disproportionately impacts on	**Source(s) (where identified):** E.g. Area Action Plan, Neighbourhood Action Plan, Forums, WPTs/ WOTs, Parish and Town Councils, Council Wardens, youth worker feedback and elected Member intelligence from constituents etc.
Children and Young People		
Young people hanging round in groups – perception of anti-social behaviour	Residents that live in the vicinity of Wyke Park, Mayfield Rise, St Mary's/ Shirley Manor Delph Hill	Ward Officer Teams Forums Youth Worker feedback
Not enough young people involved in physical activity sessions	Young people across the Ward	Youth Worker feedback

Sub Section 5.5.3 Children and Young People Ward Analysis

Consider what the data and information in the above tables tells you about your ward. What are the key headline issues that can be identified?
There has been a steady increase of pupils attaining KS4 5+ A* - C English and Maths and the figure is higher than the both the area and district average. The number of NEETs is on the decrease but remains higher than the area average. Overall, the number of claimants for JSA and other benefits is on the decrease, as well as the number of NEETs.

Section 6: Sub-Ward Issues

This table identifies key sub-divisions / localities within the Ward. These can be clearly defined villages and housing estates or they may be neighbourhoods that have clear distinctive identities. This table identifies where the issues within these localities deviates substantially from the Ward average. Statistical evidence is not available at the sub-Ward level for many of the indicators used within this Ward Assessment and therefore this is significantly based on the knowledge of attendees at WOTs / WPTs who the Ward Officer will support to make this assessment. (Use LSOA's if data available).

Locality	**Cleaner Greener**	**Safer Communities**	**Incomes, Benefits etc.**	**Health Inequalities**
Delph Hill	√	√	√	√
Wycollar	√	√	√	√
St Mary's/Shirley Manor	√	√	√	√
Lower Wyke	√	√		

Section 7: Making the Assessment of Ward Priority Issues

Analysis of Ward Issues. From third table in each sub section of Section 5	**Community Strength**	**Resources**	**PRIORITIES**
Sub Section 7.1 Cleaner Greener			
Perception of streets failing on graffiti is above the average for the area and district	Overall general satisfaction with local area is almost the same as the area average	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle graffiti in hot spot areas
Perception of streets failing on overgrown vegetation is considerably high and higher than the district average, to include footpaths/snickets	Active Residents reporting issues	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle untidy footpaths/snickets and overgrown vegetation
Perception of streets failing on edging is considerably high and higher than the district average	Active Residents reporting issues	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle streets failing on edging
Dog fouling in parks and open spaces	Active Residents reporting issues	Council Wardens Clean Team	Tackle incidents of dog fouling across the Ward

Sub Section 7.2 Safer Communities			
Perception that drugs are a big problem and higher than the district and area average	Vigilant Residents Neighbourhood Watch Groups	Neighbourhood Policing Team Council Wardens	Work with partners to reduce illegal drugs and dealing
A significant number of people feel unsafe after dark and the percentage is considerably higher than the district average	Residents across the Ward	Neighbourhood Policing Team Council Wardens	Increase public perception of being safe in their neighbourhood after dark
Serious acquisitive crime per 1000 population is significantly higher than the district level	Percentage of residents that feel the police and public services are dealing with ASB & crime is almost the same as the area and district average	Neighbourhood Policing Team Council Wardens	Work in partnership to reduce number of burglaries
Problems of Anti–Social Behaviour in hot spot areas	Vigilant residents Neighbourhood Watch Schemes	Police Anti-Social Behaviour Team Social Housing Tenancy Enforcement Officers	Work in partnership to tackle anti-social behaviour

Problems of speeding traffic and rat running in hot spot areas	Local car users Vigilant residents	Police Highways Department	Reduce incidents of speeding and rat running
Complaints of inconsiderate parking around schools across the Ward, raised at Partnership meetings, through Wardens/PCSOs patrolling the area	School Governing Bodies	Police Council Wardens Highways Department	Tackle issue of parking around local schools
Complaints of inconsiderate parking, especially overnight by HGVs	Vigilant Residents	Police Highways Department	Identify suitable locations for HGV parking

Sub Section 7.3 Inequalities: Health & Wellbeing			
The number of people who have difficulty in finding the money to pay for heating and water bills is higher than the area and higher than the district average.	Local Church Groups Local organisations	Community Centres	Promote debt advice/Credit union
There is a lower percentage of people across the Ward that are encouraged and supported to be physically active than both the area and district average.	Local Groups Community Facilities	Community Centres Richard Dunn Sports Centre Wyke Park Oakenshaw Park	Encourage development of projects supporting physical activity to improve fitness levels and to tackle obesity for all residents

Sub Section 7.4 Incomes, employment and housing			
The large number of companies and business parks across the Ward provides an opportunity to work in partnership in assisting job creation	Local Companies	Local Companies The GRID Regeneration Department	Develop networks between local companies/businesses to promote job opportunities
There are more older people in the Ward, which will create more demand for appropriate housing for the ageing population	Community Workers Council Officers	Planning Department Social Landlords Bradford South Health Hub	Work with partners to identify needs of older people
There has been a steady increase in the number of long term empty homes across the Ward	Active Community Partnerships Neighbourhood Watches	Empty Homes Team Council Wardens West Yorkshire Fire Service Police/PCSOs	Reduce number of long term empty homes

Sub Section 7.5 Children and Young People			
Lack of activities for young people, as the general public perception is that they all hang round in groups, which leads to anti-social behaviour	Active residents reporting issues	Neighbourhood Policing Team Council Wardens Social Housing Tenancy Enforcement Officers	Promote advice, training and employment opportunities for young people
Not enough young people involved in physical activity sessions	Local organisations Volunteers	Youth Service Community centres Odsal Youth café Local Parks	Promote activities for young people in the area
Sub Section 7.6 Stronger Communities			
People who feel they can influence decision making is low	Local Residents Community groups	Community Centres Schools Childrens' Centres	Engage with local people to communicate with the Council
People not treating others with respect	Local community groups/churches volunteers	Community Centres/Schools Childrens' Centres	Encourage and support activities to increase community cohesion

If you require further details about the data used in the above tables these can be found on the Observatory Website. See link: http://www.westyorkshireobservatory.org/dataviews/view?viewId=219

DRAFT Wyke Ward Plan 2013–14				
Code	**Priority Broad Actions**	**Specific Ward Actions (summary)**	**Target Areas/ Target Groups**	**Who responsible**
1. Cleaner Greener				
1.1	Tackle graffiti in hot spot areas	• Identify areas • Council Wardens Patrolling • Deploy Clean Teams to hotspot areas supported by mechanical sweepers • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager
1.2	Tackle untidy footpaths/snickets overgrown vegetation and edging	• Identify areas • Council Wardens Patrolling • Deploy Clean Teams to hotspot areas supported by mechanical sweepers • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager
1.3	Tackle incidents of dog fouling across the ward	• Identify hotspots • Educate – Provide information to residents on how to report incidents • Enforcement – Council Wardens patrolling • Deploy Clean Team to clear the area • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings • Implementation of Dog Control Orders • legislation	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Cleansing Manager Environmental Enforcement Highways Parks and Leisure

2. Safer Communities				
2.1	Work with partners to reduce illegal drugs and dealing	• Identify hotspots • Encourage Reporting • Educate and reassure residents • Council wardens patrolling • Follow through at Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Police Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinators
2.2	Increase public perception of being safe in their neighbourhood after dark	• Educate and reassure • Attend Elderly group sessions • Increase public confidence • Liaise with Police • Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Police Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinators
2.3	Work in partnership to reduce number of burglaries	• Identify Hotspots • Promote Neighbourhood Watches • Updates from Police at Ward Officer Team meetings • Community Safety Awareness Sessions to Vulnerable groups	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Police Neighbourhood Watch Co-ordinators
2.4	Work in partnership to tackle anti - social behaviour	• Regular communication and updates to residents on ASB and Crimes issues • Regular updates from Police on hotspots at the Ward Officer Team meetings Promote the Neighbourhood Policing Team (NPT) Newsletter • Develop promotion activities through the Ward Officer Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Police Tenancy Enforcement Officer ASBO Officers Council Wardens
2.5	Reduce incidents of speeding	• Identify hotspots • Days of action with the Neighbourhood Policing Team (NPT) South • Council Wardens Patrolling • Traffic and Highways designing out rat running and speeding traffic in hotspots	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Police Warden Manager Traffic and Highways

2.6	Tackle issue of Parking around local schools	- Identify hotspots - Council Wardens/Police Patrolling - Traffic and Highways improving signage - Facilitate meetings with schools	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Police Traffic and Highways Schools
2.7	Identify suitable locations for HGV overnight parking	- Facilitate meetings with appropriate partners - Arrange consultation meetings with residents	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Police Traffic & Highways
3. Inequalities: Health and Wellbeing				
3.1	Promote debt advice/credit union	- Sign post people to organisations and support networks - Promote Credit Union - Use local community centres for welfare benefits and debt advice - Warn residents about ‘Loan Sharks’	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Community Workers Council Wardens
3.2	Encourage development of projects supporting physical activity to improve fitness levels and to tackle obesity for all residents	- Promote activity sessions to residents - Mapping exercise to identify on-going projects - Work with partner organisations to ensure community centres are fully utilised - Promote the work of friends of Groups and walking groups across the Ward	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Youth Service Schools Bradford South Health Hubs
4. Incomes, Skills and Housing				
4.1	Develop networks between local companies and businesses across the Ward to promote job opportunities	- Support Business watches - Provide appropriate advice - Promote job fairs - Promote the GRID/ Chamber of Commerce - Sign post people to organisations delivering support for Business start ups	Across the Ward All the Business Parks	Ward Officer Warden Manager Regeneration Department

4.2	Work with partners to identify needs of older people	• Work with partners to identify needs • Mapping exercise of amenities • Mapping exercise of housing stock • Regular updates at Ward Officer and Ward Leadership Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Planning Housing Ward Councillors Social Landlords Bradford south Health Hubs
4.3	Reduce number of long term empty homes	• Encourage residents to report empty properties to the Council • Council wardens to undertake patrol and report issues • Promote services offered by Empty Homes Team to property owners • Regular updates at Ward Officer and Ward Leadership Team meetings	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Empty Homes Team
5. Children and Young People				
5.1	Promote advice, training and employment opportunities for young people	• Promote the work of the Youth Service and voluntary organisations providing activities for young People • Mapping exercise of all activities available • Mapping exercise of community venues available	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Youth Service
5.2	Promote Activities for young people	• Promote the work of the Youth Service and voluntary organisations providing activities for young People • Mapping exercise of all activities available • Mapping exercise of community venues available	Across the Ward	Ward Officer Warden Manager Youth Service

6. Stronger Communities				
6.1	Engage with local people to communicate with the Council	• Leaflet areas to inform of events • Door-to-door on action days • Use luncheon clubs and coffee mornings	Across the Ward	Council Wardens Ward Officer Community Workers
6.2	Encourage and support activities to increase community cohesion	• Use Ward Partnership meetings as a means of sharing information about voluntary and statutory service provision within the Ward • Respond to requests for support to new groups in the local area and ensure they are included in the development of the Ward Partnership.	Across the Ward	Ward Officer NPT Inspector